

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(13): 1-8, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.85836 ISSN: 2320-7035

Response of Timber Yield, Biomass, CAI and Carbon Sequestration to Varied Pruning Intensities of *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb. in Agrisilviculture System in Central India

Satyajeet Kar^{a*}, M. L. Sahu^b, R. Bajpai^a, S. B. Agrawal^a, R. B. Singh^c and Sandeep Rout^d

^a Department of Forestry, JNKVV, Jabalpur, M.P., 482004, India. ^b Department of Soil and Water Engineering, JNKVV, Jabalpur, M.P., 482004, India. ^c Department of Mathematics and Statistics, JNKVV, Jabalpur, M.P., 482004, India. ^d Faculty of Agriculture, Sri Sri University, Cuttack, Odisha, 754006, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i1330969

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85836

> Received 24 January 2022 Accepted 04 April 2022 Published 09 April 2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

In Central India, an experiment was carried out on a 22 year old *Dalbergia sissoo* based agrisilviculture model in 2020-21 to investigate the response of different pruning intensities on Rabi seasonal diameter growth, timber production, CAI of total biomass, and carbon sequestration potential. Each year, paddy followed by wheat is grown under trees spaced at 5m × 5m continuously being treated with four different pruning intensities, *i.e.*, 0% (P₀: No pruning), 25% (P₂₅: Light pruning), 50% (P₅₀: Moderate pruning) and 75% (P₇₅: Heavy pruning) of the total tree height. It was reported that different rates of pruning had a significant effect. Maximum Rabi seasonal yield of large-sized timber, small-sized timber, and fuelwood (5.80 m³ ha⁻¹, 0.62 m³ ha⁻¹ and 7.48 q ha⁻¹, respectively) were recorded under light pruning treatment (P₂₅). However, the minimum seasonal yield of large-sized timber and fuelwood (5.80 m³ ha⁻¹ and 5.14 q ha⁻¹, respectively) were under heavy pruning (P₇₅) and the minimum seasonal yield of small-sized timber

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: satyajeetkar1010@gmail.com;

(0.32 m³ ha⁻¹) under moderate pruning (P_{50}). Maximum annual above-ground biomass, annual below-ground biomass and current annual increment of total biomass (171.63 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 34.33 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, and 205.95 t ha⁻¹ respectively) were recorded under light pruning treatment (P_{25}), while minimum (84.50 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 16.90 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 101.40 t ha⁻¹ respectively) under heavy pruning (P_{75}). Carbon sequestration potential was reported to be higher with light pruning (92.68 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), followed by moderate pruning (63.69 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), while minimum under heavy pruning (45.63 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and no pruning (46.91 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) at all. It was concluded that light pruning of 25% was best among the four treatments in terms of timber and biomass yield.

Keywords: Agrisilviculture; carbon sequestration; current annual increment; Dalbergia sissoo.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dalbergia sissoo Roxb. belongs the to Leguminosae family and the Papilionoideae subfamily and is often known as North Indian rosewood or Shisham. It is indigenous to the Indian subcontinent as well as southern Iran. In India, the species can be found in the Sub-Himalayan and outer Himalayan valleys from the Indus to Assam, at up to 900 meters and occasionally up to 1500 meters. It is a unique species of the Khair-Sissoo primary seral forest. It is a deciduous tree that proliferates, is hardy, and may reach a height of up to 30 meters. It's a multifunctional tree that produces high-quality lumber, high-calorie fuelwood, protein-rich feed, shade, and has nitrogen-fixing abilities [1,2]. Shisham is great fuelwood, with sapwood and heartwood calorific values of 4908 and 5181 kcal/kg, respectively [3]. Because of its hardness, endurance, and characteristic dark coloured heartwood with a specific gravity of 0.62 - 0.82, it is one of India's most popular timber species, used for a variety of uses [4]. These benefits and its rapid growth rate make it ideal for use in agroforestry systems [5,6]. Farmers are increasingly integrating fast-growing trees on their property near agricultural crops due to rising demand and high prices for wood (fuel, lumber, pulp) [7]. There are many different forms of interactions between the components of an agroforestry system, the most common of which is competition for light, water, nutrients, and other precious resources [8,9]. For regulating and minimizing competition for scarce resources between the tree and the agriculture component, pruning tool is used [8,9,10,11,12, 13]. Pruning is a canopy management technique used primarily in agroforestry systems to allow more light into lower strata crops, reduce competition, improve tree shape and wood quality, provide intermediate yields of small timbers and fuelwood, reduce tree taper, and increase overall biomass productivity [14-23]. Estimating the volume of timber and fuelwood is necessary for

calculating the cost-benefit ratio of an agroforestry system, which is necessary for comparing the benefits of various agroforestry systems [24]. To compensate for the delay in return from the tree component, the intermediate yield from the tree component in the agroforestry system is critical. However, only a few studies have been done to measure the influence of Shisham pruning on seasonal timber production, seasonal fuelwood vield. vearly biomass increment, and carbon sequestration potential in an agroforestry system; hence the current study was undertaken.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Location, Topography, and Climate

