



---

## **Effects of Biofertilizer and Phosphorus on Growth, Yield Components and Yield of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)**

**Abisha P. <sup>a\*</sup> and Shikha Singh <sup>a#</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj-211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2031159

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88054>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 08 April 2022**  
**Accepted 17 June 2022**  
**Published 21 June 2022**

---

### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at Crop Research Farm (CRF), Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj (UP) during Rabi 2021 to study the "Effects of Biofertilizer and Phosphorus on Growth, Yield Components and Yield of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L)". It was consisting of three combinations of biofertilizer and phosphorus. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments each replicated three times. The experiment results were revealed that the growth and yield parameters such as plant height (54.94 cm), number of nodules per plant (36.28), plant dry weight (23.71g/plant), number of pods per plant (34.29), number of seeds per pod (1.89), test weight (245.76 g) at harvest significantly recorded in T<sub>9</sub> with the application of Rhizobium+PSB + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. Moreover, grain yield (2.07 t/ha), stover yield (3.58 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), gross return (108523.7 INR/ha), net return (75364.02 INR/ha) and B:C ratio (2.27) were also recorded higher in T<sub>9</sub> which is Rhizobium+PSB + Phosphorus 60kg/ha compared to other treatments.

**Keywords:** *Rhizobium; PSB; Phosphorus; Chickpea; Yield.*

---

<sup>o</sup> M.Sc. Scholar,

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor,

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [abishapushparaj1996@gmail.com](mailto:abishapushparaj1996@gmail.com);

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Pulses play an important and diverse role in the farming system and in the diets of people around the world. Besides being rich in protein, they sustain the productivity of cropping systems. Pulse crop plays an important role in Indian Agriculture” [1]. “India is the largest producer and consumer of pulses in the world. Pulses contain high percentage of quality protein nearly three times as much as cereals” [2]. Pulses are known for increasing productivity of soil through fixation of nitrogen from atmosphere, addition of biomass to soil and secretion of growth promoting substances.

“Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the most important Rabi pulse crop of India. Among the pulse crops, chickpea occupies an important position due to its nutritious value (17-23%). Area under chickpea cultivation in India is around 8.3mha and in Andhra Pradesh it is 4.7lakh ha. All India production is around 7.1 million tonnes and it is 5 lakh tonnes in Andhra Pradesh” [3]. “The current average global yield of chickpea is  $0.9 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ , which is much lower than its estimated potential of  $6 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  under the optimum cultivated conditions” [4]. The low production of chickpea is due to improper use of fertilizers and least importance given to biofertilizers such as Rhizobium and PSB.

“Plant nutrients are the main sources for improving quantity and quality of chickpea production. Phosphorus is known to improve crop quality. It is also an important constituent of vital substances like phospholipids and phosphoproteins. Sufficient supply of phosphorus to plant, hastens the maturity and increases the rate of nodulation and pod development. The increase in the nodulation might be due to the enhanced and established good rooting system with the application of phosphorus. Phosphorus is one of the critical nutrient deficiency in Indian soils and may cause upto 29-45% yield losses in chickpea” [5]. “Most of the phosphorus present in the soil is unavailable to plants which are made available through the activities of efficient microorganisms like bacteria, fungi and cyanobacteria with production of organic acid and increasing Phosphatase enzyme activity” [6].

“Biofertilizers may colonizes the rhizosphere and promotes growth by increasing the availability and supply of nutrients to crop. Nitrogen fixer and

