Media Ethics in the Digital Age

Anisha Nallasamy [a], Manju Rajput [b], Zahid Ahmad Wani [c], Maryam Khazir Dar [d], Aakanksha [b], Sheikh Hibban Fayaz [e], Subiksha S [c] and Naveen H Simon [f++*]

[a] *Shantha College of Physiotherapy, Affiliated to RGUHS, Bangalore, India.*
[b] *GNIOT Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Knowledge Park II, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.*
[c] *Shantha College of Allied Health Science, Affiliated to RGUHS, Bangalore, India.*
[d] *Noida International University, Uttar Pradesh, India.*
[e] *Shantha Group of Institutions, School of Allied Health Sciences, Affiliated to RGUHS, Bangalore, India.*
[f] *Shantha Group of Institutions, Affiliated to RGUHS, Bangalore, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Short Communication

ABSTRACT

The digital revolution has radically transformed the landscape of journalism and broadcasting, offering unparalleled access to information and empowering individuals through citizen journalism on online platforms. However, amidst these advancements, the digital era has brought about numerous intricate ethical issues that require meticulous scrutiny. This paper is dedicated to dissecting and evaluating the profound ethical considerations confronting journalists and broadcasters amidst the digital age's upheaval.

Keywords: Accountability; broadcasting; citizen journalism; consent; digital age; disinformation; ethics; independence; media; misinformation.

_____

1. INTRODUCTION

The advent of the digital age has fundamentally transformed the landscape of journalism and broadcasting, ushering in an era of unprecedented connectivity and information dissemination. With the rise of online media platforms and the emergence of citizen journalism, traditional notions of news production and consumption have been revolutionized. However, alongside these advancements come new ethical considerations that must be carefully navigated to uphold the integrity and credibility of journalism in the digital realm [1].

2. ACCURACY AND VERIFICATION

In the digital age, the pressure to break news quickly often clashes with the ethical imperative of ensuring accuracy. While the demand for immediacy is understandable in a rapidly evolving news cycle, journalists and citizen reporters alike need to prioritize thorough verification processes. This involves corroborating information from multiple credible sources, fact-checking claims rigorously, and exercising caution before disseminating unverified information. Furthermore, transparent communication with the audience about the verification process can foster trust and understanding, reinforcing the importance of accuracy in digital journalism [2].

3. MISINFORMATION AND DISINFORMA-TION

One of the most pressing ethical challenges in the digital age is the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation. The ease of sharing information online, coupled with the potential for content to go viral, poses significant risks to public discourse and societal cohesion. Media professionals bear a responsibility to combat these threats by actively verifying sources, debunking false narratives, and refraining from amplifying unverified information. Collaborative efforts with fact-checking organizations and transparent reporting on the verification process can serve as ethical safeguards, ultimately contributing to a more informed and discerning public [3].

3.1 Privacy and Consent

The digital landscape presents unique challenges regarding privacy and consent, particularly in the context of user-generated content. Journalists and citizen reporters must exercise caution to avoid inadvertently infringing upon individuals' privacy rights. This entails obtaining explicit consent from individuals featured in user-generated content and ensuring transparent communication about how their information will be used [4]. Moreover, ethical considerations dictate a thorough assessment of the potential impact on personal lives before utilizing private information, coupled with adherence to legal boundaries and editorial discretion in the public interest [5].

3.2 Independence and Objectivity

The growing reliance on algorithms for content curation presents a complex challenge to the independence and objectivity of journalistic efforts. While algorithms play a vital role in content distribution, they can also inadvertently shape the information landscape in ways that compromise editorial independence [6]. Media professionals must remain vigilant in preserving their autonomy and impartiality, disclosing any affiliations or biases that may influence their reporting. Transparency about the existence and potential effects of algorithms on content distribution is crucial, allowing the audience to understand how their information is curated and empowering them to make informed decisions about the content they consume [7].

3.3 Accountability and Corrections

In the dynamic and fast-paced digital realm, accountability and responsiveness to errors are paramount ethical considerations. Journalists and content creators must embrace a culture of transparency and accountability, promptly acknowledging and correcting inaccuracies when they arise. This not only demonstrates a commitment to accuracy but also fosters trust with the audience. Ethical practices involve openly admitting mistakes, providing context for corrections, and ensuring that corrected information reaches the same audience to mitigate the potential spread of misinformation [8].