The field experiment at the Dusty Acre Research Farm of Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya in Jabalpur (MP). The site is located at 23° 12' 50" North latitude and 79° 57' 56" East longitude, and it is in the Kymore Plateau and Satpura hill agroclimatic zone, with medium-deep black soil. Nature is simple to slightly sloppy in this location (0-1 percent). The climate is subtropical, with hot, dry summers and chilly, dry winters. In May and June, the temperature reaches 46°C, while in December and January, it drops to 2°C. The average annual rainfall in the area is 1350 mm, with most of it falling between June and September.

2.2 Experimental Details

The research was conducted out in a Dalbergia sissoo-based agroforestry model that was nearing the conclusion of its rotation. In subsequent years, the model was built in 1998 with a planting geometry of 5m x 5m spacing and intercropped with paddy – wheat cycle. Each year, trees were subjected to four distinct pruning regimes: no pruning

Pruning intensities	Large-sized timber volume estimation (m ³)	Small-timber volume estimation (m ³)	Fuel wood weight estimation (Kg)
P ₀ : No pruning (0%)	$\sqrt{v} = 0.0517 + 0.0159D$	$\sqrt{v} = 0.0393 + 0.0054D$	$\sqrt{w} = 1.6664 + 0.1680D$
P ₂₅ : Light pruning (25%)	$\sqrt{v} = -0.2817 + 0.0317D$	$\sqrt{v} = -0.0661 + 0.0092D$	$\sqrt{w} = -0.5306 + 0.2184D$
P ₅₀ : Moderate pruning (50%)	$\sqrt{v} = -0.1547 + 0.0275D$	\sqrt{v} = - 0.0105 + 0.0069D	$\sqrt{w} = -0.5306 + 0.2184D$
P ₇₅ : Heavy pruning (75%)	\sqrt{v} = - 0.1312 + 0.0276D	\sqrt{v} = - 0.1987 + 0.0165D	$\sqrt{w} = -2.2383 + 0.2999D$

 Table 1. Allometric equations for estimating timber volume, fuelwood biomass under different pruning intensities

(0 percent of total tree height), light pruning (25 percent of total tree height), moderate pruning (50 percent of total tree height), and heavy pruning (100 percent of total tree height) (75 percent of the total tree height). A total of 64 trees were investigated, with 16 trees being subjected to each pruning procedure. Seasonal (Rabi) and yearly tree development parameters under various pruning intensity treatments were measured and enumerated using non-destructive methods in April 2020, November 2020, and lastly in April 2021 (at the age of 22 years). According to Gomez & Gomez [25], the data gathered were submitted for statistical analysis of variance (Randomised Block Design).

2.3 Volume Calculations

The volume of Shisham tree was categorized as large-sized timber, small-sized timber, and fuelwood. Estimation of volume of large size timber (diameter ≥ 10 cm), the volume of small size timber (7 cm \geq diameter < 10 cm), and weight of fuelwood (diameter 4 cm \geq diameter < 7 cm) was done using allometric models developed by Sahu et al. [24] from the same experimental plot (Table 1). Mean wood density derived from laboratory analysis was 750 kg/m³, used for biomass estimation.

2.4 Above and Below-ground Biomass

Stem biomass of trees was obtained by multiplying stem volume with wood density.

Stem biomass = Stem volume (VOB) × Wood density (WD)

Where VOB is the volume over bark and WD is the average wood density.

Aboveground biomass of a tree was calculated following IPCC [26] by multiplying stem biomass

with a biomass expansion factor. The biomass expansion factor for hardwood species and conifers are given by Brown and Schroeder [27] and particularly of Shisham by Lodhiyal and Lodhiyal [28] *i.e.* 1.86.

Aboveground biomass = stem biomass × BEF

Where, BEF is the biomass expansion factor.

