

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(23): 299-303, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.91633 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Field Efficacy of Some Insecticides and Neem Products against Tomato Fruit Borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] in Tomato

Adunoori Jyothi <sup>1#\*</sup> and Anoorag R. Tayde <sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, India.
<sup>2</sup> Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom

University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj – 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2331593

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91633

Original Research Article

Received 14 July 2022 Accepted 17 September 2022 Published 29 September 2022

# ABSTRACT

The field trial was conducted at Central Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttarpradesh during *Rabi,* 2021-2022. The experiment was laid out in RBD (Randomized Block Design). Seven treatments were replicated thrice: Neem oil, NSKE (Neem Seed Kernal Extract) 2%, Flubendiamide 480 SG, Novaluron 10% EC, Indoxacarb 14.5% SC, Emamectin benzoate 5%SG, Spinosad 45% SC and untreated Control. The treatments were tested to compare their efficacy against *Helicoverpa armigera* and their influences on the yield of tomatoes. Out of all treatments Indoxacarb 14.5% SC, Spinosad 45% SC, Flubendiamide 480SG and Novaluron10% EC recorded the minimum fruit infestation by 10.24, 11.31, 12.21 and 13.21 percent respectively. The highest yield was noticed in Indoxacarb14.5% SC (212.5 q/ha), followed by Spinosad 45% SC (200 q/ha) and Flubendiamide 480 SC (187.5 q/h). The best and most economical treatment is Indoxacarb (1:8.75), followed by Spinosad (1:8.16), Flubendiamide (1:7.55), Novaluron (1:6.38), Emamectin benzoate (1:5.86), Neem oil (1:5.64), NSKE (1:4.63), as compared to control T0-control (1:4.25) having the lowest B: C ratio.

<sup>#</sup> M.Sc. Scholar;

<sup>†</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: jyothiadhunoori@gmail.com;

Keywords: Helicoverpa armigera; tomato; indoxacarb; neem products; insecticides; cost-benefit ratio.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is the largest vegetable crop in the world after potato and sweet potato and it is a warm-season crop. It is the most significant vegetable, belongs to the Solanaceae family, and is widely produced for both fresh market and processing. Tomatoes are high in vitamin C, manganese, and vitamin E. Furthermore, tomato lycopene is a potent antioxidant that lowers the incidence of prostate cancer [1].

Tomatoes are grown on an area of 23,886.63 hectares with a total production of 190,000 tons, an average yield of 32.20 tons/acre. China is the largest producer of tomatoes in the world. It contributes to around 34.75 percent of total tomato production worldwide. India contributes 10% of the total world tomato production with a production of 19.01 million tons. Every year, the rate of growth is increased by 1.45%. Turkey and the United States are the third and fourth-largest tomato producers.

India is the world's second-largest producer of tomatoes. Andhra Pradesh is responsible for 20% of all tomato production in India. Madhya Pradesh is the second-largest producer of tomatoes in India, and it contributes 12% of the country's total production. Karnataka is the third-largest producer of tomatoes in contributing 10% of the India. total. Guiarat, Maharashtra, Chhattisgarh, Telangana, and Tamil Nadu are the next four largest producers.

Whitefly (Bemisia tabaci), leaf hopper (Amrasca devastans), leaf miner (Liriomyza trifolil), potato aphid (Myzus persicae), and hadda beetle (Epilachana dodecastigma) are the most common insect pests of tomatoes [2]. The most common and serious pest of the tomato is the fruit borer (Helicoverpa armigera) due to its direct attack on fruits, high mobility, voracious feeder and overlapping generations. The worldwide annual yield loss due to H. armigera alone is approximately 5 billion US dollars [3]. The tomato fruit borer, He/icoverpa armigera) is a highly polyphagous species and a pest of great economic importance for a wide variety of crops, especially cotton, soybean, tobacco, chickpea and pigeon pea.

*Helicoverpa armigera* is one of the most important and destructive pests in tomato farms in India, causing production losses of up to 50-80%. Fruit losses in Tamil Nadu range between 40 to 50%. Similarly, the tomato fruit worm has caused a 30 percent loss of produce in Northern India. In India's tomato-growing regions, this insect pest has caused 5-55% losses. Tomato fruit borer also caused a 35% yield loss in tomatoes and 37.79% specifically in Karnataka, India.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Central Research Farm of the Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during the Rabi season of 2021-2022. The research field is situated at 25°27' North latitude 80°50. East longitudes and an altitude of 98 meters above sea level. The climate is typically semi-arid and sub-tropical. The maximum temperature reaches up to 47°C in summer and drops down to 2.5°C in winter. The average rainfall in this area is around 1013.4 mm annually.