3.4 Dangers of Citizen Journalism

While citizen journalism offers valuable contributions to the media landscape by diversifying perspectives and democratizing information dissemination, it also presents

unique challenges. Citizen journalists may lack the resources and training of traditional newsrooms, leading to potential biases and the amplification of unverified information. Ethical responsibilities include acknowledging these limitations, distinguishing between fact and opinion, and avoiding the dissemination of unverified information. Proactive measures to address these challenges through self-awareness and continuous improvement are essential for enhancing the credibility and ethical standing of citizen journalism in the digital age [9].

3.5 Diversity and Representation

Ethical storytelling in the digital age necessitates a commitment to inclusivity and the avoidance of hate speech. Media professionals must actively seek out and amplify a diverse range of perspectives, ensuring representation across different cultures, backgrounds, and experiences. This not only promotes a more nuanced and representative portrayal of society but also helps challenge and dismantle stereotypes. Moreover, ethical responsibility extends to preventing the unintended amplification of hate speech or discriminatory content, fostering a safer and more inclusive digital environment conducive to empathy and understanding [10].

3.6 Community Engagement

Responsible community engagement is essential for fostering a positive and inclusive online environment. Media practitioners must implement effective moderation strategies to prevent the dissemination of harmful content, while also encouraging civil discourse and responsiveness to audience feedback. This not only strengthens the relationship between content creators and their audience but also contributes to the creation of a respectful and constructive digital community [11].

3.7 Duty to the Audience

Media professionals carry a significant ethical duty to their audience in the digital age. This duty goes beyond merely delivering information; it includes providing context, avoiding sensationalism, and fostering a culture of informed and critical media consumption. By upholding a commitment to accuracy, transparency, and accountability, journalists and content creators strengthen the bond of trust with their audience, empowering them to make informed decisions in an era of information abundance [12].

4. CONCLUSION

Navigating media ethics in the digital age requires a conscientious and adaptable approach, grounded in traditional principles while embracing technological advancements. Upholding accuracy, transparency, and accountability is fundamental for fostering trust and integrity in digital journalism and broadcasting. By addressing key ethical considerations such as accuracy and verification, misinformation and disinformation, privacy and consent, independence and objectivity, accountability and corrections, diversity and representation, community engagement, and the duty to the audience, media professionals can navigate the complex ethical terrain of the digital era responsibly and ethically.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Agrafiotis I, Nurse JRC, Goldsmith M, Creese S, Upton D. A taxonomy of cyber-harms: Defining the Pmpacts of cyber-attacks and understanding how they propagate. Journal of Cybersecurity. 2018;4(1).
    DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/cybsec/tyy006

2. Ajayi EFG. Challenges to Enforcement of Cyber-Crimes Laws and Policy. Journal of Internet and Information Systems. 2016;6 (1):1-12.
    DOI: 10.5897/JIIS2015.0089

3. Al-Hait AAS. Jurisdiction in cybercrimes: A comparative study. Journal of Law, Policy and Globalization. 2014;22:75-83.

4. Andraško J. Identification and authentication of persons in cyberspace in selected states. International and Comparative Law Review. 2018;18(1):199-216.
    DOI: https://doi.org/10.2478/iclr-2018-0032.

5. Coccoli J. The challenges of new technologies in the implementation of

human rights: An Analysis of Some Critical Issues in the Digital Era. Peace Human Rights Governance. 2017;1(2):223-250. DOI: https://doi.org/10.14658/pupj-phrg- 20 17-2-4

6. Cortes Robert. 'Clifford G. Christians's media ethics theory of global justice', Church, Communication and Culture. 2020;5(1):131–5.

7. Huang Z, Mačák K. Towards the international rule of law in cyberspace: Contrasting Chinese and Western Approaches. Chinese Journal of International Law. 2017;16(2):271-310.
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/chinesejil/jmx0 11.

8. Moskowitz S. Cybercrime and business: Strategies for global corporate security. Butterworth-Heinemann; 2017.

9. Perloff-Giles A. Transnational cyber offenses: Overcoming jurisdictional challenges. The Yale Journal of International Law. 2018;43:191-227.

10. Roberts C. 'Book review: media ethics and global justice in the digital age', Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly. 2020;97(2):536–8.

11. Romayne smith Fullerton. Media Ethics and Global Justice in the Digital Age, Information, Communication & Society. 2020;23(4):624-626.
DOI: 10.1080/1369118X.2019.1701697

12. Wasserman H. 'Preface' in Christians, C. (ed.) Media ethics and global justice in the digital age, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; 2019.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116310