

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 14, Issue 3, Page 585-607, 2024; Article no.IJECC.114785 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Diversity of Hymenopteran Parasitoids in different Crop Ecosystems

Darshan R^{a*} and Jayalaxmi Narayan Hegde ^{b++}

 ^a Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, India.
 ^b Department of Entomology, Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i34067

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114785

Original Research Article

Received: 13/01/2024 Accepted: 17/03/2024 Published: 20/03/2024

ABSTRACT

Surveys were carried out in different districts *viz.*, Shivamogga, Davangere and Chitradurga of Karnataka to collect and document hymenopteran parasitoids parasitizing insect pests attacking different crop ecosystems. A total of 42 hymenopteran parasitoids species represented by 33 genera belonged 12 families were documented from a collection of 1379 specimens from different stages *viz.*, egg, larva, pupa and adult stage of host insects from different host plants *viz.*, rice, maize, cabbage, cotton, coconut, brinjal, cashew, crape jasmine, tobacco, field bean, soybean, arecanut, cocoa, okra and pongamia. Among them, maximum parasitoids species (20) were collected from larval stage of host insects with per cent species composition of 47.62. Among the families, Braconidae was found most dominant (comprised of 23.81%), followed by Eulophidae (16.67%), Encyrtidae and Scelionidae (each with 14.29%). Alpha and beta diversity were computed for the three zones. Species diversity in terms of richness, evenness and abundance of hymenopteran parasitoids were calculated and compared during study period using Shannon-Wiener index, Simpson diversity index, Margalef's diversity index, Menhinick's index and Evenness. Species diversity was high in Shivamogga district as evidenced by Shannon-Wiener index (2.49),

++ Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: darshanr703@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 585-607, 2024

Simpson diversity index (0.88), Margalef's index (4.09) and Menhinick's index (1.22) and Evenness (0.46), followed by Davanagere district with respect to Shannon-Wiener index (1.69) Simpson's diversity index (0.75), Margalef's index and Menhinick's index (2.27 and 0.90), respectively, while evenness was 0.24 and Chitradurga district with respect to Shannon-Wiener index (1.34) Simpson's diversity index (0.54), Margalef's index and Menhinick's index and Menhinick's index (2.12 and 0.59), respectively.

Keywords: Diversity; hymenopteran parasitoids; different crops; ecosystems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hymenoptera is the largest order in the class, Insecta which is estimated to have more than 3,00,000 species [1]. Hymenoptera is a very diverse insect order, including a variety of insects such as ants, bees and wasps. Wasps are one of the largest components of terrestrial ecosystem with approximately 1,15,000 described species. They are of much economic importance as they contribute in enhancing the production of commercial products [2]. Wasps are unique in their lifestyles relative to other hymenopterans, but they have not received as much recognition as compared to ants and bees. They inhabit all ice-free environments on earth in a wide range of ecological niches and play diverse roles such as pollinators, predators, parasitoids or parasitic wasps, plant feeders, solitary and social species. Many of these wasps are parasitoids, some are phytophagous, predators and pollinators. Predatory wasp prey on arthropods to feed their larvae, whereas parasitic wasps are wasps whose eggs and larvae grow attached to or inside a single arthropod of the host, which they eventually eat and kill [3] thus controlling their host population. Most of the parasitoids are in the order, Hymenoptera which constitutes nearly 75 per cent of total species [2]. Hymenopteran parasitoids are a speciose and diverse group of insects whose larvae feed exclusively on the bodies of other arthropods, their hosts, eventually killing them. Most insect pests are preved upon by one or more species of hymenopteran parasitoids. Typically, they are laying their eggs onto or into the immature stages of other insects [4]. Hymenopteran parasitoids are one of the most species-rich groups of animals, potentially accounting for more than 20% of the world's insects. Hymenopteran parasitoids, because of their relatively high specificity to a host species, have long been recognized as important agents in the biological control of insect pests in agriculture. Accurate documentation of the species along with its host will help in the proper identification of species and their conservation in future.

Hymenopterans being an ecologically and potentially economically valuable group of insects, a clearer understanding of these parasitoids fauna in different crop ecosystems would lead to better protection of overall hymenopteran parasitoids diversity and potential biocontrol agents for agricultural applications. Thus, studying the diversity and abundance of hymenopteran parasitoids in different crop ecosystems is required as they play an important role in smooth functioning of the ecosystems and also they are considered as effective bio-control agents of insect pests in agricultural fields [2].

2. METHODOLOGY

Surveys were carried out in different districts viz., Shivamogga, Davangere and Chitradurga of Karnataka to collect and document hymenopteran parasitoids parasitizing insect pests attacking agricultural and horticultural crop ecosystems viz., rice, maize, cabbage, cotton, coconut, brinjal, cashew, crape jasmine, tobacco, field bean, sovbean, arecanut, cocoa and bhendi including one tree species i.e., pongamia during 2021-2023 and nine surveys were conducted in each district during different seasons. Global Positioning System (GPS) was used to determine the latitude and longitude of the location (Fig. 1). Different stages of insect pest samples viz., eggs, larvae, pupae and adults were collected from different crop ecosystems along with the infested plant parts. The collected samples were reared under laboratory condition. The emerged parasitoids were collected and preserved in vials with 70% and 90% ethanol for morphological and molecular identification, respectively and were properly labeled and their numbers were counted. The tubes were stored upright in insect specimen tube box/cabinet after adding specimen numbers to vials and were used for further identification. The number of species collected and the relative abundance of the species were calculated for each district. Different diversity indices viz., Shannon-Weiner index, Simpson diversity index, Margalef's index, Menhinick's index and Jaccard's coefficient and




Fig. 1. Locations of survey of hymenopteran parasitoids in the study

Evenness were calculated to know the diversity in terms of species richness and evenness [5]. These indices of diversity were calculated for all the data collected across time to ascertain the temporal changes in the diversitv of hymenopteran parasitoids in three districts. Relative abundance (%) = (No. of individuals ofone species / no. of individuals of all species) x 100. Simpson's diversity index D = $\Sigma n (n-1) / N(N-1)$ 1) where n = total number of organisms of a particular species and N = total number of organisms of all species. Subtracting the value of Simpson's diversity index from 1, gives Simpson's Index of Diversity (SID). The value of the index ranges from 0 to 1, the greater the value the greater the sample diversity. Shannon-Wiener index H' = $-\Sigma$ Pi In(Pi), where Pi = S / N: S = number of individuals of one species, N = total number of all individuals in the sample, In = logarithm to base e. Margalef index $\alpha = (S - 1) / C$ In (N); S = total number of species, N = total number of individuals in the sample. Menhinick's index Dmn = S/ \sqrt{n} ; S = Total number of species, N = Total number of individuals in the sample. Jaccard Index (JI) (for two sites) = i / (a+b-i), where j = the number of species common to both sites A and B, a = the number of

species in site A and b = the number of species in site B.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Field surveys were carried out during 2021 to 2023 in three districts viz., Shivamogga, Davanagere and Chitradurga. Hymenopteran parasitoids collected through rearing of host insects collected from different crop ecosystems *viz.*, horticultural crops, field crops and tree species were processed and identified.

3.1 Species Composition of Hymenopteran Parasitoids

A total of 42 hymenopteran parasitoids species viz., Campoletis chlorideae Uchida, Eriborus sp., Charops sp., Ophion sp., Chelonus formosanus Sonan, Microplitis prodeniae Rao and Kurian, Cotesia ruficrus (Haliday), Cotesia vestalis (Haliday), Cotesia sp., Chelonus sp., Bracon sp., Crinibracon chromusae Gupta and Van Achterberg, Phanerotoma sp., Diaeretiella rapae (McIntosh), Chrysocharis sp. 1, Chrysocharis sp. 2, Chrysocharis sp. 3, ?Ryhonos sp., Aprostocetus Oomyzus sokolowskii sp.,