Belowground biomass was calculated by multiplying above ground biomass with root shoot ratio following IPCC [26]. Root shoot ratio for *Dalbergia sissoo* (0.2) was given by Sharma et al. [29]. Aboveground biomass and belowground biomass were added to work out the total biomass of tree.

2.5 Carbon Content

The total carbon content in the tree was determined by multiplying the biomass of the tree with a conversion factor of 0.45 [30].

3. RESULTS

Information on the effect of different pruning intensities on varied growth and yield parameters of *Dalbergia sissoo* gathered after proper statistical analysis (Table 2) are presented as follows-

3.1 Seasonal Increment of Diameter at Breast Height (cm)

Differential pruning of *D. sissoo* had a significant effect on d.b.h. Increment in Rabi season decreased with an increase in pruning intensity (Table 2). Maximum d.b.h. increment (26.33 cm) was in trees where pruning was not practiced (P_{0}) and minimum (16.65 cm) under heavy pruning $(\mathsf{P}_{75}).$

3.2 Seasonal Large-sized Timber Yield (m³ ha⁻¹)

Pruning treatments significantly affected the seasonal yield of large-sized timber (Table 2). Maximum quantity of Rabi seasonal large-sized timber (5.80 m³ ha⁻¹) was yielded under light pruning (P₂₅), followed by heavy pruning (P₇₅); whereas minimum seasonal small-sized timber (2.86 m³ ha⁻¹) was recorded under heavy pruning (P₇₅), which was at par with no pruning (P₀).

3.3 Seasonal Small-sized Timber Yield (m³ ha⁻¹)

The effect of different pruning intensities on the seasonal yield of small-sized timber was statistically significant (Table 2). Maximum quantity of Rabi seasonal small-sized timber (0.62 m³ ha⁻¹) was yielded under light pruning (P₂₅), followed by moderate pruning (P₅₀); whereas minimum seasonal large-sized timber (0.32 m³ ha⁻¹) was recorded under moderate

pruning (P_{50}), which was at par with no pruning (P_0).

3.4 Seasonal Fuelwood Yield (q ha⁻¹)

Pruning had a very significant effect on the fuelwood production (Table 2), where Rabi seasonal fuelwood production was recorded under light pruning (7.48 q ha⁻¹), and no pruning treatment (7.01 q ha⁻¹) were significantly higher than seasonal fuelwood production under heavy pruning (5.14 q ha⁻¹) and moderate pruning treatment (5.29 q ha⁻¹).

3.5 Biomass Increment of the Tree [Above Ground Biomass (AGB), Below Ground Biomass (BGB), and Current Annual Increment of Biomass (CAI)]-

Pruning intensities treatment had a significant effect on the above-ground biomass increment, below-ground biomass increment, and current annual increment of the total biomass of Shisham tree in agrisilviculture system (Table 3). Maximum AGB increment, BGB increment and

Pruning Intensities	Seasonal D.B.H increment(cm)	Seasonal Large-sized timber yield (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Seasonal Small-sized timber yield (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Seasonal Fuelwood yield(q ha ⁻¹)
No pruning (0%)	0.68	2.94	0.37	7.01
Light pruning (25%)	0.60	5.80	0.62	7.48
Moderate pruning (50%)	0.52	3.99	0.32	5.29
Heavy pruning (75%)	0.49	2.86	0.48	5.14
CD (0.05)	0.02	0.32	0.10	1.47
SEm±	0.01	0.10	0.03	0.47

 Table 2. Effect of pruning intensities on seasonal d.b.h. increment, large size timber, small size timber, and fuelwood yield of *D. sissoo* in agrisilviculture system

 Table 3. Effect of pruning intensities on annual AGB increment, BGB increment, CAI and Carbon sequestration of *D. sissoo* in agrisilviculture system.

Pruning intensities	AG Biomass increment (q ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	BG Biomass increment (q ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	CAI of total biomass (q ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Annual carbon sequestration (q ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)
No pruning (0%)	86.88	17.37	104.25	46.91
Light pruning (25%)	171.63	34.33	205.95	92.68
Moderate pruning (50%)	117.95	23.59	141.54	63.69
Heavy pruning (75%)	84.50	16.90	101.40	45.63
CD (0.05)	9.49	1.90	11.40	5.13
SEm±	3.05	0.61	3.66	1.65

CAI (171.63 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 34.33 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 205.95 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively) were recorded under light pruning (P₂₅), followed by moderate pruning (P₅₀). However, AGB increment, BGB increment and CAI (84.50 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 16.90 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 101.40 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively) were recorded under heavy pruning (P₇₅), which were at par with that (86.88 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 17.37 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 104.25 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ respectively) under no pruning treatment (P₀).