phosphate solubilizing microorganisms play an important role in supplementing nitrogen and phosphorus to plants, allowing a sustainable use of nitrogen and phosphate fertilizer” [7]. Chickpea establishes a symbiotic association with Rhizobium. Rhizobium is one of the nitrogen fixing bacteria which fix atmospheric nitrogen by the symbiotic association with leguminous plants. Rhizobium originally called *Bacillus redicicola*, was first isolated by Beijerinck (1901). However, PSB also increase the yield of chickpea by 10-30%. Both Rhizobium and PSB inoculation significantly increased the nodules mainly due to the fact that “the nitrogenase enzyme present in the bacteria get introduced through infection causes nodule formation. Application of PSB facilitates the root development vis-à-vis nodule formation and proper development of nodules by increasing the availability of phosphorus through mobilising the unavailable phosphorus present in the soil. The use of bio-fertilizers with reduced quantity of chemical fertilizers is therefore recommended for better yield of chickpea” [8]. Hence, an experiment was conducted to study the effects of biofertilizer and phosphorus on growth, yield components and yield of chickpea.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out during Rabi 2021-2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, which is located at  $25.28^{\circ}\text{N}$  latitude,  $81.54^{\circ}\text{E}$  longitude and 98m altitude above the mean sea level. The experiment laid out in Randomized Block Design which consisting of ten treatments with  $T_1$ : Rhizobium 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 20kg/ha,  $T_2$ : Rhizobium 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha,  $T_3$ : Rhizobium 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha,  $T_4$ : PSB 20 g/kg seed + Phosphorus 20kg/ha,  $T_5$ : PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha,  $T_6$ : PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha,  $T_7$ : Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 20kg/ha,  $T_8$ : Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha,  $T_9$ : Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha,  $T_{10}$ : Control in three replications. The observations on different growth parameters are Plant height (cm), number of nodules per plant, Plant dry weight (g) and yield parameters are number of pods per plant, Number of seeds per pod, test weight (g), Seed yield (kg/ha), and Stover yield (kg/ha) were recorded and statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) as applicable to Randomized Block Design.

**Table 1. Details of treatment combinations**

<b>Treatment No.</b>	<b>Treatment Combinations</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	Rhizobium 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 20kg/ha
T <sub>2</sub>	Rhizobium 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 40kg/ha
T <sub>3</sub>	Rhizobium 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 60kg/ha
T <sub>4</sub>	PSB 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 20kg/ha
T <sub>5</sub>	PSB 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 40kg/ha
T <sub>6</sub>	PSB 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 60kg/ha
T <sub>7</sub>	Rhizobium + PSB 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 20kg/ha
T <sub>8</sub>	Rhizobium + PSB 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 40kg/ha
T <sub>9</sub>	Rhizobium + PSB 20g/kg seeds + Phosphorus 60kg/ha
T <sub>10</sub>	Control

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Growth Attributes

##### 3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

As shown in Table 2, significantly highest plant height (54.94cm) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha, than all other treatments. However, T<sub>8</sub> Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha was statistically at par with T<sub>9</sub> Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha. The minimum plant height was recorded in control plot which is 49.41cm. The plant height of chickpea was significantly influenced by biofertilizers. The increase in growth might be due to enhanced photosynthetic efficiency of Rhizobium+PSB inoculated plant. Inoculations of PSB which are known to produce growth hormones are likely to favour increased plant height. Phosphorus applications increased plant height and number of branches [9].

##### 3.1.2 Number of nodules per plant

The number of nodules per plant in chickpea were significantly influenced by Rhizobium and PSB inoculation at flowering stage of the crop growth. Maximum number of nodules per plant (36.28) was recorded with the application of Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. However, T<sub>8</sub> Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha was statistically at par with T<sub>9</sub> Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. The minimum number of nodules per plant were recorded in control plot which is 28.46. Inoculation of seed with Rhizobium+PSB produce significantly higher number of nodules in comparison to other inoculants [10]. The data (Table 2) indicates that the increase of phosphorus levels has increased

the number of nodules per plant with combined application of Rhizobium+PSB.

##### 3.1.3 Plant dry weight (g)

Treatment with Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha was recorded with significantly maximum plant dry weight (23.71g) than all other treatments. However, T<sub>8</sub> Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha was statistically at par with T<sub>9</sub> Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. The minimum plant dry weight (16.15g) was observed in control plot. Application of Phosphorus significantly increased the dry matter accumulation in the plant and differences were seen in 60 kg P/ha. The response of phosphorus in terms of dry matter accumulation up to 60kg P/ha have also been reported Singh et al. [11] in chickpea. Seed inoculation with Rhizobium+PSB also produced significantly higher dry matter of chickpea. Thenua et al. [12] reported that application of phosphorus as single super phosphate (SSP) recorded significantly higher plant height, branches per plant and drymatter accumulation in chickpea.