(Kurdiumov). Tetrastichus schoenobii Ferriere. Anagyrus pseudococci (Girault). Ooencyrtus sp. Leptomastix niarocincta Risbec. 1 Prochiloneurus pulchellus Silvestri, Copidosoma floridanum (Ashmead), Ooencyrtus sp. 2, Trissolcus sp. 1, Trissolcus sp. 2, Telenomus sp. 1, ?Paratelenomus anu Rajmohana, Sachin and Talamas, Trissolcus sp. 3, Telenomus sp. 2, Eurytoma sp., Brachymeria lasus (Walker), Dirhinus anthracia Walker, Chartocerus sp., Coccophagus pseudococci Compere, Trichogramma chilonis Ishii, Encarsia sp., Nothoserphus sp. and Pteromalus metallicus Sureshan representing 33 genera belonged 12 families to viz., Ichneumonidae, Braconidae, Encyrtidae, Scelionidae, Eulophidae, Signiphoridae, Chalcididae, Eurytomidae, Aphelinidae, Trichogrammatidae, Proctutropidae and Pteromalidae were documented from a collection of 1379 specimens from three districts viz., Shivamogga, Davanagere and Chitradurga during 2021-2023. Among twelve families, Ichneumonidae represented four species viz., C. chlorideae collected from larvae of Spodoptera frugiperda and Plutella xylostella, Eriborus sp., Charops sp. and Ophion sp. were collected from S. frugiperda larval stage of whereas, Braconidae represented ten species viz., C. formosanus, M. prodeniae, C. ruficrus, C. vestalis, Cotesia sp., Chelonus sp., Bracon sp., D. rapae, Phanerotoma sp. and C. chromusae which were collected from larval and pupal stage of S. frugiperda, Spodoptera litura, S. frugiperda, Exelastis Plutella xylostella, atomosa. Acrocercops syngramma, Omiodes indicata, Haritalodes derogata and Hasora chromus, respectively. Eulophidae represented seven species viz., Chrysocharis sp. 1 and Chrysocharis sp. 2 which were collected from larvae of A. syngramma, Chrysocharis sp. 3 from

larvae of S. frugiperda, ?Rvhonos sp. and Aprostocetus sp. from larvae of H. derogata, O. sokolowskii from pupa of P. xylostella and T. schoenobii from egg mass of Scirpophaga incertulas. Encyrtidae included six species viz., A. pseudococci was collected from adults of Nipaecoccus nipae, Ooencyrtus sp. 1 from eggs of O. indicata, L. nigrocincta and P. pulchellus were collected from adults which of Coccidohystrix insolita, C. floridanum from larva of Trichoplusia ni, and Ooencyrtus sp. 2 was collected from adults of C. insolita. Scelionidae included six species viz., Trissolcus sp. 1. Trissolcus sp. 2, Telenomus sp. 1,?P. anu and Trissolcus sp. 3 which were from egg stage of Leptoglossus phyllopus, Leptocorisa oratoria, S. incertulas, Megacopta cribraria and Erias vittela, and Telenomus sp. 2 was collected from egg stage of S. frugiperda, Rhynocoris marginatus orbonalis. and Leucinodes Eurvtomidae represented one species which was collected from larvae of S. frugiperda and H. derogata. Two species viz., B. lasus and D. anthracia, which were belonged to family Chalcididae, were collected from pupal stage of S. frugiperda and Spilosoma obligua, respectively. Signiphoridae represented only one species i.e., Chartocerus sp. which was collected from adults of C. insolita Exallomochlus hispidus. Aphelinidae and represented two species viz., C. pseudococci which was collected from adults of C. insolita and E. hispidus, and Encarsia sp. was collected from Aleurocanthus arecae. Trichogrammatidae, Proctutropidae and Pteromalidae represented one species each viz., T. chilonis, Nothoserphus sp. and P. metallicus, respectively which were collected from insect hosts viz., S. frugiperda (egg mass), H. derogata (larvae) and S. incertulas (pupae), respectively (Table 1).

SI. No.	Family	Scientific name	Host insect	Host stage of insect
I	Ichneumonidae	1. Campoletis chlorideae	Spodoptera frugiperda Plutella xylostella	Larva
		2. <i>Eriborus</i> sp.	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		3. Charops sp.	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		4. Ophion sp.	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
II	Braconidae	5. Chelonus formosanus	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		6. Microplitis prodeniae	Spodoptera litura	Larva
		7. Cotesia ruficrus	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		8. Cotesia vestalis	Plutella xylostella	Larva
		9 <i>. Cotesia</i> sp.	Exelastis atomosa	Larva
		10. Chelonus sp.	Acrocercops syngramma	Larva

Table 1. List of hymenopteran	parasitoids recorded	during 2021-2023
-------------------------------	----------------------	------------------

SI. No.	Family	Scientific name	Host insect	Host stage of insect
		11. <i>Bracon</i> sp.	Omiodes indicata	Larva
		12. Crinibracon chromusae	Hasora chromus	Pupa
				Lonio
		13. Phanerotoma sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
	E. L. al. Mark	14. Diaeretiella rapae	Brevicoryne brassicae	Adult
	Eulophidae	15. Chrysocharis sp. 1	Acrocercops syngramma	Larva
		16. Chrysocharis sp. 2	Acrocercops syngramma	Larva
		17. Chrysocharis sp. 3	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		18. ?Ryhonos sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
		19. Aprostocetus sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
		20. Oomyzus sokolowskii	Plutella xylostella	Pupa
		21. Tetrastichus schoenobii	Scirpophaga incertulas	Egg
IV	Encyrtidae	22. Anagyrus pseudococci	Nipaecoccus nipae	Adult
		23. Ooencyrtus sp. 1	Omiodes indicata	Egg
		24. Leptomastix	Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
		nigrocincta	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	, laan
		25.Prochiloneurus	Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
		pulchellus	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	, laan
		26. Copidosoma	Trichoplusia ni	Larva
		floridanum		
		27. Ooencyrtus sp. 2	Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
V	Scelionidae	28. <i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 1	Leptoglossus phyllopus	Egg
		29. <i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 2	Leptocorisa oratoria	Egg
		30. <i>Telenomus</i> sp. 1	Scirpophaga incertulas	Egg
		31. ?Paratelenomus anu	Megacopta cribraria	Egg
		32. Trissolcus sp. 3	Earias vittela	Egg
		33. <i>Telenomus</i> sp. 2	Spodoptera frugiperda	Egg
			Rhynocoris marginatus	
			Leucinodes orbonalis	
VI	Eurytomidae	34. Eurytoma sp.	Spodoptera frugiperda Haritalodes derogata	Larva
VII	Chalcididae	35. Brachymeria lasus	Spodoptera frugiperda	Pupa
		36. Dirhinus anthracia	Spilosoma obliqua	Pupa
VIII	Signiphoridae	37. Chartocerus sp.	Exallomochlus hispidus	Adult
			Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
IX	Aphelinidae	38.Coccophagus	Exallomochlus hispidus	Adult
		pseudococci	Coccidohystrix insolita	
		39. Encarsia sp.	Aleurocanthus arecae	Pupa
Х	Trichogrammatidae	40. Trichogramma chilonis	Spodoptera frugiperda	Egg
XI	Proctutropidae	41. Nothoserphus sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
XII	Pteromalidae	42. Pteromalus	Scirpophaga incertulas	Pupa
		metallicus		

3.2 Family and Species wise Distribution of Hymenopteran Parasitoids in different Localities

Among 42 hymenopteran parasitoids species collected, 25 species represented ten families viz., Ichneumonidae (*C. chlorideae*), Braconidae

(C. formosanus, M. prodeniae, C. ruficrus, C. vestalis, Cotesia sp., Chelonus sp., Bracon sp. and C. chromusae), Eulophidae (Chrysocharis sp. 1, Chrysocharis sp. 2 and Chrysocharis sp. 3), Encyrtidae (A. pseudococci and Ooencyrtus sp. 1), Scelionidae (Trissolcus sp. 1, Trissolcus sp. 2, Telenomus sp. 1, ?P. anu, Trissolcus sp. 3