3.6 Carbon Sequestration Potential

Carbon sequestration was significantly varied under the variable pruning regime of *D. sissoo* under agrisilviculture system (Table 3); where maximum carbon was sequestered (92.68 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) under light pruning (P₂₅), followed by moderate pruning (P₅₀). However, minimum carbon were sequestrated under heavy pruning (45.63 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and no pruning treatment (46.91 q ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), statistically at par.

4. DISCUSSION

This study aimed to see how pruning intensities seasonal and yearly yield affected the characteristics of Dalbergia sissoo in an agrisilviculture system. With increasing pruning intensities from P0 to P75, the diameter at breast height was observed to exhibit a declining tendency. Newaj et al. [21] found a similar Albizzia procera-based pattern in an agrisilviculture system, with d.b.h. being greater in trees with no pruning than 50 percent and 75 percent trimming. In a study of the effect of pruning intensities (0, 25 percent, 50 percent, and 75 percent) on the growth of Pinus brutia, Erkan et al. [31] discovered that 50 percent and 75 percent pruning resulted in decreased d.b.h. growth, whereas 0 percent and 25 percent pruning resulted in the highest d.b.h. growth. Lower branches provide relatively little to photosynthesis, and their respirational losses are not compensated; therefore their removal in a 25% pruning treatment has less effect than 50 percent and 75 percent pruning [31 33-35, 32, 15]. Uotila and Mustonen [36] found that pruning 40 percent or more of the live crown of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) resulted in a considerable decrease in growth. In both moderate and intensive pruning, photosynthetic portions of the tree crown (direct contributors to growth) are removed for a portion of the year, resulting in the remaining crown dedicating its energy to replace crown loss, resulting in a

reduced diameter increase. Erkan et al. [31]. Alcorn et al. [37], Stated that pruning intensities had a considerable impact on wood volume output in terms of large and small-sized timber. The maximum timber volume was measured in 25% pruning intensities near the end of the rotation and the lowest in 75% pruning. This was since trees are often trimmed by removing leaves and branches from the lower portion of the crown, resulting in a more cylindrical stem shape and increased precise bole length, resulting in higher biomass allocation in the bole than other components Kumar et al. [7, 38,39]. Savill as al. [34], citing Wang et al. [40]'s research on Chryptomeria japonica, found that eliminating 10% or somewhat more of the live crown really promoted growth. The CAI of total biomass of the tree was highest at 25% pruning intensity, followed by 50% and 75 percent pruning intensity. This tendency was also found in abovearound biomass increment. below-around biomass increment, and carbon sequestration; however, fuelwood decreased as pruning intensity increased [41-45]. This might be owing to reduced photosynthesis caused by branch pruning, which reduces the amount of surviving leaf area and the number of buds from which new branches and leaves can be formed. A similar set of findings was also published by Patel et al. [6, 7, 5, 46-49]. Erkan et al. [31] found comparable findings in a Pinus brutia trial, reporting that 75 percent pruning decreases standing volume by 18-21 percent.

5. CONCLUSION

The basic goal of management in an agroforestry system is to maximize the total return from all of the components. In an agrisilviculture system, this may be accomplished by locating an optimal state of tree management in which food production and the production of high-quality wood in large quantities can be achieved while also delivering a high economic return. This study aimed to standardize different pruning intensities in an agrisilviculture system to maximize the quality and amount of wood produced. Maximum wood output, biomass, and carbon sequestration were observed in 25% pruning intensity near the end of the rotation; however, this must be in agreement with the system's maximum crop production.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Kar et al.; IJPSS, 34(13): 1-8, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.85836

