



Editorial: From CO₂ Emissions to Fuels and Chemicals: Current Development, Challenges and Perspectives

Adele Brunetti^{1*}, Simon Roussanaly², Juliana Monteiro³, Rahul Anantharaman² and Claudio Pistidda⁴

¹National Research Council—Institute on Membrane Technology (ITM-CNR), Rende, Italy, ²Gas Technology Department, SINTEF Energy Research, Trondheim, Norway, ³TNO, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ⁴Department of Materials Design, Institute of Hydrogen Technology, Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon GmbH, Geesthacht, Germany

Keywords: CO₂, Separation, conversion, capture, emission reduction

Editorial on Research Topic

From CO₂ Emissions to Fuels and Chemicals: Current Development, Challenges and Perspectives

Carbon capture and utilization (CCU) is attracting considerable attention as a new way to reduce release of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere while valorising CO₂ through the production of fuels and green chemical intermediates [1].

Over the past decades, significant effort has been targeted at developing and demonstrating at large-scale CO₂ capture from power and industrial sources [2]. To accelerate deployment, further efforts are taking place to reduce energy penalties and costs of such a process, as well as scale-up promising solutions. However, one of the key hurdles for implementation remains the destination of these huge CO₂ streams. While CO₂ storage has been shown to be, by far, the main option to ensure permanent sequestration of the amount of CO₂ to be captured [3], integrating CO₂ capture and storage can be challenging, especially in the near term, due to the distances between sources and sinks, the time required to develop such geological sinks, scale mismatches, etc. While it is expected to play a smaller role than CO₂ storage, CO₂ utilization remains an interesting sink for captured CO₂ as it creates opportunities for new revenue streams. Furthermore, CO₂ utilization can also be considered for small capture flowrates which make it an interesting solution in the case of early deployment. There are many potential routes to convert CO₂ into useful and, hopefully, more sustainable chemicals and fuels. For example, converting CO₂ into polyols could enable the production of more sustainable elastomers, fibers, flexible foam, adhesives, sealants, inks, paints, and coatings. Another route that can be considered is the conversion of CO₂ in valuable energy carriers such as methane, methanol, etc., which could be an attractive CCU solution while simultaneously addressing global warming and storing of hydrogen energy or renewable energy in commonly used dense energy carriers [4–6]. However, for all the routes and targeted products, it is important to ensure that CCU pathways deliver the three following aspects: 1) sustainable cradle-to-grave solutions 2) economically viable solutions 3) scalable solutions.

In this Research Topic, we aimed to make a picture of the knowledge of the current progress in the area of CO₂ capture and conversion techniques.

In particular, Skoricova et al. proposed the techno-economic assessment of the sorption-enhanced dimethyl-ether (DME) synthesis process, as an innovative way for producing fuel-grade DME from carbon dioxide and green H₂. They found that the production cost for DME was ~€1.3 per kg for a relatively small-scale production plant of 23 kt/year. Although higher than the current market price for fossil-based DME, the results showed the potential of this route as more

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Eric Favre,
Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France

*Correspondence:

Adele Brunetti
a.brunetti@itm.cnr.it

Received: 26 July 2021

Accepted: 28 July 2021

Published: 09 August 2021

Citation:

Brunetti A, Roussanaly S, Monteiro J, Anantharaman R and Pistidda C (2021) Editorial: From CO₂ Emissions to Fuels and Chemicals: Current Development, Challenges and Perspectives. *Front. Chem. Eng.* 3:747399. doi: 10.3389/fceng.2021.747399

promising than other studies on DME production from CO₂ by conventional DME synthesis processes.

Biermann et al. discussed the effects of carbon allocation on the emission intensities of low-carbon products cogenerated in facilities that co-process biogenic and fossil feedstocks and apply the carbon capture utilization and storage technology. They considered an integrated steel mill that injects biomass into the blast furnace, captures CO₂ for storage, and ferments CO into ethanol from the blast furnace gas, obtaining an overall emissions saving up to 27 and 47% in the near-term and long-term future, respectively, and confirming that the choice of the allocation scheme greatly affects the emissions intensities of cogenerated products.

Fu et al. proposed an investigation of calcium looping capture for the Natural Gas Combined Cycle through a techno-economic study evaluating one simple and one advanced calcium looping processes for CO₂ capture. The analysis demonstrated that the calcium looping processes are not competitive with the reference MEA-based CO₂ capture process for this application, and would require significant improvements in terms of equipment capital cost, plant efficiency and sorbent annual cost.

Schellevis et al. investigated the CO₂ capture from the atmosphere *via* Direct Air Capture using solid supported-amine sorbents, evaluating the possibility of a continuous adsorption process in a radial flow contactor, using both batch and continuous modes of operation. A 15–25% lower capture

efficiency was found for the continuous process, confirming that the batch process is preferred in most of the operating conditions.

The Research Topic ends with the study of Castel et al. who proposed interesting guidelines on the possibilities and limitations of the use of membrane technology for Direct Carbon Dioxide Capture from air. They found that a fundamental requirement to make this technology competitive is the use of highly selective membranes that can assure higher productivity levels, even if the specific energy requirement is globally higher than that of the adsorption and absorption processes.

The guest editors wish to thank all the authors for their valuable contributions, as well as, all the reviewers for the tremendous efforts given to guarantee a high-quality review process required to achieve the most appropriate decisions on the evaluated manuscripts. Finally, we would like to sincerely express our gratitude to Prof. Eric Favre and the whole editorial team of Frontiers in Chemical Engineering for their continuous support.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Photocatalysts for Solar- Driven CO₂-to-Fuels Production. *Renew. Sustain. Energ. Rev.* 148, 111298. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2021.111298

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Brunetti, Roussanaly, Monteiro, Anantharaman and Pistidda. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

REFERENCES

1. IEA (2019). *Putting CO₂ to Use*. Paris: IEA. Available at: <https://www.iea.org/reports/putting-co2-to-use> (Accessed July 14, 2021).
2. IEA (2020). *CCUS in Clean Energy Transitions*. Paris: IEA. Available at: <https://www.iea.org/reports/ccus-in-clean-energy-transitions> (Accessed July 14, 2021).
3. Mac Dowell, N., Fennell, P. S., Shah, N., and Maitland, G. C. (2017). The Role of CO₂ Capture and Utilization in Mitigating Climate Change. *Nat. Clim Change* 7, 243–249. doi:10.1038/nclimate3231
4. Mazari, S. A., Hossain, N., Basirun, W. J., Mubarak, N. M., Abro, R., Sabzoi, N., et al. (2021). An Overview of Catalytic Conversion of CO₂ into Fuels and Chemicals Using Metal Organic Frameworks. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 149, 67–92. doi:10.1016/j.psep.2020.10.025
5. Monteiro, J., Goetheer, E., Schols, E., van Os, P., Perez Calvo, J. F., Hoppe, H., et al. (2018). H2020 CEMCAP D5.1 Post-capture CO₂ Management: Options for the Cement Industry. Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.2597056
6. Peng, W., Chuong Nguyen, T. H., Nguyen, D. L. T., Wang, T., Van Thi Tran, T., Le, T. H., et al. (2021). A Roadmap Towards the Development of Superior