and Telenomus sp. 2), Eurytomidae (Eurytoma sp.), Chalcididae (*B. lasus*), Signiphoridae (*Chartocerus* sp.), Aphelinidae (*C. pseudococci*) and Trichogrammatidae (T. chilonis) which were collected from shivamogga district, whereas 16 represented species nine families viz., Ichneumonidae (C. chlorideae and Ophion sp.), Braconidae (C. vestalis and D. rapae), Eulophidae (O. sokolowskii and T. schoenobii), Encyrtidae (C. floridanum, Ooencyrtus sp. 2, L. nigrocincta and P. pulchellus), Scelionidae (Telenomus sp. 2), Pteromalidae (P. metallicus), Signiphoridae (Chartocerus sp.), Aphelinidae (C. pseudococci and Encarsia sp.) and Trichogrammatidae (T. chilonis) which were collected from Davanagere district, while 12 represented eight families species viz.. Ichneumonidae (C. chlorideae, Eriborus sp. and Charops sp.), Braconidae (C. ruficrus and Phanerotoma sp.), Eulophidae (?Ryhonos sp. and Aprostocetus sp.), Scelionidae (Telenomus sp. 2). Eurytomidae (Eurytoma sp.). Chalcididae (D. anthracia), Proctutropidae (Nothoserphus sp.) and Trichogrammatidae (T. chilonis) which were collected from Chitradurga district (Table 2, 3 and 4). Out of 42 species reported from three districts, three species viz., C. chlorideae, T. chilonis and Telenomus sp. 2 were common to all the three districts viz., Shivamogga, Davanagere and Chitradurga, three species viz., C. vestalis, C. pseudococci and Chartocerus sp. were recorded from both Shivamogga and Davanagere districts and were absent in Chitradurga district. C. ruficrus and Eurytoma sp. were recorded from both Shivamogga and Chitradurga districts and were absent in Davanagere district. However, the parasitoids species viz., C. formosanus, M. prodeniae, Cotesia sp., Chelonus sp., Bracon sp. C. chromusae, Chrysocharis sp. 1, Chrysocharissp. 2, Chrysocharis sp. 3, A. pseudococci, Ooencyrtus sp. 1, Trissolcus sp. 1, Trissolcus sp. 2, 2, Trissolcus sp. 3, Telenomus sp. 1, ?P. anu and B. lasus were recorded only from Shivamogga district, whereas Ophion sp. D. sokolowskii, Τ. rapae, O. schoenobii, L. Ρ. pulchellus, С. floridanum, nigrocincta, Ooencyrtus sp. 2, P. metallicus and Encarsia sp. were recorded only from Davanagere district, while Eriborus sp., Charops sp., Phanerotoma sp., ?Ryhonos sp., Aprostocetus sp., D. anthracia and Nothoserphus sp. were recorded only from Chitradurga district. Both the number of families and species of hymenopteran parasitoids found were higher (10 and 25, respectively) in Shivamogga district, followed by Davanagere district with nine families and 16

species. The lowest number of families and species of hymenopteran parasitoids (8 and 12. respectively) were recorded in Chitraduraa district (Table 5). These results are in agreement with Bhat et al. [6] who reported hymenopteran parasitoids viz., C. chlorideae, C. ruficrus and unidentified ichneumonid from different stages of Thysanoplusia orichalcea in laboratory rearing. Manickavasagam Rameshkumar and [7] recorded 39 species of parasitoids comprised of 16 genera through host rearing, net sweep, vellow pan trap and malaise trap in the major districts of Tamil Nadu. Shweta and Raimohana [8] recorded a total of 198 individuals belonged to 38 species in 21 genera belonged to the subfamily Scelioninae in rice ecosystems of Kerala.

3.3 Hymenopteran Parasitoids Associated with different Host Plants

In the present study, a total of 42 species of hymenopteran parasitoids were recorded from different host plants viz., rice, maize, cabbage, cotton, coconut, brinial, cashew, crape jasmine, tobacco, field bean, soybean, arecanut, cocoa, bhendi and pongamia. Among them, the highest number (12) of parasitoids species viz., Eurytoma sp., C. ruficrus, C. chlorideae, T. chilonis, Telenomus sp. 2, C. formosanus, Chrysocharis sp. 3, B. lasus, Eriborus sp., Charops sp., Ophion sp. and D. anthracia were recorded from maize, followed by 6 species viz., L. nigrocincta, P. pulchellus, Ooencyrtus sp. 2, Telenomus sp. 2, C. pseudococci and Chartocerus sp. were recorded in brinjal. In cabbage, 5 species viz., O. sokolowskii, C. floridanum, C. chlorideae, C. vestalis and D. rapae were recorded. In cotton also, 5 species viz., ?Ryhonos sp., Aprostocetus sp., Eurytoma sp., Phanerotoma sp. and Nothoserphus sp. were recorded. 4 species viz., Telenomus sp. 1, T. schoenobii, P. metallicus and Trissolcus sp. 2 were recorded from rice. In cashew also, 4 species viz., Chrvsocharis sp. 1, Chrvsocharis sp. 2, Chelonus sp. and Telenomus sp. 2 were recorded. In soybean, 3 species viz., ?P. anu, Overcyrtus sp. 1 and Bracon sp. were recorded. 2 species viz., Cotesia sp. and ?P. anu were recorded in field bean. In cocoa also, 2 species viz., C. pseudococci and Chartocerus sp. were recorded. One species each in coconut (A. pseudococci), crape jasmine (Trissolcus sp. tobacco (*M*. prodeniae), 1), arecanut (Encarsia sp.), bhendi (Trissolcus sp. 3) and pongamia (C. chromusae) were recorded (Table 6).

SI. No.	Family	Scientific name	Host insect	Host stage of insect
I	Ichneumonidae	1. Campoletis chlorideae	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
II	Braconidae	2. Chelonus formosanus	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		3. Microplitis prodeniae	Spodoptera litura	Larva
		4. Cotesia ruficrus	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		5. Cotesia vestalis	Plutella xylostella	Larva
		6. <i>Cotesia</i> sp.	Exelastis atomosa	Larva
		7. <i>Chelonus</i> sp.	Acrocercops syngramma	Larva
		8. <i>Bracon</i> sp.	Omiodes indicata	Larva
		9. Crinibracon chromusae	Hasora chromus	Pupa
	Eulophidae	10. Chrysocharis sp. 1	Acrocercops syngramma	Larva
		11. Chrysocharis sp. 2	Acrocercops syngramma	Larva
		12. Chrysocharis sp. 3	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
IV	Encyrtidae	13. Anagyrus pseudococci	Nipaecoccus nipae	Adult
		14. Ooencyrtus sp. 1	Omiodes indicata	Egg
V	Scelionidae	15. <i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 1	Leptoglossus phyllopus	Egg
		16. <i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 2	Leptocorisa oratoria	Egg
		17. Telenomus sp. 1	Scirpophaga incertulas	Egg
		18. ?Paratelenomus anu	Megacopta cribraria	Egg
		19. <i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 3	Earias vittela	Egg
		20. <i>Telenomus</i> sp. 2	Spodoptera frugiperda	Egg
			Rhynocoris marginatus	
VI	Eurytomidae	21. Eurytoma sp.	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
VII	Chalcididae	22. Brachymeria lasus	Spodoptera frugiperda	Pupa
VIII	Signiphoridae	23. Chartocerus sp.	Exallomochlus hispidus	Adult
IX	Aphelinidae	24. Coccophagus	Exallomochlus hispidus	Adult
		pseudococci	-	
Х	Trichogrammatidae	25. Trichogramma chilonis	Spodoptera frugiperda	Egg

Table 2. List of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Shivamogga district during 2021-2023

Table 3. List of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Davanagere district during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Family	Scientific name	Host insect	Host stage of insect
	Ichneumonidae	1. Campoletis chlorideae	Plutella xylostella	Larva
		2. Ophion sp.	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
II	Braconidae	3. Cotesia vestalis	Plutella xylostella	Larva
		4. Diaeretiella rapae	Brevicoryne brassicae	Adult
	Eulophidae	5. Oomyzus sokolowskii	Plutella xylostella	Pupa
	-	6. Tetrastichus schoenobii	Scirpophaga incertulas	Egg
IV	Encyrtidae	7. Leptomastix nigrocincta	Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
		8. Prochiloneurus pulchellus	Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
		9. Copidosoma floridanum	Trichoplusia ni	Larva
		10. Ooencyrtus sp. 2	Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
V	Scelionidae	11. <i>Telenomus</i> sp. 2	Leucinodes orbonalis	Egg
VI	Pteromalidae	12. Pteromalus metallicus	Scirpophaga incertulas	Pupa
VII	Signiphoridae	13. Chartocerus sp.	Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
VIII	Aphelinidae	14. Coccophagus pseudococci	Coccidohystrix insolita	Adult
	•	15. <i>Encarsia</i> sp.	Aleurocanthus arecae	Pupa
IX	Trichogrammatidae	16. Trichogramma chilonis	Spodoptera frugiperda	Egg

SI. No.	Family	Scientific name	Host insect	Host stage of insect
	Ichneumonidae	1. Campoletis chlorideae	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		2. Eriborus sp.	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
		3. Charops sp.	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
	Braconidae	4. Phanerotoma sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
		5. Cotesia ruficrus	Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva
	Eulophidae	6. ?Ryhonos sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
		7. Aprostocetus sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
IV	Scelionidae	8. <i>Telenomus</i> sp. 2	Spodoptera frugiperda	Egg
V	Eurytomidae	9. Eurytoma sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
VI	Chalcididae	10. Dirhinus anthracia	Spilosoma obliqua	Pupa
VII	Proctutropidae	11. Nothoserphus sp.	Haritalodes derogata	Larva
VIII	Trichogrammatidae	12. Trichogramma chilonis	Spodoptera frugiperda	Egg

