Asian Research Journal of Mathematics

Volume 19, Issue 11, Page 165-174, 2023; Article no.ARJOM.104562 ISSN: 2456-477X

On Suborbits and Graphs Associated with Action of Alternating Groups on Cartesian Product of Two Sets

${\bf Stephen\ Kadedesya\ }^{\rm a,b^*,}\ {\bf Lewis\ Nyaga\ }^{\rm c}$ and Jane Rimberia d

^aPan African University, Institute for Basic Sciences, Technology and Innovation, Nairobi, Kenya. $^bBusitema University, Tororo, Uganda.$ </sup> c Jommo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Nairobi, Kenya. d Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2023/v19i11763

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104562>

> Received: 09/08/2023 Accepted: 12/10/2023

Original Research Article Published: 28/11/2023

Abstract

In this paper, the suborbits and graphs associated with the action of direct product of two Alternating groups on the Cartesian product of two sets are studied. It is shown that the suborbits are self-paired and the associated graphs are undirected and regular with girth 3.

Keywords: Alternating group; suborbit; suborbital graph; self-paired; connected component; girth; undirected; direct product; cartesian product.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: stephenkadedesya@aims.ac.za;

Asian Res. J. Math., vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 165-174, 2023

1 Introduction

The idea of suborbital graphs corresponding to non-trivial suborbits of a group acting on a set X was first investigated by Sims in 1967 on graphs and finite permutation groups, see [1]. He defined a suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_i corresponding to the suborbital $O_i \subseteq X \times X$ as a graph whose vertex set is X and edge set E consists of directed edges from x to y where $(x, y) \in O_i$. Since then, there has been an intensive study on these graphs by several researchers including; [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], among others.

Suborbital graphs and their properties for ordered triples in A_n , $(n = 5, 6, 7)$ through rank and subdegree determination were investigated by [16]. It was shown that if A_n ($n \geq 5$) acts on the ordered pairs, the suborbital graphs corresponding to the non-trivial suborbits are connected. Further, it was proved that the suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i corresponding to the suborbits Δ_i , $i = 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 28, 32, 33, 34$ are un directed (since the suborbits are self-paired) and the graphs \mathcal{G}_{jk} where $j = 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 25, 26, 29$ and $k = 4, 12, 13, 19, 18, 20, 21, 27, 30, 31$ are directed for each j and k (since the suborbits \triangle_i and \triangle_k are respectively paired).

Gikunju[17] constructed and investigated the suborbital graphs corresponding to the action of direct products of symmetric groups S_n on a Cartesian product of three sets. It was showed that the suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i , $i =$ $1, 2, \dots, n$ corresponding to the non-trivial suborbits O_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ are disconnected but \mathcal{G}_7 is connected each with girth 3 for all $n > 2$. It was further showed that the suborbital graphs are undirected and the graphs G_i , $i =$ 1, 2, \cdots , 7 are regular with the respective degrees $(n-1)$, $(n-1)$, $(n-1)$, $(n-1)^2$, $(n-1)^2$, $(n-1)^3$, and $(n-1)^3$ for all $n > 2$.

This paper investigates the properties of suborbital graphs associated with the action of direct products of Alternating groups A_n on Cartesian products of two sets.

1.1 Definitions and Preliminary results

Definition 1.1. [Product Action][18, p.3] Let (G_1, X_1) and (G_2, X_2) be permutation groups. The direct product $G_1 \times G_2$ acts on the the Cartesian product $X_1 \times X_2$ by the rule

$$
(g_1, g_2)(x_1, x_2) = (g_1x_1, g_2x_2) \ \forall \ g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2 \text{ and } x_1 \in X_1, \ x_2 \in X_2.
$$

Remark 1.1. Through out this paper, the group action defined is in a similar way as in Definition 1.1 as

$$
(g_1, g_2,)(x_1, x_2) = (g_1x_1, g_2x_2) \ \forall \ g_1, g_2 \in G \text{ and } x_i \in X_i
$$

where $G = A_n \times A_n$ and, $X_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, X_2 = \{n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n\}.$

Definition 1.2. Let \triangle be an orbit of G_x on X. Define $\triangle^* = \{gx : g \in G, x \in g\triangle\}$, then \triangle^* is also an orbit of G_x and is called the G_x -orbit paired with \triangle . Wielandt [19] proved that if $\triangle^* = \triangle$, then \triangle is called a self-paired orbit of G_x .