REFERENCES

- 1. Singh B, Sharma KN. Tree growth and nutrient status of soil in a poplar (*Populus deltoides* Bartr.) based agroforestry system in Punjab, India. Agroforestry Systems. 2007;70(2):125-134.
- Jackson JY. Manual of Afforestation in Nepal. Nepal UK For. Res. Project, Department of Forest, Kathmandu, Nepal; 1987.
- Anon. Ile wealth of India. Raw Materials. D- E. CSM New Delhi. 1952; HI.
- 4. Orwa C, Mutua A, Kindt R, Jamnadass R, Anthony S. Agroforestry Database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. World Agroforestry Centre, Kenya; 2009.
- Bhargava R, Rai N. Effect on monetary return in various pruning intensities and agronomical management on *Dalbergia* sissoo Biomass Production under Agrisilviculture System. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(06):2444-2449.
- Patel S, Bisen N, Jain KK, Rahangdale CP. Impact of pruning and agronomical management on wood production and yield of paddy under *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb. Based Agroforestry System. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2017;8(3):418-423.
- 7. Kumar V, Jain KK, Kumar S, Kumhar BL. Impact of pruning intensity on tree biomass production of *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb and fresh yield of turmeric. Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 2018;6(3):191-195.
- Kar S, Chandel A, Pant KS. Effect of different tree spacings of *Grewia optiva* and combined doses of poultry manure and vermicompost on growth and yield of bell pepper under agrisilviculture system. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019^a; 8(01): 2213-2223.
- Kar S, Pant KS, Chandel A, Dubey GD. Standardisation of tree spacing and organic manure dose for growing garden pea under *Grewia optiva* D. based agrisilviculture system. Indian J. Agric. Res. 2019^b;53(4):411-416.
- 10. Frank E, Eduardo S. Biomass dynamics of *Erythrina lanceolata* as influenced by shoot pruning intensity in Costa Rica. Agroforestry System. 2003;57:19–28.
- Dhillon WŚ, Śrinidhi HV, Chauhan SK, Singh C, Singh N, Jabeen N. Microenvironment and physiology of turmeric cultivated under poplar tree canopy. Indian Journal of Agroforestry. 2010;12(2):23–37.

- Kar S, Pant KS, Chandel A, Roshanzada SR. Trend of soil parameters under different spacings of Grewia based agroforestry system in the mid hill zones of Himachal Pradesh. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019^c;7(1):1904-1907.
- Upadhyaya SD, Nema S. Tree-crop interaction studies in Acacia based agrisilviculture system at farmer's field. JNKVV Research Journal. 2003;37(2):20-24.
- 14. Sinclair TR, Luther CH. Transpiration rate of soybean on sandy soil. Agronomy Journal. 1998;90:363–368.
- Chandrashekara UM. Effects of pruning on radial growth and biomass increment of trees growing in homegardens of Kerala, India. Agroforestry Systems. 2007;69(3): 231-237.
- 16. Nutto L, Spathelf P, Seling I. Management of individual tree diameter growth and implications for pruning for Brazilian *Eucalyptus grandis* Hill ex Maiden. *Floresta.* 2006;36(3):397-413.
- Pradhan UB, Maiti S, Pal S. Effect of frequency of pruning and tree spacing of *Leucaena* on the growth and productivity of turmeric when grown under alley cropping system with *Leucaena leucocephala*. Journal of Interacademicia. 2003;7(1):11-20.
- Viquez E, Perez D. Effect of pruning on tree growth, yield, and wood properties of *Tectona grandis* plantations in Costa Rica. *Silva Fennica.* 2005;39(3):381-390.
- Newaj R, Dar SA. Tree growth and biomass productivity of herbaceous layer under different pruning regimes in *Albizia* procera based agrisilviculture system. Range Management and Agroforestry 2007;28(2A):108-110.
- 20. Rai P, Yadav RR, Shanker AK, Ram M, Singh UP. Pruning management in Albizia species: effect on tree growth, understory forage production and floral composition. Annals of Arid Zone. 2008;47(1):47-54.
- Newaj R, Dar SA, Dhyani SK. Influence of canopy pruning on tree growth and grain yield of intercrops in white siris (*Albizia procera*)-based agrisilviculture system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2010;80(5):377-379.
- 22. Takiya M, Koyama H, Umeki K, Yasaka M, Ohno Y, Watanabe I, Terazawa K. The effects of early and intense pruning on light penetration, tree growth, and epicormic shoot dynamics in a young hybrid larch

stand. Journal of Forest Research. 2010; 15(3):149-160.