Table 4. List of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Chitradurga district during 2021-2023

Table 5. Distribution of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in three districts during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Name of the species	Shivamogga	Davanagere	Chitradurga
1	?Ryhonos sp.	-	-	+
2	Eurytoma sp.	+	-	+
3	Oomyzus sokolowskii	-	+	-
4	Chrysocharis sp. 1	+	-	-
5	Chrysocharis sp. 2	+	-	-
6	Anagyrus pseudococci	+	-	-
7	Leptomastix nigrocincta	-	+	-
8	Prochiloneurus pulchellus	-	+	-
9	Copidosoma floridanum	-	+	-
10	Campoletis chlorideae	+	+	+
11	Chelonus formosanus	+	-	-
12	<i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 1	+	-	-
13	Trissolcus sp. 2	+	-	-
14	Microplitis prodeniae	+	-	-
15	Cotesia ruficrus	+	-	+
16	Cotesia vestalis	+	+	-
17	Cotesia sp.	+	-	-
18	Telenomus sp. 1	+	-	-
19	Telenomus sp. 2	+	+	+
20	?Paratelenomus anu	+	-	-
21	Chelonus sp.	+	-	-
22	Bracon sp.	+	-	-
23	Phanerotoma sp.	-	-	+
24	Diaeretiella rapae	-	+	-
25	Brachymeria lasus	+	-	-
26	Chrysocharis sp. 3	+	-	-
27	Ooencyrtus sp. 1	+	-	-
28	Encarsia sp.	-	+	-
29	Coccophagus pseudococci	+	+	-
30	Ooencyrtus sp. 2	-	+	-
31	Chartocerus sp.	+	+	-
32	Pteromalus metallicus	-	+	-
33	Tetrastichus schoenobii	-	+	-
34	Aprostocetus sp.	-	-	+
35	Eriborus sp.	-	-	+

SI. No.	Name of the species	Shivamogga	Davanagere	Chitradurga
36	Charops sp.	-	-	+
37	Nothoserphus sp.	-	-	+
38	Ophion sp.	-	+	-
39	Dirhinus anthracia	-	-	+
40	<i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 3	+	-	-
41	Crinibracon chromusae	+	-	-
42	Trichogramma chilonis	+	+	+

Table 6. Crop wise distribution of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Host plant	Name of species	Parasitoid family
	Rice	1. <i>Telenomu</i> s sp. 1	Scelionidae
	(Oryza sativa)	2. Tetrastichus schoenobii	Eulophidae
		3. Pteromalus metallicus	Pteromalidae
		4. <i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 2	Scelionidae
	Maize	1. <i>Eurytoma</i> sp.	Eurytomidae
	(Zea mays)	2. Chelonus formosanus	Braconidae
		3. Campoletis chlorideae	Ichneumonidae
		4. Cotesia ruficrus	Braconidae
		5. <i>Telenomu</i> s sp. 2	Scelionidae
		6. Brachymeria lasus	Chalcididae
		7. Chrysocharis sp. 3	Eulophidae
		8. Eriborus sp.	Ichneumonidae
		9. Charops sp.	Ichneumonidae
		10. Ophion sp.	Ichneumonidae
		11. Trichogramma chilonis	Trichogrammatidae
		12. Dirhinus anthracia	Chalcididae
	Cabbage	1. Oomyzus sokolowskii	Eulophidae
	(Brassica oleracea)	2. Copidosoma floridanum	Encyrtidae
		3. Campoletis chlorideae	Ichneumonidae
		4. Cotesia vestalis	Braconidae
		5. Diaeretiella rapae	Braconidae
IV	Cotton	1. ?Ryhonos sp.	Eulophidae
	(Gossypium hirsutum)	2. Eurytoma sp.	Eurytomidae
		3. Aprostocetus sp.	Eulophidae
		4. Phanerotoma sp.	Braconidae
		5. Nothoserphus sp.	Proctutropidae
V	Coconut	1. Anagyrus pseudococci	Encyrtidae
	(Cocos nucifera)		-
VI	Brinjal	1. Leptomastix nigrocincta	Encyrtidae
	(Solanum melongena)	2. Prochiloneurus pulchellus	Encyrtidae
	· _ /	3. Telenomus sp. 2	Scelionidae
		4. Ooencyrtus sp. 2	Encyrtidae
		5. Coccophagus pseudococci	Aphelinidae
		6. Chartocerus sp.	Signiphoridae
VII	Cashew	1. Chrysocharis sp. 1	Eulophidae
	(Anacardium occidentale)	2. Chrysocharis sp. 2	Eulophidae
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3. Telenomus sp. 2	Scelionidae
		4. Chelonus sp.	Braconidae
VIII	Crape jasmine (Tabernaemontana divaricata)	1. Trissolcus sp. 1	Scelionidae
IX	Tobacco	1. Microplitis prodeniae	Braconidae
	(Nicotiana tabacum)		
X	(<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>) Field bean	1. Cotesia sp.	Braconidae

XI	Soybean	1. ?Paratelenomus anu	Scelionidae
	(Glycine max)	2. <i>Bracon</i> sp.	Braconidae
		3. Ooencyrtus sp. 1	Encyrtidae
XII	Arecanut	1. <i>Encarsia</i> sp.	Aphelinidae
	(Areca catechu)		
XIII	Cocoa	1. Coccophagus pseudococci	Aphelinidae
	(Theobroma cacao)	2. Chartocerus sp.	Signiphoridae
X1V	Bhendi	1. Trissolcus sp. 3	Scelionidae
	(Abelmoschus esculentus)		
XV	Pongamia	1. Crinibracon chromusae	Braconidae
	(Pongamia pinnata)		

3.4 District wise Distribution of Hymenopteran Parasitoids in different Host Plants

Among 42 species of hymenopteran parasitoids collected, 25 species were recorded from Shivamogga district in different host plants viz., rice, maize, cabbage, coconut, cashew, crape jasmine, tobacco, field bean, soybean, cocoa, bhendi and pongamia. Among them, highest number (8) of parasitoids species viz., Eurytoma sp., C. ruficrus, C. chlorideae, T. chilonis, Telenomus sp. 2. C. formosanus. Chrvsocharis sp. 3 and *B. lasus* were recorded from maize, followed by 4 species viz., Chrvsocharis sp. 1, Chrysocharis sp. 2, Chelonus sp. and Telenomus sp. 2 were recorded in cashew, 3 species viz., ?P. anu, Ooencyrtus sp. 1 and Bracon sp. were recorded in soybean, whereas two species each in rice (Telenomus sp. 1 and Trissolcus sp. 2), fieldbean (Cotesia sp. and ?P. anu) and cocoa (C. pseudococci and Chartocerus sp.) were recorded, while one species each in cabbage (C. vestalis), coconut (A. pseudococci), crape (Trissolcus sp. 1), tobacco (M. iasmine prodeniae), bhendi (Trissolcus sp. 3) and pongamia (C. chromusae) were recorded.

However, Davanagere district. 16 in hymenopteran parasitoids species were recorded in different host plants viz., rice, maize, cabbage, brinjal and arecanut. Amomg them, highest number (6) of parasitoids species viz., L. nigrocincta, P. pulchellus, Ooencyrtus sp. 2, Telenomus sp. 2, C. pseudococci and Chartocerus sp. were recorded in brinjal, followed by 5 species viz., O. sokolowskii, C. floridanum, C. chlorideae, C. vestalis and D. rapae were recorded in cabbage whereas, two species each in rice (T. schoenobii and Ρ. metallicus) and maize (Ophion sp. and Т. chilonis) were recorded while the lowest of one species was recorded in arecanut (Encarsia sp.). 12 hymenopteran parasitoids species were recorded from two different host plants viz., maize and cotton in Chitradurga district. Among them, highest number (7) of parasitoids species viz., C. ruficrus, C. chlorideae, T. chilonis, Telenomus sp. 2, Eriborus sp., Charops sp. and D. anthracia were recorded from maize, followed by 5 species viz., ?Ryhonos sp., Aprostocetus sp., Eurytoma sp., Phanerotoma sp. and Nothoserphus sp. from cotton (Table 7, 8 and 9). These results are in line with Pathummal Beevi et al. [9] who reported that hymenopteran