Definition 1.3. Suppose G is a group acting transitively on a set X and let G_x be the stabilizer in G of a point $x \in X$. The orbits $\Delta_0 = \{x\}, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \cdots \Delta_{k-1}$ of G_x on X are known as suborbits of G. The rank of G. in this case is k. The sizes $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1)$ are known as the subdegrees of G. It was proved by [20] that the rank and subdegrees of the suborbits Δ_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$) are independent of the choices of $x \in X$.

Theorem 1.1. [1] Let G be transitive on X and let suborbit Δ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$) correspond to suborbital O_i . Then the corresponding suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_i is

- 1. directed if Δ_i is self-paired and undirected if Δ_i is not self-paired
- 2. connected if and only if G is primitive.

2 Main Results

We recall that for $n \geq 3$, the action of $A_n \times A_n$ on $X_1 \times X_2$ is transitive and imprimitive with 3^2 suborbitals for $n = 3$ and 2^2 suborbitals for $n \geq 4$.

2.1 Suborbital graphs of $G = A_3 \times A_3$ acting on the $X_1 \times X_2$

We notice that the suborbit Δ_0 has only one element (coordinate), and therefore clearly self-paired and the graph corresponding to this suborbit is a null graph with no interesting properties to study.

Lemma 2.1. The suborbits $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \cdots$, and Δ_8 of G are self-paired.

Proof. By Definition 1.2, consider for example $\Delta_2 = \{(1,6)\}\$ and let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then $(g_1, g_2)(1, 6) = (1, 4)$ implies $g_1(1) = 1$ and $g_2(6) = 4$. Thus $(g_1, g_2) = ((1), (6\ 4)$. So, $(g_1, g_2)(1, 4) = (1, 6) \in \Delta_3$. Hence $\Delta_3^* = \Delta_3$ i.e. self-paired. Similarly, $\Delta_i : i = 1, 3, 4, \cdots, 8$ are self-paired \Box

Corollary 2.1. The suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, 8 corresponding to suborbits Δ_i are undirected.

Proof. Since the suborbits, Δ_i are self-paired, then by Theorem 1.1, we are done.

$$
\Box
$$

The suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i : $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ corresponding to the non-trivial suborbits Δ_i in the following way.

Let A and B be distinct points in $X_1 \times X_2$. Then the suborbital O_1 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_1 is given as;

 $O_1 = \{(g_1, g_2)(1, 4), (g_1, g_2)(1, 5) | (g_1, g_2) \in G\}.$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_1 from A to B if the first coordinates in A and B are identical but the second coordinates are different.

Remark 1. The graph \mathcal{G}_2 corresponding to Δ_2 is same as \mathcal{G}_1 as they both have have edges between points with similar conditions.

Fig. 1. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_1 corresponding to suborbit Δ_1 of $A_3 \times A_3$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

The suborbital graphs corresponding to suborbits Δ_3 , and, Δ_4 are same as they both have edges between points with similar conditions. For example, suborbital O_4 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_4 is given as;

$$
O_4 = \{ (g_1, g_2)(1, 4), (g_1, g_2)(3, 4) | (g_1, g_2) \in G \}.
$$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_4 from A to B if the first coordinates in A and B are different but the second coordinates are identical.

Fig. 2. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_4 corresponding to suborbit Δ_4 of $A_3 \times A_3$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

The suborbital graphs corresponding to suborbits $\Delta_i : i = 5, 6, 7, 8$ are same as they all have edges between points with similar conditions. For example, suborbital O_8 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_8 is given as;

$$
O_7 = \{ (g_1, g_2)(1, 4), (g_1, g_2)(3, 6) | (g_1, g_2) \in G \}.
$$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_4 from A to B if the first and second coordinates in A are different from the first and second coordinates in B.

2.2 Suborbital graphs of $G = A_4 \times A_4$ acting on the $X_1 \times X_2$

For the four suborbits of this action, self-pairedness is checked for each of the suborbit and the corresponding suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ constructed.

Since the suborbit Δ_0 has only one element (coordinate), then it is clearly self-paired and the graph corresponding to this suborbit is a null graph with no interesting properties to study.

Lemma 2.2. The remaining suborbits Δ_1, Δ_2 , and Δ_3 of G are self-paired.