- 23. Manhas SS, Gill BS, Sharma S, Kumar K. Effect of pruning material, planting dates and harvesting dates on growth, yield and quality of turmeric. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2011;689(2):229–234.
- 24. Sahu ML, Ranjan A, Kushwaha KS, Koshta LD. Allometric models to estimate timber and fuelwood of *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb. by pruning classes under agroforestry system. Indian Journal of Agroforestry. 2015;17(1):52-56.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, 2nd ed John Willey and Sons, Inc New York. 1984;680.
- 26. IPCC. IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. Agriculture Forestry and other Land Use (AFO- LU), Institute of Global Environmental Strategies, Havana, Japan; 2006.
- Brown S, Schroeder PE. Spatial patterns of aboveground production and mortality of woody biomass for eastern US forests. Ecological Application. 1999;9:968-980.
- Lodhiyal N, Lodhiyal LS. Biomass and net primary productivity of Bhabar Shisham forests in central Himalaya, India. Forest Ecology and Management. 2003;176(1-3): 217-235.
- 29. Sharma DC, Taneja PL, Bisht APS. Biomass, productivity and nutrient cycling in Dalbergia sissoo plantation. Indian Forester. 1988;114(5):261-268.
- Magnussen S, Reed D. Modeling for estimation and monitoring. National forest assessments - knowledge. FAO-IUFRO; 2004.
- Erkan N, Uzun E, Aydin AC, Bas MN. Effect of pruning on diameter growth in *Pinus brutia* Ten. Plantations in Turkey. Croatian Journal of Forest Engineering. 2016;37(2):365–373.
- 32. Montagu KD, Kearney DE, Smith RGB. The biology and silviculture of pruning planted eucalypts for clear wood production, a review. Forest Ecology and Management. 2003;179(1–3): 1–13.
- 33. Singh Y, Mishra RC, Upadhyaya SD, Singh A. Growth performance, productivity and carbon sequestration of wheat (*Triticum assstivum*)- Shisham (*Dalbergia sissoo*) based agri-silviculture system with especial reference to tree pruning intensities and agronomic practices. Int. J.

Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(01):406-413.

- Savill P, Evans J, Auclair D, Falck J. Plantation silviculture in Europe. Oxford University Press. 1997;297.
- Kozlowski TT, Pallardy SG. growth control in woody plants. Academic Press, London; 1990. ISBN: 978-0-12-424210-4, 641 p.
- Uotila A, Mustonen S. The effect of different levels of green pruning on the diameter growth of *Pinus sylvestris* L. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research. 1994;9(3):226-232.
- Alcorn PJ, Bauhus J, Thomas DS, James RN, Smith RGB, Nicotra AB. Photosynthetic response to green crown pruning in young plantation-grown *Eucalyptus pilularis* and *E. cloeziana*. Forest Ecology and Management. 2008; 255(11):3827–3838.
- Muhairwe CK. Tree form and taper variation over time for interior lodgepole pine. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 1994;24:1904-1913.
- 39. Sheperd KR. Plantation Silviculture Martinus Nijh of, Dordrecht. 1986;322.
- 40. Wang WC, Pinto JP, Yung YL. Climatic effects due to halogenated compounds in the Earth's atmosphere. J. Atmos. Sci. 1980;37(2):333–338.
- 41. Bari BS, Rahim AM. Production potential of ginger under different spacing of *Dalbergia sissoo.* J. Agrofor. Environ. 2010;4(1):143-146.
- 42. Bhargava R, Rai N. Effect on monetary return in various pruning intensities and agronomical management on *Dalbergia sissoo* biomass production under agrisilviculture system. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(06):2444-2449.
- 43. Endo M, Mesa GV. Results of a pruning trial with *Pinus patula* in Colombia. IPEF International. 1992;2:45–59.
- 44. Rani S, Chauhan SK, Kumar R, Dhatt KK. Bioeconomic appraisal of flowering annuals for seed production under poplar (*Populus deltoides*) based agroforestry system. Tropical Agricultural Research. 2011;22:125–133.
- 45. Sahu ML, Kumar Y. Response of tree pruning on timber and fuelwood production of *Dalbergia sissoo* in agri-siliculture system. Indian Journal of Tropical Biodiversity. 2015;23(1):88-90.
- 46. Pinkard EA, Battagalia M, Beadle CL, Sanda PJ. Modelling the effect of

Kar et al.; IJPSS, 34(13): 1-8, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.85836

physiological responses to green pruning on net biomass production of *Eucalyptus nitens*. Tree Physiology. 1999;19:1–12.

- Palai, SK, Ramchandra, Rout S. Evaluation of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under Subabul (*Leucaena leucocephala*) Based Agroforestry System. Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. 2021;9(1):531-541
- 48. Sahoo G, Wani AM, Sharma A, Rout S. Agroforestry for forest and landscape restoration. International Journal of Advance Study and Research Work/ Special Issue ICROIRT-2020. 2020;536-542
- 49. Kar S, Rout S, Sahu KK, Dongre D. Potential of medicinal and aromatic plants in agroforestry system-a review. Akshar Wangmay. 2020;Special Issue(I):38-44.

© 2022 Kar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85836