SI. No.	Host plant	Name of species	Parasitoid family
	Rice	1. <i>Telenomus</i> sp. 1	Scelionidae
	(Oryza sativa)	2. Trissolcus sp. 2	Scelionidae
	Maize	1. <i>Eurytoma</i> sp.	Eurytomidae
	(Zea mays)	2. Chelonus formosanus	Braconidae
		3. Campoletis chlorideae	Ichneumonidae
		4. Cotesia ruficrus	Braconidae
		5. <i>Telenomus</i> sp. 2	Scelionidae
		6. Brachymeria lasus	Chalcididae
		7. Chrysocharis sp. 3	Eulophidae
		8. Trichogramma chilonis	Trichogrammatidae
	Cabbage	1. Cotesia vestalis	Braconidae

 Table 7. Crop wise distribution of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Shivamogga district

 during 2021-2023

Darshan and Hegde; Int. J. Environ	. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 3, pp.	. 585-607, 2024; Article no.IJECC.114785
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

SI. No.	Host plant	Name of species	Parasitoid family
	(Brassica oleracea)		
IV	Coconut	1. Anagyrus pseudococci	Encyrtidae
	(Cocos nucifera)		
V	Cashew	1. Chrysocharis sp. 1	Eulophidae
	(Anacardium occidentale)	2. Chrysocharis sp. 2	Eulophidae
		3. <i>Telenomu</i> s sp. 2	Scelionidae
		4. Chelonus sp.	Braconidae
VI	Crape jasmine	1. <i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 1	Scelionidae
	(Tabernaemontana divaricata)		
VII	Tobacco	1. Microplitis prodeniae	Braconidae
	(Nicotiana tabacum)		
VIII	Field bean	1. Cotesia sp.	Braconidae
	(Vicia faba)	2. ?Paratelenomus anu	Scelionidae
IX	Soybean	1. ?Paratelenomus anu	Scelionidae
	(Glycine max)	2. <i>Bracon</i> sp.	Braconidae
		3. Ooencyrtus sp. 1	Encyrtidae
Х	Сосоа	1. Coccophagus pseudococci	Aphelinidae
	(Theobroma cacao)	2. Chartocerus sp.	Signiphoridae
XI	Bhendi	1. <i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 3	Scelionidae
	(Abelmoschus esculentus)	-	
XII	Pongamia	1. Crinibracon chromusae	Braconidae
	(Pongamia pinnata)		

Table 8. Crop wise distribution of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Davanagere district during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Host plant	Name of	Parasitoid
	-	species	family
I	Rice	1. Tetrastichus schoenobii	Eulophidae
	(Oryza sativa)	2. Pteromalus metallicus	Pteromalidae
II	Maize	1. Trichogramma chilonis	Trichogrammatidae
	(Zea mays)	2. Ophion sp.	Ichneumonidae
III	Cabbage	1. Oomyzus sokolowskii	Eulophidae
	(Brassica oleracea)	2. Copidosoma floridanum	Encyrtidae
		3. Campoletis chlorideae	Ichneumonidae
		4. Cotesia vestalis	Braconidae
		5. Diaeretiella rapae	Braconidae
IV	Brinjal	1. Leptomastix nigrocincta	Encyrtidae
	(Solanum melongena)	2. Prochiloneurus pulchellus	Encyrtidae
		3. Telenomus sp. 2	Scelionidae
		4. Ooencyrtus sp. 2	Encyrtidae
		5. Coccophagus pseudococci	Aphelinidae
		6. Chartocerus sp.	Signiphoridae
V	Arecanut	1. <i>Encarsia</i> sp.	Aphelinidae
	(Areca catechu)		

parasitoids belonged to 19 families with the most predominant species were from the genera *Telenomus*, *Tetrastichus* and *Trissolcus*, and species from other genera, such as *Aprostocetus* and *Pteromalus*, were also abundant from rice ecosystems in Kerala. Ochoa et al. [10] also reported eleven species of hymenopteran parasitoids belonged to 3 families *viz.*, Ichneumonidae, Braconidae and Eulophidae from larvae of fall armyworm, *S. frugiperda* on maize.

SI. No.	Host plant	Name of species	Parasitoid family
	Maize	1. Campoletis chlorideae	Ichneumonidae
	(Zea mays)	2. Trichogramma chilonis	Trichogrammatidae
		3. Cotesia ruficrus	Braconidae
		4. <i>Telenomus</i> sp. 2	Scelionidae
		5. <i>Eriborus</i> sp.	Ichneumonidae
		6. Charops sp.	Ichneumonidae
		7. Dirhinus anthracia	Chalcididae
	Cotton	1. ?Ryhonos sp.	Eulophidae
	(Gossypium hirsutum)	2. Eurytoma sp.	Eurytomidae
		3. Aprostocetus sp.	Eulophidae
		4. Phanerotoma sp.	Braconidae
		5. Nothoserphus sp.	Proctutropidae

Table 9. Crop wise distribution of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Chitradurga district
during 2021-2023

3.5 Percent Species Composition of Hymenopteran Parasitoids

Percent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids collected during 2021-2023 is presented in Table 10 and Fig. 2. Out of the 1379 parasitoid specimens collected comprised of 42 species belonged to 12 families and 33 genera. Among the families, Braconidae was found most dominant (comprised of 23.81%), followed by (16.67%), Eulophidae Encyrtidae and Scelionidae (each with 14.29%), Ichneumonidae (9.52%), Aphelinidae and Chalcididae (each with 4.76%). Eurytomidae, Proctutropidae. Pteromalidae, Signiphoridae and Trichogrammatidae (each with 2.38%). Among all the parasitoids species recorded, the highest numbers (498) were from C. floridanum with per cent species composition of 36.11, followed by Telenomus sp. 2 (175 individuals with 12.68%). In the family Ichneumonidae, highest numbers (62) were from C. chlorideae with per cent species composition of 4.50, followed by Eriborus sp., Charops sp. and Ophion sp. (each with one individual comprised of 0.07%) whereas, in Braconidae, С. chromusae represented highest numbers (15) with per cent species composition of 1.09, followed by Phanerotoma sp. and C. vestalis (each with 9 individuals comprised of 0.65%), C. ruficrus (7 individuals with 0.51%), Bracon sp. (6 individuals with 0.44%), Chelonus sp. (5 individuals with 0.36%), Cotesia sp. (2 individuals with 0.15%), C. formosanus, M. prodeniae and D. rapae (each with one individual comprised of 0.07%), while in Eulophidae, highest numbers (76) were from T. schoenobii with per cent species composition of 5.51, followed by Chrysocharis sp. 1 (16 individuals with 1.16%), Aprostocetus sp. (11 individuals with 0.80%), Chrysocharis sp.

2 and O. sokolowskii (each with 9 individual comprised of 0.65%), Chrysocharis sp. 3 (5 individuals with 0.36%) and lowest of one individual was represented by Ryhonos sp. with per cent species composition of 0.07. However, in Encyrtidae, the highest numbers (498) were from C. floridanum with per cent species composition of 36.11, followed hv Ocencyrtus sp. 2 (34 individuals with 2.47%), P. pulchellus (18 individuals with 1.31%), A. Pseudococci (9 individuals with 0.65%), L. nigrocincta (6 individuals with 0.44%) and lowest of 2 individuals were collected in Ooencyrtus sp. 1 with per cent species composition of 0.15. In the family, Scelionidae, Telenomus sp. 2 represented highest numbers (175) with per cent species composition of 12.69, followed by ?P. anu (86 individuals with 6.24%). Trissolcus sp. 3 (53 individuals with 3.84%), Telenomus sp. 1 (51 individuals with 3.70%), Trissolcus sp. 1 (14 individuals with 1.02%) and Trissolcus sp. 2 represented lowest number (6) of individuals with per cent species composition of 0.44. Two species viz., B. lasus and D. anthracia each represented one individual with per cent species composition of 0.07 from Chalcididae and two species viz., C. pseudococci and Encarsia sp. each represented 49 and 5 individuals with per cent species composition of 3.55 and 0.36, respectively from Aphelinidae. However. Eurytomidae, Proctutropidae, Pteromalidae, Signiphoridae and Trichogrammatidae each represented one species viz., Eurytoma sp. (18), Nothoserphus sp. (1), P. metallicus (5), Chartocerus sp. (13) and T. chilonis (86) with per cent species composition of 1.31, 0.07, 0.36, 0.94 and 6.24, respectively. In Shivamogga district. 454 hymenopteran parasitoid specimens belonged to 25 species of 10 familes were collected. Among the families, Braconidae was