It was carried out in a Randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. A good tilth area was divided into three main blocks. Each main block was subdivided into 8 sub-plots of 2mx2m The experiment has 8 treatments size. comprising of Neem oil (3 ml/lit), NSKE 2% (5 ml/lit), Flubendiamide 480 SC (0.5 q/lit), Novaluron 10% EC (1.5 ml/lit), Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1 ml/lit), Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (0.5 g/lit), Spinosad 45% SC (0.2 ml/lit) and untreated One-month-old seedlings Control. were transplanted @ two seedlings per hill at a spacing of 60 x 40 cm.

The observations of fruit borers were recorded by observing the damaged (infested) fruits and healthy fruits on five randomly selected plants. Observations on percent infestation were recorded one day before spray 3rd, 7th, and 14th, days after spraying. Insecticidal spray solution of desired concentration for each treatment was freshly prepared each time at the site of an experiment, just before spraying.

The extent of the damage was computed by using the formula;

#### 2.1 Percent Fruit Infestation

Percent fruit damage =  $\frac{number of damaged fruits}{total number of fruits} x 100$ 

## 2.2 Benefit Cost Ratio

Cost-effectiveness of each treatment was assessed based on net returns the net return of each treatment was worked out by deducting the total cost of the treatment from the gross return. The total cost of production included both cultivations as well as plant protection charges.

 $Benefit - cost \ ratio \ = \frac{Net \ returns}{Total \ cost}$ 

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study entitled, "Field efficacy of some insecticides and neem products against tomato fruit borer, *Hellicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in tomato" The data so obtained through observation on various aspects were subjected to statistical analysis wherever necessary and the compiled mean data are tabulated in the following pages.

The data on the percent infestation of fruit borer on mean after the first spray revealed that all treatments were significantly superior to control. With regard to all of the treatments, Indoxacarb had the lowest percentage of fruit borer infestation (11.70%), followed by Spinosad (12.52%), Flubendiamide (13.33%), Novaluron (13.69%), Emamectin benzoate (14.44%), Neem oil (15.20%), and NSKE (15.51%).

All of the treatments outperformed the control by a significant margin. The data on the percent infestation of fruit borer on mean after the second spray revealed that all treatments were significantly superior to control. With regard to all of the treatments, Indoxacarb had the lowest percentage of fruit borer infestation (8.78%), followed by Spinosad (10.11%), Flubendiamide (11.09%), Novaluron (11.61%), Emamectin benzoate (12.10%), Neem oil (12.80%) and NSKE (13.73%).

The overall data on the percent infestation of fruit borer on overall mean of first and second spray revealed that all treatments were significantly superior to control. With regard to all of the treatments, Indoxacarb had the lowest percentage of fruit borer infestation (10.24%), Spinosad (11.31%), Flubendiamide (12.21%), Novaluron (13.22%), Emamectin benzoate (12.44%), Neem oil (13.79%) and NSKE (14.42%).

All the treatments were superior to control. Among all the treatments minimum percent infestation of fruit borer was recorded in Indoxacarb (10.24%) as compared to untreated control (20.61%). These results were similar to the findings reported by Reddy et al. [4], Kumar et al. [5] Yogeeswarudu et al. [6], Gautam et al. [7], Singh et al. [8] reported that among all the treatments the lowest percentage of fruit borer infestation was recorded in Indoxacarb 14.5SC.