#### 3.2 Yield Attributes

As shown in Table 3, yield parameters are summarized statistically. At the time of harvest, significantly effective number of pods per plant (34.29) was recorded with combined application of Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. However, Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha was statistically at par with Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. The minimum effective pods per plant (26.65) was recorded in control plot. Significantly maximum number of seeds per pod (1.89) was recorded with application of Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. However, Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus

40kg/ha was statistically at par with Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. The minimum number of seeds per pod (1.11) was recorded in control plot. Significantly, maximum test weight (245.76g) was recorded in treatment combination Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg /ha. However, Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha was statistically at par with Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. The minimum test weight was recorded in control plot which is 194.39g.

Significantly, maximum grain yield (2.07t/ha) was recorded with Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. However, Rhizobium+PSB

20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha was statistically at par with Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. The minimum grain yield (1.65t/ha) was recorded in control plot. Significantly, maximum stover yield (3.58t/ha) was recorded in the treatment combination of Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. However, Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 40kg/ha was statistically at par with Rhizobium+PSB 20g/kg seed + Phosphorus 60kg/ha. The minimum stover yield (2.50t/ha) was recorded in control plot. Interaction of inoculation of biofertilizer and different levels of phosphorus was also found to be highly significant.

**Table 2. Effect of biofertilizer and phosphorus on growth attributes of chickpea**

S.No	Treatments	Plant Height (cm)	Number of nodules/plant	Plant Dry weight (g)
1	Rhizobium+Phosphorus 20kg/ha	53.25	33.24	20.58
2	Rhizobium+Phosphorus 40kg/ha	52.16	32.87	19.75
3	Rhizobium+Phosphorus 60kg/ha	53.92	35.80	21.82
4	PSB+Phosphorus 20kg/ha	52.59	32.19	19.79
5	PSB+Phosphorus 40kg/ha	51.81	34.47	19.83
6	PSB+Phosphorus 60kg/ha	52.68	31.68	18.45
7	Rhizobium+PSB+Phosphorus 20kg/ha	52.75	33.13	20.67
8	Rhizobium+PSB+Phosphorus 40kg/ha	54.46	35.93	22.33
9	Rhizobium+PSB+Phosphorus 60kg/ha	54.94	36.28	23.71
10	Control	49.41	28.46	16.15
	F test	S	S	S
	SEm(±)	0.48	0.39	0.49
	CD (P=0.05)	1.42	1.15	1.45

**Table 3. Effect of biofertilizer and phosphorus on yield attributes of chickpea**

S.No	Treatments	Pods/plant (No)	Seeds/pod (No.)	Test weight (g)	Seed Yield (t/ha)	Stover Yield (t/ha)
1	Rhizobium+Phosphorus 20kg/ha	29.74	1.33	197.83	1.67	2.57
2	Rhizobium+Phosphorus 40kg/ha	28.84	1.22	203.81	1.83	2.98
3	Rhizobium+Phosphorus 60kg/ha	31.74	1.56	229.89	1.92	3.26
4	PSB+Phosphorus 20kg/ha	28.19	1.11	218.58	1.87	2.78
5	PSB+Phosphorus 40kg/ha	30.94	1.11	198.86	1.69	2.61
6	PSB+Phosphorus 60kg/ha	27.17	1.22	212.48	1.88	2.84
7	Rhizobium+PSB+Phosphorus 20kg/ha	29.26	1.11	206.18	1.72	2.75
8	Rhizobium+PSB+Phosphorus 40kg/ha	32.86	1.78	237.04	1.98	3.47
9	Rhizobium+PSB+Phosphorus 60kg/ha	34.29	1.89	245.76	2.07	3.58
10	Control	26.65	1.11	194.39	1.65	2.50
	F test	S	S	S	S	S
	SEm(±)	0.49	0.12	5.29	31.39	66.01
	CD (P=0.05)	1.46	0.36	15.72	93.27	196.1