found dominant (comprised of 32.00%), followed by Scelionidae (24.00%), Eulophidae (12.00%), Encyrtidae (8.00%). Ichneumonidae. Aphelinidae. Chalcididae. Eurvtomidae. Signiphoridae and Trichogrammatidae (each with 4.00%). Among the parasitoids species recorded, the highest numbers (89) were from Telenomus sp. 2 with per cent species composition of 19.60, followed by ?P. anu (86 individuals with 18.94%). the family Braconidae, C. chromusae In represented highest numbers (15) with per cent species composition of 3.30, followed by Braconsp. (6 individuals with 1.32%), Chelonus sp. (5 individuals with 1.10%), C. vestalis (3 individuals with 0.66%), Cotesia sp. (2 individuals with 0.44%), C. formosanus, M. prodeniae and D. rapae (each with one individual comprised of 0.22%) whereas, in Scelionidae, Telenomus sp. 2 represented highest numbers (89) with per cent species composition of 19.60, followed by ?P. anu (86 individuals with 18.94%), Trissolcus sp. 3 (53 individuals with 11.67%), Telenomus sp. 1 (51 individuals with 11.23%), Trissolcus sp. 1 (14 individuals with 3.08%) and Trissolcus sp. 2 represented lowest number (6) of individuals with per cent species composition of 1.32 while in Eulophidae, highest numbers (16) were from Chrysocharis sp. 1 with per cent species composition of 3.52, followed by Chrysocharis sp. 2 (9 individuals with 1.98%) and Chrysocharis sp. 3 (5 individuals with 1.10%). However, in Encyrtidae, the highest numbers (9) were from A. pseudococci with per cent species composition of 1.98, followed by Ooencyrtus sp. 1 (2 individuals with 0.44%) whereas, Ichneumonidae, Eurytomidae, Chalcididae, Aphelinidae, Signiphoridae and Trichogrammatidae each represented one species viz., C. chlorideae (11 individuals), Eurytoma sp. (12 individuals), B. lasus (1 individuals), C. pseudococci (1 individuals), Chartocerus sp. (8 individuals) and T. chilonis individuals) with (47 per cent species composition of 2.42, 2.64, 0.22, 0.22, 1.76 and 10.35, respectively (Table 11 and Fig. 3). In district, 747 hymenopteran Davanagere parasitoid specimens belonging to 16 species of 9 familes were collected. Among the families, Encyrtidae was found dominant (comprising of 25.00%), followed by Ichneumonidae, Braconidae, Eulophidae and Aphelinidae (each with 12.50%), Scelionidae, Pteromalidae, Signiphoridae and Trichogrammatidae (each with 6.25%). Among the parasitoids species recorded, the highest numbers (498) were from C. floridanum with per cent species composition of 66.67, followed by C. pseudococci (48 individuals

with 6.43%). In the family Ichneumonidae, there were two species viz., C. chlorideae and Ophion sp. each represented one individual with per cent species composition of 0.13 whereas, in Braconidae, C. vestalis represented highest numbers (6) with per cent species composition of 0.80, followed by D. rapae (one individual with 0.13%), while in Eulophidae, highest numbers (76) were from T. schoenobii with per cent species composition of 10.17, followed by O. sokolowskii (9 individuals with 1.20%). However, in Encyrtidae, the highest numbers (498) were from C. floridanum with per cent species composition of 66.67, followed by Ooencyrtus sp. 2 (34 individuals with 4.55%), P. pulchellus (18 individuals with 2.41%) and lowest of 6 individuals were collected from L. nigrocincta with per cent species composition of 0.80, whereas in Aphelinidae, two species viz., C. pseudococci and Encarsia sp. each represented 48 and 5 individuals with per cent species composition of 6.43 and 0.67, respectively, while Scelionidae, Pteromalidae, Signiphoridae and Trichogrammatidae each represented one species viz., Telenomus sp. 2 (18 individuals), P. metallicus (5 individuals), Chartocerus sp. (5 individuals) and T. chilonis (16 individuals) with per cent species composition of 2.41, 0.67, 0.67 and 2.14, respectively (Table 12 and Fig. 4). In Chitradurga district. hymenopteran 178 parasitoid specimens belonging to 12 species of 8 familes were collected. Among the families, Ichneumonidae was found dominant (comprising 25.00%), followed by Braconidae and of Eulophidae (each with 16.67%), Scelionidae, Eurytomidae, Chalcididae, Proctutropidae and Trichogrammatidae (each with 8.33%). Among the parasitoids species recorded, the highest numbers (68) were from Telenomus sp. 2 with per cent species composition of 38.20, followed by C. Chlorideae (50 individuals with 28.09%). In the family Ichneumonidae, highest numbers (50) were from C. chlorideae with per cent species composition of 28.09, followed by Eriborus sp. and Charops sp. (each with one individual comprised of 0.56%), whereas, in Braconidae, Phanerotoma sp. represented highest numbers (9) with per cent species composition of 5.06, followed by C. ruficrus (6 individuals with 3.37%), while in Eulophidae, highest numbers (11) were from Aprostocetus sp. (11 individuals with 6.18%) and lowest of one individual was represented by ?Ryhonos sp. with per cent species composition of 0.56. However. Eurytomidae, Chalcididae, Scelionidae, Proctutropidae and Trichogrammatidae each represented one species viz., Telenomus sp. 2

(68 individuals), *Eurytoma* sp. (6 individuals), *D. anthracia* (1 individuals), *Nothoserphus* sp. (1 individuals) and *T. chilonis* (23 individuals) with per cent species composition of 38.20, 3.37, 0.56, 0.56 and 12.92, respectively (Table 13 and Fig. 5). These results are in agreement with Anbalagan et al. [11] who recorded totally 4994 individuals which represented 100 species belonging to 37 families of Hymenoptera and noticed Braconidae, Encyrtidae, Eulophidae and Platygastridae were found to be the dominant in

the vegetable fields of Tiruvallur District. Tamil Nadu. Kathirvelu [12] collected and identified thirteen different agriculturally important parasitic families from rice ecosystem. Among the families Ichneumonidae, Braconidae, collected. Scelionidae and Chalcididae were found predominant. Winsou et al. [13] reported that, among the parasitoids collected, the most abundant parasitoid species found was the egg parasitoid, Telenomus remus on fall armyworm.

Table 10. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded during 2021-
2023

SI. No.	Species	No. of parasitoids	Percentage			
I	Ichneumonidae					
1	Campoletis chlorideae	62	4.50			
2	Eriborus sp.	<i>Triborus</i> sp. 1 0.07				
3	Charops sp. 1 0.07					
4	Ophion sp.	1 0.07				
11	Braconidae					
5	Chelonus formosanus	1	0.07			
6	Microplitis prodeniae	1	0.07			
7	Cotesia ruficrus	7	0.51			
8	Cotesia vestalis	9	0.65			
9	<i>Cotesia</i> sp.	2	0.15			
10	Chelonus sp.	5	0.36			
11	Bracon sp.	6	0.44			
12	Crinibracon chromusae	15	1.09			
13	Phanerotoma sp.	9	0.65			
14	Diaeretiella rapae	1	0.07			
III	Eulophidae					
15	Chrysocharis sp. 1	16	1.16			
16	Chrysocharis sp. 2	9	0.65			
17	Chrysocharis sp. 3	5	0.36			
18	?Ryhonos sp.	1	0.07			
19	Aprostocetus sp.	11	0.80			
20	Oomyzus sokolowskii	9	0.65			
21	Tetrastichus schoenobii	76	5.51			
IV	Encyrtidae					
22	Anagyrus pseudococci	9	0.65			
23	Ooencyrtus sp. 1	2	0.15			
24	Leptomastix nigrocincta	6	0.44			
25	Prochiloneurus pulchellus	18	1.31			
26	Copidosoma floridanum	498	36.11			
27	Ooencyrtus sp. 2	34	2.47			
V	Scelionidae					
28	<i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 1	14	1.02			
29	Trissolcus sp. 2	6	0.44			
30	Telenomus sp. 1	51	3.70			
31	?Paratelenomus anu	86	6.24			
32	<i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 3	53	3.84			
33	Telenomus sp. 2	175	12.69			
VI	Eurytomidae					
34	Eurytoma sp.	18	1.31			
VII	Chalcididae					

SI. No.	Species	No. of parasitoids	Percentage	
35	Brachymeria lasus	1	0.07	
36	Dirhinus anthracia	1	0.07	
VIII	Aphelinidae			
37	Coccophagus pseudococci	49	3.55	
38	Encarsia sp.	5	0.36	
IX	Signiphoridae			
39	Chartocerus sp.	13	0.94	
Х	Trichogrammatidae			
40	Trichogramma chilonis	86	6.24	
XI	Proctutropidae			
41	Nothoserphus sp.	1	0.07	
XII	Ptromalidae			
42	Pteromalus metallicus	5	0.36	
Total		1379	100	

Table 11. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Shivamogga district during 2021-2023