Proof. By Definition 1.2, consider for example $\Delta_1 = \{(1, 6), (1, 7), (1, 8)\}$ and let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then $(g_1, g_2)(1, 6) =$ $(1, 5)$ implies $g_1(1) = 1$ and $g_2(6) = 5$. Thus $(g_1, g_2) = ((1), (6, 5)$. So, $(g_1, g_2)(1, 5) = (1, 6) \in \Delta_1$. Hence $\triangle_1^* = \triangle_1$ i.e. self-paired. Similarly, $\triangle_i : i = 2, 3$ are self-paired \Box

Corollary 2.2. The suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ of corresponding to suborbits Δ_i are undirected.

Fig. 3. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_8 corresponding to suborbit Δ_8 of $A_3 \times A_3$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, suborbits Δ_i are self-paired. Now by Theorem 1.1, we are done.

 \Box

The suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i : $i = 1, 2, 3$ corresponding to the non-trivial suborbits Δ_i in the following way.

Let A and B be distinct points in $X_1 \times X_2$. Then the suborbital O_1 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_1 is given as;

$$
O_1 = \{ (g_1, g_2)(1, 5), (g_1, g_2)(1, 6) | (g_1, g_2) \in G \}.
$$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_1 from A to B if the first coordinates in A and B are identical but the second coordinates are different.

Fig. 4. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_1 corresponding to suborbit Δ_1 of $A_4 \times A_4$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

The suborbital O_2 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_2 is given as;

$$
O_2 = \{ (g_1, g_2)(1, 5), (g_1, g_2)(2, 5) | (g_1, g_2) \in G \}.
$$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_2 from A to B if the first coordinates in A and B are different but the second coordinates are the same.

Fig. 5. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_2 corresponding to suborbit Δ_2 of $A_4 \times A_4$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

Suborbital O_3 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_2 is given as;

$$
O_3 = \{ (g_1, g_2)(1, 5), (g_1, g_2)(2, 6) | (g_1, g_2) \in G \}.
$$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_3 from A to B if the first and second coordinates in A are different from the first and second coordinates in B.

2.3 Suborbital graphs of $G = A_5 \times A_5$ acting on the $X_1 \times X_2$

Since there are four suborbits for this action, we again check self-pairedness for each of the suborbit and also construct the corresponding suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

The suborbit Δ_0 has only one element (coordinate), and therefore clearly self-paired and the graph corresponding to this suborbit is a null graph with no interesting properties to study.

Lemma 2.3. The suborbits Δ_1, Δ_2 , and Δ_3 of G are self-paired.

Proof. By Definition 1.2, consider for example $\Delta_2 = \{(2, 6), (3, 6), (4, 6), (5, 6)\}\$ and let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then $(g_1, g_2)(2, 6) = (1, 6)$ implies $g_1(2) = 1$ and $g_2(6) = 6$. Thus $(g_1, g_2) = ((2\ 1), (6)$. So, $(g_1, g_2)(1, 6) = (2, 6) \in \Delta_2$. Hence $\triangle_2^* = \triangle_1$ i.e. self-paired. Similarly, \triangle_1 and \triangle_3 are self-paired.

Corollary 2.3. The suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ of corresponding to suborbits Δ_i are undirected.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, Δ_i are self-paired. So by Theorem 1.1, the proof is complete.

 \Box

Fig. 6. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_3 corresponding to suborbit Δ_3 of $A_4 \times A_4$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

The suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i : $i = 1, 2, 3$ corresponding to the non-trivial suborbits Δ_i in the following way. Let A and B be distinct points in $X_1 \times X_2$. Then the suborbital O_1 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_1 is given as;

$$
O_1 = \{ (g_1, g_2)(1, 6), (g_1, g_2)(1, 7) | (g_1, g_2) \in G \}.
$$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_1 from A to B if the first coordinates in A and B are identical but the second coordinates are different.

Fig. 7. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_1 corresponding to suborbit Δ_1 of $A_5 \times A_5$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

The suborbital O_2 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_2 is given as;

$$
O_2 = \{ (g_1, g_2)(1, 6), (g_1, g_2)(2, 6) | (g_1, g_2) \in G \}.
$$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_2 from A to B if the first coordinates in A and B are different but the second coordinates are the same.