Application of Phosphorus + Rhizobium + PSB recorded higher value of growth as well as yield contributing characters similar result was given by Jarande et al. [13] than all other treatments. Application of phosphorus 60kg/ha significantly increased yield attributes like pods per plant, seeds per pod, test weight, seed yield and stover yield [14-16]. Combined effect of Rhizobium+PSB seed inoculation recorded significantly higher yield attributes than Rhizobium or PSB inoculation alone. The beneficial effect of Rhizobium and PSB inoculation was also reported by Singh et al. [17] observed significantly higher seed yield of chickpea with rhizobium inoculation than untreated treatment.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From the above study it is concluded that the Treatment 9 with Rhizobium + PSB + Phosphorus 60kg/ha gave best results and performed better in growth and yield parameters of chickpea. It was found more productive, when compared to other treatments. As it was more productive, it can be recommended to farmers after further trails.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Jitendra Kumar Yadav, Mahendra Sharma, RN Yadav, SK Yadav and Saroj Yadav. Effect of different organic manures on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(5):1857-1860.
2. Umadevi M, Ganesan NM. Analysis for yield and quality characters in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Legume Res. 2007;30(3):197-200.
3. Annual Report. Ministry of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare (MoA&F), Government of India; 2016-17.
4. FAO. Agriculture Data; 2012. Available: <http://faostat.fao.org/site/567>
5. Ahlawat IPS, Gangaiyah B, Zahid MA. Nutrient Management in Chickpea. Chickpea Breeding and Management, CABI, Walling Ford, UK. 2007;213 -232.
6. Rajneesh Singh, Tej Pratap, Durgesh Singh, Ghanshyam Singh and Abhinav Kumar Singh. Effect of phosphorus, Sulphur and biofertilizers on growth attributes and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(2):3871-3875.
7. Tambekar DH, Gulhane SR, Somkuwar DO, Ingle KB, Kanchalwar SP. Potential Rhizobium and phosphate solubilizers as a biofertilizers from saline belt of Akola and Buldhana district, India. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2009;5(4):578-582.
8. Dinesh Kumar LK, Arvadiya KL, Desai VP, Usadadia, Patel AM. Growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by graded levels of fertilizers and biofertilizers. An International Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences.2015;10(1):335-338.
9. Dahiya S, Mehar S, Singh M. Relative growth performances of chickpea genotypes to irrigation and fertilizers application. Haryana J. Agron. 1993;9(2):172-175.
10. Akansha Singh AK, Sachan, Vipin Kumar RK. Pathak, Shubham Srivastav. Effects of Phosphorus with Biofertilizers on Yield and Nutrient Content of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under Central Uttar Pradesh Condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;10(02):2228-2234.
11. Singh AK, Singh B, Singh HC. Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to fertilizer phosphorus and zinc application under rainfed condition of eastern U.P. Indian J. Dryland Agric. Res. & Dev. 2005;20(2):114-117.
12. Thenua OVS, Singh SP, Shivakumar BG. Productivity and economics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*. L) + fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) cropping systems as influenced by phosphorus sources, biofertilizers and irrigation to chickpea. Indian J. Agron. 2010;55:22-27.
13. Jarande NN, Mankar PS, Khawale VS, Kanse AA, Mendhe JT. Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*.L) to different levels of phosphorus through inorganic and organic sources J. Soils Crops. 2006;16:240-243.
14. Arya RL, Kushwaha BL, Singh BN. Effect of phosphorus management on growth, yield attributes and yield of maize – chickpea cropping system. Indian J. Pulses Res. 2002;15(2):161 -165.

15. Meena LR, Singh RK, Gautam RC. Effect of moisture conservation practices, phosphorus levels and bacterial inoculation on chickpea under rainfed condition. Indian J. Agron. 2002;47:398-404.
16. Pramanik K, Singh RK. Effect of levels and mode of phosphorus and biofertilizers on chickpea under dryland conditions. Indian J. Agron. 2003;48:294-296.
17. Singh G, Sekhon HS, Sharma P. Effect of irrigation and biofertilizer on water use, nodulation, growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Agron. Soil Sci. 2011;57(7):715-726.

© 2022 Abisha and Singh; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88054>