SI. No.	No. Species No. of parasitoids		Percentage			
Ι	Ichneumonidae	eumonidae				
1	Campoletis chlorideae	11	2.42			
II	Braconidae					
2	Chelonus formosanus	1 0.22				
3	Microplitis prodeniae	1 0.22				
4	Cotesia ruficrus	1	0.22			
5	Cotesia vestalis	3	0.66			
6	<i>Cotesia</i> sp.	2	0.44			
7	Chelonus sp.	5	1.10			
8	Bracon sp.	6	1.32			
9	Crinibracon chromusae	15	3.30			
	Eulophidae					
10	Chrysocharis sp. 1	16	3.52			
11	Chrysocharis sp. 2	9	1.98			
12	Chrysocharis sp. 3	5	1.10			
IV	Encyrtidae					
13	Anagyrus pseudococci	9	1.98			
14	Ooencyrtus sp. 1	2	0.44			
V	Scelionidae					
15	<i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 1	14	3.08			
16	<i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 2	6	1.32			
17	<i>Telenomu</i> s sp. 1	51	11.23			
18	?Paratelenomus anu	86	18.94			
19	<i>Trissolcus</i> sp. 3	53	11.67			
20	<i>Telenomu</i> s sp. 2	89	19.60			
VI	Eurytomidae					
21	<i>Eurytoma</i> sp.	12	2.64			
VII	Chalcididae					
22	Brachymeria lasus	1	0.22			
VIII	Aphelinidae					
23	Coccophagus pseudococci	1	0.22			
IX	Signiphoridae					
24	Chartocerus sp.	8	1.76			
Х	Trichogrammatidae					
25	Trichogramma chilonis	47	10.35			
Total	~	454	100			

SI. No.	Species	No. of parasitoids	Percentage		
1	Ichneumonidae				
1	Campoletis chlorideae	1 0.13			
2	Ophion sp.	1	0.13		
11	Braconidae				
3	Cotesia vestalis	6	0.80		
4	Diaeretiella rapae	1	0.13		
III	Eulophidae				
5	Oomyzus sokolowskii	9	1.20		
6	Tetrastichus schoenobii	76	10.17		
IV	Encyrtidae				
7	Leptomastix nigrocincta	6	0.80		
8	Prochiloneurus pulchellus	18	2.41		
9	Copidosoma floridanum	498	66.67		
10	Ooencyrtus sp. 2	34	4.55		
V	Scelionidae				
11	<i>Telenomus</i> sp. 2	18	2.41		
VI	Ptromalidae				
12	Pteromalus metallicus	5	0.67		
VII	Signiphoridae				
13	Chartocerus sp.	5	0.67		
VIII	Aphelinidae				
14	Coccophagus pseudococci	48	6.43		
15	Encarsia sp.	5	0.67		
IX	Trichogrammatidae				
16	Trichogramma chilonis	16	2.14		
Total		747	100		


Table 12. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Davanagere district during 2021-2023

Table 13. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded in Chitradurga district during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Species	No. of parasitoids	Percentage	
I	Ichneumonidae			
1	Campoletis chlorideae	50	28.09	
2	Eriborus sp.	1	0.56	
3	Charops sp.	1	0.56	
II	Braconidae			
4	Phanerotoma sp.	9	5.06	
5	Cotesia ruficrus	6	3.37	
III	Eulophidae			
6	?Ryhonos sp.	1	0.56	
7	Aprostocetus sp.	11 6.18		
IV	Scelionidae			
8	Telenomus sp. 2	68	38.20	
۷	Eurytomidae			
9	Eurytoma sp.	6	3.37	
VI	Chalcididae			
10	Dirhinus anthracia	1	0.56	
VII	Proctutropidae			
11	Nothoserphus sp.	1	0.56	
VIII	Trichogrammatidae			
12	Trichogramma chilonis	23	12.92	
Total	~	178	100	

SI. No.	Host		Overall	S	hivamogga	[Davanagere	C	Chitradurga
	stage of insect	No. of species	Percentage	No. of species	Percentage	No. of species	Percentage	No. of species	Percentage
1	Egg	9	21.43	8	32.00	3	18.75	2	16.67
2	Larva	20	47.62	12	48.00	4	25.00	9	75.00
3	Pupa	6	14.29	2	8.00	3	18.75	1	8.33
4	Adult	7	16.67	3	12.00	6	37.50	0	0.00
Total		42	100	25	100	16	100	12	100

Table 14. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids collected from different host stages of insects during 2021-2023



Darshan and Hegde; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 585-607, 2024; Article no.IJECC.114785

Fig. 2. Per cent species composition of parasitic hymenopteran families recorded during 2021-2023




Fig. 3. Per cent species composition of parasitic hymenopteran families recorded in Shivamogga district during 2021-2023

3.6 Hymenopteran Parasitoids Associated of with different Host Stages of Insects

In the present research, 42 hymenopteran parasitoids were collected from different stages viz., egg, larva, pupa and adult stage of host insects. Among them, maximum parasitoids species (20) were collected from larval stage of host insects with per cent species composition of 47.62, followed by egg stage (9 species with 21.43%), adult stage (7 species with 16.67%) and pupal stage (6 species with 14.29%) of host insects. In Shivamogga district also, more number of parasitoids species (12) were collected from larval stage of host insects with per cent species composition of 48, followed by egg stage (8 species with 32.00%), adult stage (3 species with 12.00%) and pupal stage (2 species with 8.00%) of host insects. In Davanagere district. maximum parasitoids species (6) were collected from adult stage of host insect with per cent species composition of 37.50, followed by larval stage (4) of host insects each with per cent species composition of 25.00 and egg (3) and pupal stage (3) with per cent species composition of 18.75. In Chitradurga district, more number of parasitoids species (9) were collected from larval stage of host insects with per cent species composition of 75.00, followed by egg (2) and pupal stage (1) of host insects with per cent species composition of 16.67 and 8.33, respectively and none of the parasitoids were recorded from adult stage of host insects (Table 14). This is in agreement with Taye et al. [14] who observed hymenopteran parasitoids from different ecosystems of Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat campus and found that larval parasitoids represented by 6 families, and 23 species were the most dominant, followed by egg parasitoids (5 families and 13 species).

3.7 Species Composition of Hymenopteran Parasitoids Associated with Crop Ecosystems

During the present investigation, number of hymenopteran parasitoids species and per cent species composition in different crop ecosystems were recorded. Of which, highest per cent species composition of parasitoids species were recorded from maize crop (28.57 %), followed by brinjal (14.29 %), cabbage and cotton (each with 11.90 %), rice and cashew (each with 9.52%), soybean (7.14%), field bean (4.76%), coconut, crape jasmine, tobacco, arecanut, bhendi and

pongamia (each with 2.38%) (Table 15). In Shivamogga district, maize crop recorded highest per cent species composition of parasitoids species (32.00%), followed bv cashew (16.00%), soybean (12.00%), rice, fieldbean and cocoa (each with 8.00%), cabbage, coconut, crape jasmine, tobacco, bhendi and pongamia (each with 4.00%). In Davanagere district, highest per cent species composition of parasitoids species was recorded from brinial crop (37.50 %), followed by cabbage (31.25 %), rice and maize (each with 12.50%), and the lowest per cent species composition was noticed in arecanut crop (6.25%). In Chitradurga district, the highest and lowest per cent species composition of 58.33 and 41.67 was noticed with maize and cotton crops, respectively (Table 16, 17 and 18). These results are in line with Mohapatra and Sahu [15] who found a solitary hymenopteran parasitoid parasitizing the late instars of the cotton leaf roller, H. derogata. Sarfraz et al. [16] observed that highest control of diamondback moth was achieved by relatively few hymenopteran species belonged to the Braconid genus Cotesia, and the eulophid genus Oomyzus. Wold-Burkness et al. [17] reported C. floridanum was the dominant parasitoid of cabbage looper, T. ni.