Fig. 8. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_2 corresponding to suborbit Δ_2 of $A_5 \times A_5$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

Suborbital O_3 corresponding to the suborbit Δ_2 is given as;

$$
O_3 = \{ (g_1, g_2)(1, 6), (g_1, g_2)(2, 7) | (g_1, g_2) \in G \}.
$$

Thus there exists an edge in \mathcal{G}_3 from A to B if the first and second coordinates in A are different from the first and second coordinates in B.

Fig. 9. Suborbital graph \mathcal{G}_3 corresponding to suborbit Δ_3 of $A_5 \times A_5$ acting on $X_1 \times X_2$

2.4 Suborbital graphs of $G = A_n \times A_n$ acting on the $X_1 \times X_2$

In this Subsection, we generalize results from Subsections 2.1,2.2, and 2.3 as follows;

Lemma 2.4. For $n > 3$, the suborbits $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$ of G are self-paired.

Proof. By Definition 1.2, the trivial suborbit Δ_0 is clearly self-paired since it has only one element. Now consider $\Delta_1 = \{(1, n+2), (1, n+3), \cdots, (1, 2n)\}\$ and let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then we have $(g_1, g_2)\{(1, n+2), (1, n+3), \cdots, (1, 2n)\}\$. Since the first coordinates are constant, we consider; $g_2\{n+2, n+3, \dots, 2n\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ implying $g_2 = \{(n+2) \mid (n+3) \mid (n+3) \mid (2n, n-1)\}.$ Thus $g_2\{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\} = \{n+2, n+3, \cdots, 2n\} \in \Delta_1.$ Hence $\triangle_1^* = \triangle_1$ i.e. self-paired.

For $\Delta_2 = \{(2, n + 1), (3, n + 1), \cdots, (n, n + 1)\}\$ if $(g_1, g_2)\{(2, n + 1), (3, n + 1), \cdots, (n, n + 1)\}\$, then since the second coordinate is constant, we have $g_1\{2, 3, \cdots, n\} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$ considered. This implies that $g_1 = \{(2\ 1)(3\ 2), \cdots, (n\ n-1)\}\$ and consequently $g_1\{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\} = \{2, 3, \cdots, n\} \in \Delta_2$ i.e., Δ_2 is also self-paired.

Lastly, for $\triangle_3 = \{(2, n+2), (3, n+2), \cdots, (n, n+2), (2, n+3), (2, n+4), \cdots, (2, 2n), \cdots,$ $(n, 2n)$. If (g_1, g_2) { $(2, n + 2), (3, n + 2), \cdots, (n, 2n)$ }, we consider $g_1\{2, 3, \cdots, n\}$ $g_2\{n + 2, n + 3, \cdots, 2n\}$ $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. But g_1 and g_2 are already obtained and moreover, $(g_1, g_2)\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} = \{(2, n+2), (3, n+1)\}$ 2), \cdots , $(n, n+2)$, $(2, n+3)$, $(2, n+4)$, \cdots , $(2, 2n)$, \cdots , $(n, 2n)$ } $\in \Delta_3$. Hence Δ_3 is self-paired. \Box

Corollary 2.4. For $n > 3$, the suborbital graphs \mathcal{G}_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ corresponding to suborbits Δ_i for the action $A_n \times A_n$ on $X_1 \times X_2$ are undirected.

Proof. Since by Lemma 2.4 the suborbits are self-paired, then by Theorem 1.1, the proof is complete. \Box

3 Conclusion

The graph \mathcal{G}_0 corresponding to trivial suborbit, Δ_0 is a null graph for all $n \geq 3$ with no properties to explore.

For $n = 3$, the graphs \mathcal{G}_1 , and \mathcal{G}_2 are same and \mathcal{G}_3 , and \mathcal{G}_4 are the same too with properties; regular of degree $n-1$, girth 3 and disconnected with $n-1$ connected components. Also, graphs $\mathcal{G}_k : k = 5, 6, \dots, 8$ are also same and are regular of degree $n + 1$ with girth 3.

For $n > 3$, there is agreement of the subdegrees of the action of $A_n \times A_n$ on $X_1 \times X_2$ with regularity of the graphs: \mathcal{G}_1 , and \mathcal{G}_2 are regular with degree $n-1$, and \mathcal{G}_3 is regular of degree $(n-1)^2$ with all graphs having a girth of 3.