#### Jyothi and Tayde; IJPSS, 34(23): 299-303, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.91633

S. No.	Treatments	Percent age of fruit infestation of tomato fruit borer								Yield	B:C
		First spray				Second spray			Overall mean	(q/h a)	ratio
		1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	_		
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	18.99	20.80 <sup>a</sup>	23.26 <sup>a</sup>	24.80 <sup>a</sup>	18.77 <sup>a</sup>	19.21 <sup>a</sup>	19.74 <sup>a</sup>	20.61	112.5	1:4.25
T₁	Neem oil	18.81	13.86 <sup>bc</sup>	12.09 <sup>bc</sup>	16.04 <sup>b</sup>	13.90 <sup>bc</sup>	11.60 <sup>b</sup>	12.91 <sup>b</sup>	13.79	145	1:5.64
T <sub>2</sub>	NSKE @2%	18.19	14.43 <sup>b</sup>	12.69 <sup>b</sup>	16.75 <sup>bcd</sup>	15.17 <sup>b</sup>	12.33 <sup>b</sup>	13.68 <sup>b</sup>	14.42	125	1:4.63
T <sub>3</sub>	Flubendiamide @480SG	16.86	12.00 <sup>c</sup>	10.91 <sup>e</sup>	13.58 <sup>de</sup>	12.07 <sup>d</sup>	10.37 <sup>ª</sup>	10.83 <sup>°</sup>	12.21	187.5	1:7.55
T <sub>4</sub>	Novaluron @10% EC	15.62	12.73 <sup>c</sup>	11.50 <sup>de</sup>	14.91 <sup>cd</sup>	12.45 <sup>°</sup>	11.00 <sup>d</sup>	11.37 <sup>c</sup>	13.21	162.5	1:6.38
<b>T</b> <sub>5</sub>	Indoxacarb @14.5% SC	17.18	10.19 <sup>d</sup>	7.61 <sup>†</sup>	11.85 f	8.18 <sup>e</sup>	8.58 <sup>†</sup>	9.59 <sup>°</sup>	10.24	212. 5	1:8. 75
T <sub>6</sub>	Emamectin benzoate @5% SG	17.15	13.46 <sup>bc</sup>	11.70 <sup>cd</sup>	15.46 <sup>bc</sup>	12.77 <sup>c</sup>	11.39 <sup>c</sup>	12.13 <sup>b</sup>	12.44	150	1:5.86
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	Spinosad @45% SC	17.80	10.68 <sup>d</sup>	8.75 <sup>e</sup>	12.88 <sup>ef</sup>	10.54 <sup>de</sup>	9.31 <sup>e</sup>	12.59 <sup>°</sup>	11.31	200	1:8.16
	F- test	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S		
	S. Ed. (±)	1.95	0.42	0.59	1.19	0.43	0.52	0.74	0.58		
	C. D. (P = 0.05)	-	0.91	1.28	2.55	0.93	1.12	1.59	1.39		

Table 1. Efficacy of some insecticides and neem products on tomato fruit borer [Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner)] in tomato

The yields among the treatment were significant. The highest yield was recorded in Indoxacarb (212.5 q/ha), followed by Spinosad (200 q/ha), Flubendiamide (187.5 q/ha), Novaluron (162.5 q/ha), Emamectin benzoate (150 q/ha), Neem oil (145 q/ha), NSKE (125 q/ha), as compared to control T0-control (112.5 q/ha).

When the cost-benefit ratio was worked out, an interesting result was achieved. Among the treatment studied, the best and most economical treatment was Indoxacarb (1:8.75), followed by Spinosad (1:8.16), Flubendiamide (1:7.55), Novaluron (1:6.38), Emamectin benzoate (1:5.86), Neem oil (1:5.64), NSKE (1:4.63), as compared to control (1:4.25).

Higher yield (212.5 q/ha) and Higher Cost: Benefit Ratio (1:8.75) was obtained from the Indoxacarb treated plots and the lowest (112.5 q/ha) in the untreated control plot. Similar findings were made by Singh et al. [8] who reported that the Indoxacarb 14.5 SC is the best and most economical treatment.

# 4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that among all the treatments, the lowest percent infestation of fruit borer was recorded in Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (10.24%) and proved to be the best treatment followed by Spinosad 45% SC (11.31%), Flubendiamide 480 SG (12.21%), Novaluron 10% EC (13.21%), Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (12.44%), Neem oil (13.79%), NSKE 2% (14.42%) and Untreated control in managing *Helicoverpa armigera* infestation.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Das G, Khatun R. Evaluation of field efficacy of different bacterial fermented insecticides against tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). Asian Journal of Reasearch in Bioscience. 2021;3(1):1-7.
- 2. Sharma D, Asifa M, Hafeez A, Jamwal VVS. Yield loss in tomato caused by fruit borer. Indian Journal of Agriculture Science. 2013;54:341-343.
- 3. Sharma HC. Cotton bollworm/legume pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera): Biology and management. Crop Protection Compendium. CAB International, Wallingford. 2001;70.
- 4. Reddy D, Kumar A, Sai KP. Field efficacy of some insecticides against tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) Reguri. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2021;9(1):1434-1436.
- 5. Kumar S, Singh G, Kumar D. Effect of some novel insecticides on larval population of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (hub.) in chickpea. The Bioscan. 2016;11(1):285-287.
- 6. Yogeeswarudu B, Krishna VK. *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting on chickpea. Legume Research. 2014;33(4):269-273.
- Gautam MP, Chandra U, Singh SN, Yadav SK, Giri SK. Studies on efficacy of botanicals against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 7:612-618.
- 8. Singh N, Dotasara SK, Kherwa B, Singh S. Management of tomato fruit borer by incorporating newer and bio-rational insecticides. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2017;5(2):1403-1408.

© 2022 Jyothi and Tayde; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91633