



Extend Bertrand's Postulate to Sums of Any Primes

Pham Minh Duc

Department of Physics, VNU University of Science, Hanoi, Vietnam

Email: ducphamminh2710@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Duc, P.M. (2023) Extend Bertrand's Postulate to Sums of Any Primes. *Open Access Library Journal*, 10: e10986.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1110986>

Received: November 11, 2023

Accepted: December 15, 2023

Published: December 18, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

According to Bertrand's postulate, we have $p_n + p_n \geq p_{n+1}$. Is it true that for all $n > 1$ then $p_{n-1} + p_n \geq p_{n+1}$? Then $p_n + p_{n-i} > p_{n+j}$ where $n \geq N$, N is a large enough value and i, j are natural numbers?

Subject Areas

Number Theory

Keywords

Bertrand's Postulate, Rosser's Theorem, L'Hospital Rule, Prime Number

1. Introduction

In 1845, Bertrand conjectured what became known as Bertrand's postulate: twice any prime strictly exceeds the next prime [1]. Tchebichef presented his proof of Bertrand's postulate in 1850 and published it in 1852 [2]. It is now sometimes called the Bertrand-Chebyshev theorem. Surprisingly, a stronger statement seems not to be well known, but is elementary to prove: The sum of any two consecutive primes strictly exceeds the next prime, except for the only equality $2 + 3 = 5$. After I conjectured and proved this statement independently, a very helpful referee pointed out that Ishikawa published this result in 1934 (with a different proof) [3]. This observation is a special case of a much more general result, Theorem 2, that is also elementary to prove (given the prime number theorem), and perhaps not previously noticed: If p_n denotes the n th prime, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, \dots$ and if c_1, c_2, \dots, c_j are natural numbers (not necessarily distinct), and d_1, d_2, \dots, d_i are positive integers (not necessarily distinct), and then there exists a positive integer N such that $p_{n-c_1} + p_{n-c_2} + \dots + p_{n-c_j} > p_{n+d_1} + p_{n+d_2} + \dots + p_{n+d_i}$ for all $n \geq N$. We also have

another result: If $i < n$ and j are nonnegative integers, then there exists a large enough positive integer N such that, for all $n \geq N$, $p_n + p_{n-i} > p_{n+j}$. We give some numerical results.

2. Main Result

Theorem 1. If $i < n$ and j are nonnegative integers, then there exists a large enough positive integer N such that, for all $n \geq N$, $p_n + p_{n-i} > p_{n+j}$.

Applying Rosser's theorem for all $n \geq 6$, we have

$$n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1) < p_n < n(\ln n + \ln \ln n)$$

$$(n+j)[\ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j) - 1] < p_{n+j} < (n+j)[\ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j)]$$

For all $n > i + 6$, we have

$$(n-i)[\ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i) - 1] < p_{n-i} < (n-i)[\ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i)]$$

Consider the expression

$$A = \frac{n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1) + (n-i)[\ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i) - 1]}{(n+j)[\ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j)]}$$

We consider the following limit

$$B = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1) + (n-i)[\ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i) - 1]}{(n+j)[\ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j)]}$$

Taking the \ln of the numerator and denominator and applying L'Hospital Rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln n + \ln \ln n - 1 + n \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\ln n} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln n + \ln \ln n + \frac{1}{\ln n} \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n[\ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i) - 1] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i) - 1 + (n-i) \left(\frac{1}{n-i} + \frac{1}{\ln(n-i)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i) + \frac{1}{\ln(n-i)} \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n[\ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j) + (n+j) \left(\frac{1}{n+j} + \frac{1}{\ln(n+j)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j) + \frac{1}{\ln(n+j)} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then we see

$$B = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln n + \ln \ln n + \frac{1}{\ln n} + \ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i) + \frac{1}{\ln(n-i)}}{\ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j) + 1 + \frac{1}{\ln(n+j)}}$$

When $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then

$$B = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln n + \ln(n-i)}{\ln(n+j)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln(n^2 - in)}{\ln(n+j)} = +\infty$$

(Because $n^2 - in \gg n + j$, for $n \rightarrow +\infty$)

Or, for $n \geq N$, N is a large enough positive integer, then $A > 1$,

$$\frac{n(\ln n + \ln \ln n - 1) + (n-i)[\ln(n-i) + \ln \ln(n-i) - 1]}{(n+j)[\ln(n+j) + \ln \ln(n+j)]} > 1$$

It turns out, $p_n + p_{n-i} \geq p_{n+j}$.