Acknowledgements

The first author would like to extend sincere thanks to Pan African University Scholarship for the financial support.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Charles C Sims. Graphs and nite permutation groups. Mathematische Zeitschrift. 1967;95(1):76-86.
- [2] Ireri Nthiga Kamuti. Combinatorial formulas, invariants and structures associated with primitive permutation representations of PSL(2; q) and PGL(2; q). PhD Thesis, University of Southampton; 1992.
- [3] Rek Keskin, Bahar Demirturk. On suborbital graphs for the normalizer of 0(N). the electronic Journal of Combinatorics. 2009;16(1):R116.
- [4] Kamuti IN, Inyangala EB, Rimberia JK. Action of on and the Corresponding Suborbital Graphs. In International Mathematical Forum. 2012;7(30):1483-1490.
- [5] Kinyanjui JN, Musundi SW, Rimberia J, Mwenda E, Ruto PK. Suborbital Graphs and their properties for unordered pairs in An $(n= 5, 6, 7, 8)$ through rank and subdegrees determination. 2013;3(12).
- [6] Nyaga L, Kamuti I. Suborbital graphs of the symmetric group Sn acting on unordered r-element subsets. Journal of Agriculture, Science and Technology. 2013;15(1):114-21.
- [7] Jane Kagwiria Rimberia, Ireri Kamuti, Bernard Kivunge, and Francis Kinyua. Suborbits and suborbital graphs of the symmetric group Sn acting on ordered r-element subsets. Mathematical Theory and Modeling. 2013;3(11):115-120.
- [8] Fawcett JB, Giudici M, Li CH, Praeger CE, Royle G, Verret G. Primitive permutation groups with a suborbit of length 5 and vertex-primitive graphs of valency 5. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A. 2018;157:247-66. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2018.02.008.
- [9] Murat Besenk. Some Remarks on Orbital Digraphs for the Finite Primitive Groups. Journal of Algebra and Computational Applications. 2018;7(1):14-24.
- [10] Odondo FO. Suborbital Graphs of Groups Cn; Dn and Up and Graphs whose Auto- morphism Groups Contain the Permutation Groups Cn and Dn. PhD Thesis, Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya; 2018.
- [11] Njagi Loyford, Nzimbi B N, and Mwenda Edwin. Subdgrees and Suborbital Graphs 18 of Symmetric Groups Sn (n = 3; 4; 5) acting on Unordered Pairs. Global Educational Reasearch Journal. 2015;333-345.
- [12] Loyford Njagi. Ranks, Subdegrees, and Suborbital Graphs of Symmetric Group sn Acting on Ordered Pairs. Journal of Advance Research in Applied Science. 2016;3(2). URL https://doi.org/10.53555/nnas.v3i2.664.
- [13] Khuanchanok Chaichana and Pradthana Jaipong. In nite Paths of Mininmal length on Suborbital Graphs for some Fuchsian Groups. International Journal of Mathe- matics and Mathematical Sciences. 2019(Article ID 7652729):8. Available:https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7652729.
- [14] Gachogu RW, Kamuti IN, Gichuki MN. Properties of Suborbits of the Dihedral Group Dn Acting on Ordered Subsets. Advances in Pure Mathematics. 2017;7(8):375-82.
- [15] Chalapathi T and Kiran Kumar. Graphs of Permuation Groups. International Journal of Computer Applications. 2017;179(3):14-19.
- [16] Cedric W Ndarinyo, Vincent N Marani, Lucy W Chikamai, and Mulambula Andanje. Suborbital graphs and their properties for ordered triples in An(n = 5; 6; 7) through rank and subdegree determination. International Journal of Engineering. 2016;9(1):18-23.
- [17] Muriuki GD, Namu NL, Kagwiria RJ. Ranks, sub degrees and suborbital graphs of direct product of the symmetric group acting on the cartesian product of three sets. Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal. $2017;6(1):1$.
- [18] Peter J Cameron, Daniele A Gewurz, and Francesca Merola. Product action. Discrete Mathematics. 2008;308(2):386-394.
- [19] Helmut Wielandt. Finite permutation groups. Academic Press, New York; 1964.
- [20] Ivanov AA, Klin MK, Tsaranov SV, Shpektorov SV. On the problem of computing the subdegrees of transitive permutation groups. Russian Mathematical Surveys. 1983 Dec 31;38(6):123.

© 2023 Kadedesya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\),](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribu-tion, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$, we can consider the constant of $\mathcal{L}=\{1,3,4\}$

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar) https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104562