Theorem 2. If c_1, c_2, \dots, c_j are j nonnegative integers (not necessarily distinct), and d_1, d_2, \dots, d_i are i positive integers (not necessarily distinct), with $1 \leq i < j$, then there exists a large enough positive integer N such that, for all $n \geq N$, $p_{n-c_1} + p_{n-c_2} + \dots + p_{n-c_j} > p_{n+d_1} + p_{n+d_2} + \dots + p_{n+d_i}$.

Applying Rosser's theorem for all $n \geq 6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+d_i)[\ln(n+d_i) + \ln \ln(n+d_i) - 1] &< p_{n+d_i} \\ &< (n+d_i)[\ln(n+d_i) + \ln \ln(n+d_i)] \end{aligned}$$

For all $n > c_j + 6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-c_j)[\ln(n-c_j) + \ln \ln(n-c_j) - 1] &< p_{n-c_j} \\ &< (n-c_j)[\ln(n-c_j) + \ln \ln(n-c_j)] \end{aligned}$$

Consider the expression

$$C = \frac{\sum_{g=1}^j (n-c_g)[\ln(n-c_g) + \ln \ln(n-c_g) - 1]}{\sum_{h=1}^i (n+d_h)[\ln(n+d_h) + \ln \ln(n+d_h)]}$$

We consider the following limit

$$D = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{g=1}^j (n-c_g)[\ln(n-c_g) + \ln \ln(n-c_g) - 1]}{\sum_{h=1}^i (n+d_h)[\ln(n+d_h) + \ln \ln(n+d_h)]}$$

Taking the \ln of the numerator and denominator and applying L'Hospital Rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{g=1}^j (n-c_g)[\ln(n-c_g) + \ln \ln(n-c_g) - 1] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{g=1}^j \ln(n-c_g) + \ln \ln(n-c_g) + \frac{1}{\ln(n-c_g)} \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{h=1}^i (n+d_h)[\ln(n+d_h) + \ln \ln(n+d_h)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{h=1}^i \ln(n+d_h) + \ln \ln(n+d_h) + \frac{1}{\ln(n+d_h)} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then we see

$$D = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{g=1}^j \ln(n - c_g) + \ln \ln(n - c_g) + \frac{1}{\ln(n - c_g)}}{\sum_{h=1}^i \ln(n + d_h) + \ln \ln(n + d_h) + \frac{1}{\ln(n + d_h)} + 1}$$

When $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then

$$D = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{g=1}^j \ln(n - c_g)}{\sum_{h=1}^i \ln(n + d_h)} = +\infty$$

(Because $\sum_{g=1}^j \ln(n - c_g) \gg \sum_{h=1}^i \ln(n + d_h)$, for $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $1 \leq i < j$)

Or, for $n \geq N$, N is a large enough positive integer, then $C > 1$,

$$\frac{\sum_{g=1}^j (n - c_g) [\ln(n - c_g) + \ln \ln(n - c_g) - 1]}{\sum_{h=1}^i (n + d_h) [\ln(n + d_h) + \ln \ln(n + d_h)]} > 1$$

It turns out, $p_{n-c_1} + p_{n-c_2} + \dots + p_{n-c_j} > p_{n+d_1} + p_{n+d_2} + \dots + p_{n+d_i}$.

3. Concluding Remark

In this short note we have provided the prime number inequality via Rosser and Schoenfeld bounds [4].

Acknowledgements

I thank VNU University of Science for accompanying me.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Bertrand, J. (1845) M'emoire sur le nombre de valeurs que peut prendre une fonction quand on y permute les lettres qu'elle renferme. *Journal de l'Ecole Royale Polytechnique Cahier*, **30**, 123-140.
- [2] Tchebichef, P. (1852) M'emoire sur les nombres premiers. *Journal de Mathématiques pures et appliquées*, **17**, 366-390.
- [3] Ishikawa, H. (1934) Über die Verteilung der Primzahlen. *Science Reports of the Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku, Section A*, **2**, 27-40.
- [4] Rosser, J.B. and Schoenfeld, L. (1962) Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, **6**, 64-94.
<https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1255631807>