3.8 Species Abundance, Richness and Diversity of Hymenopteran Parasitoids

Species diversity in terms of richness, evenness and abundance of hymenopteran parasitoids were calculated and compared during study period using Shannon-Wiener index, Simpson diversity index, Margalef's diversity index, Menhinick's index and Evenness. Species diversity was high in Shivamogga district as evidenced by Shannon-Wiener index (2.49), Simpson's diversity index (0.88), Margalef's index (4.09) and Menhinick's index (1.22) and Evenness (0.46), followed by Davanagere district with respect to Shannon-Wiener index (1.69) Simpson's diversity index (0.75), Margalef's index and Menhinick's index (2.27 and 0.90), respectively, while evenness was 0.24. In Chitradurga district, the diversity was low comparatively as exhibited by Shannon-Wiener index being 1.34, Simpson's diversity index 0.54, Margalef's index and Menhinick's index being 2.12 and 0.59, respectively (Table 19). These results are in agreement with Daniel and Ramaraju [18] who reported Chacididae fauna in three rice growing zones of Tamil Nadu wherein all the indices viz., Simpson's Index, ShannonWiener Index and Margalef's index indicated that the Cauvery delta zone was the most diverse zone among the three zones surveyed, while High Rainfall Zone was found to be the least diverse zone. Daniel et al. [18] studied the diversity indices (Simpson's index and Shannon-Wiener index) for Pteromalid fauna in three rice growing zones of Tamil Nadu which revealed that High rainfall zone was the most diverse zone, while Cauvery delta zone being the least diverse. Amala and Shivalingaswamy [19] documented the diversity of hymenopteran parasitoids in different cropping systems and reported that Shannon-Weiner index, Margalef's richness index and Evenness index were higher in the inter/border/hedgerow cropped fields compared to the sole cropped ecosystems.

Table 15. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded from different
crop ecosystems during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Name of crop	No. of species	Percentage
1	Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	4	9.52
2	Maize (Zea mays)	12	28.57
3	Cabbage (Brassica oleracea)	5	11.90
4	Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)	5	11.90
5	Coconut (Cocos nucifera)	1	2.38
6	Brinjal (Solanum melongena)	6	14.29
7	Cashew (Anacardium occidentale)	4	9.52
8	Crape jasmine (Tabernaemontana divaricata)	1	2.38
9	Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum)	1	2.38
10	Field bean (<i>Vicia faba</i>)	2	4.76
11	Soybean (<i>Glycine max</i>)	3	7.14
12	Arecanut (Areca catechu)	1	2.38
13	Cocoa (Theobroma cacao)	2	4.76
14	Bhendi (Abelmoschus esculentus)	1	2.38
15	Pongamia (<i>Pongamia pinnata</i>)	1	2.38
Total	· · · · · ·		100

Table 16. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded from different crop ecosystems in Shivamogga district during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Name of crop	No. of species	Percentage
1	Rice (Oryza sativa)	2	8.00
2	Maize (Zea mays)	8	32.00
3	Cabbage (Brassica oleracea)	1	4.00
4	Coconut (Cocos nucifera)	1	4.00
5	Cashew (Anacardium occidentale)	4	16.00
6	Crape jasmine (Tabernaemontana divaricata)	1	4.00
7	Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum)	1	4.00
8	Field bean (<i>Vicia faba</i>)	2	8.00
9	Soybean (<i>Ġlycine max</i>)	3	12.00
10	Cocoa (Theobroma cacao)	2	8.00
11	Bhendi (Abelmoschus esculentus)	1	4.00
12	Pongamia (<i>Pongamia pinnata</i>)	1	4.00
Total	• · • · /		100

Table 17. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded from different crop ecosystems in Davanagere district during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Name of crop	No. of species	Percentage
1	Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	2	12.50
2	Maize (Zea mays)	2	12.50
3	Cabbage (Brassica oleracea)	5	31.25
4	Brinjal (Solanum melongena)	6	37.50
5	Arecanut (Areca catechu)	1	6.25
Total			100

Table 18. Per cent species composition of hymenopteran parasitoids recorded from different crop ecosystems in Chitradurga district during 2021-2023

SI. No.	Name of crop	No. of species	Percentage
1	Maize (Zea mays)	7	58.33
2	Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)	5	41.67
Total			100




Fig. 4. Per cent species composition of parasitic hymenopteran families recorded in Davanagere district during 2021-2023




Fig. 5. Per cent species composition of parasitic hymenopteran families recorded in Chitradurga district during 2021-2023

Table 19. Diversity indices for hymenopteran parasitoids recorded during 2021-2023

Diversity indices	Shivamogga	Davanagere	Chitradurga
Total number of individuals (N)	455	747	178
Number of species (S)	26	16	12
Shannon-Weiner index (H)	2.49	1.69	1.34
Simpson diversity index (D)	0.88	0.75	0.54
Evenness (J)	0.46	0.24	0.45
Margalef's index	4.09	2.27	2.12
Menhinick's index	1.22	0.90	0.59

4. CONCLUSION

As many as 42 species of hymenopteran parasitoids were recorded which belonged to 12 families comprising of 33 genera from 20 different hosts in Shivamogga, Davanagere and Chitradurga districts. Highest number of parasitoids species were recorded from maize (12 species). A total of 9 hymenopteran parasitoids have been reported on fall armyworm which can be exploited in bio-control programmes in future. Family Braconidae was found most dominant with per cent species compostion of 23.81. The highest numbers of parasitoids (498) were collected from the species Copidosoma floridanum with per cent species composition of 36.11. Maximum parasitoids species (20) were collected from larval stage of host insects with per cent species composition of 47.62. Species diversity was high in Shivamogga district as evidenced by Shannon-Wiener index (2.49), Simpson diversity index (0.88), Margalef's index (4.09) and Menhinick's index (1.22) and Evenness (0.46), followed by Davanagere district with respect to Shannon-Wiener index (1.69) Simpson's diversity index (0.75), Margalef's index and Menhinick's index (2.27 and 0.90), respectively, while evenness was 0.24 and Chitradurga district with respect to Shannon-Wiener index (1.34) Simpson's diversity index (0.54), Margalef's index and Menhinick's index (2.12 and 0.59), respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to the authorities of KSNUAHS, Shivamogga and ICAR-NBAIR, Bengaluru for providing necessary facilities, guidance and help during this research study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lasalle J, Gauld ID. Parasitic Hymenoptera and the biodiversity crisis. Redia. 1991;74(3):315-334.
- Veena MN, Shwetha S, Raju L, Vaibhav PU, Dheeraj K, Biradar PM. Survey of hymenopteran diversity in Karnatak University Campus, Dharwad (Karnataka) India. J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 2018;6 (5):1011-1014.
- 3. Hassell MP, Waage JK. Host-parasitoid population interactions. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 1984;29(1):89-114.
- 4. Belshaw R, Grafen A, Quicke DL. Inferring life history from ovipositor morphology in parasitoid wasps using phylogenetic regression and discriminant analysis. Zool. J. Linn. Soc., 2003;139(2):213-228.
- 5. Magurran AE. Ecological diversity and its measurement.Croom Helm LTD. 1988:175.
- Bhat DM, Bhagat RC, Quresh AA. Newly recorded hymenopteran parasitoids of semilooper, *Thysanoplusia orichalcea* F. on some vegetable crops in Kashmir Valley (India). J. Entomol. Res., 2010;34 (1):53-54.
- Manickavasagam S, Rameshkumar A. New records of genera and species of Encyrtidae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea) from Tamil Nadu, India. Madras Agric. J. 2010;97(10-12):402-407.
- Shweta M, Rajmohana K. Egg parasitoids from the subfamily Scelioninae (Hymenoptera: Platygastridae) in irrigated rice ecosystems across varied elevational ranges in southern India. J. Threat. Taxa. 2016;8(6):8898-8904.
- 9. Pathummal Beevi S, Lyla KR, Narendran C. Hymenopteran diversity in single-and double-cropped rice ecosystems in Kerala, India. Int. Rice Res. Notes, 2000;25(1):20-21.
- 10. Ochoa MJ, Hamm JJ, Lezama-Gutierrez R, Lopez-Edwards M, Gonzalez-Ramirez

M. Pescador-Rubio A. A survey of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) parasitoids in the Mexican states of Michoacán, Colima, Jalisco, and Tamaulipas. Fla. Entomol. 2001;84(1):31-36.

- 11. Anbalagan V, Paulraj MG, Ignacimuthu S. Diversity and abundance of Hymenoptera families in vegetable crops in north-eastern District of Tamil Nadu, India. Int. J. Fauna Biol. Stud. 2015;2(3):100-104.
- Kathirvelu C. Diversity of parasitic fauna of conventional rice ecosystem during kuruvai season at Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu. J. Appl. Nat. Sci. 2019;11(1):164-167.
- Winsou JK, Tepa-Yotto GT, Thunes KH, Meadow R, Tamo M, Sethre MG. Seasonal variations of Spodoptera frugiperda host plant diversity and parasitoid complex in southern and central Benin. Insects. 2022;13(6):491.
- Taye RR, Bathari M, Borkataki S, Rahman A. Diversity of Hymenopteran predators and parasitoids in Assam Agricultural University campus, Jorhat. J. Entomol. Zool. 2017;5(6):2420-2423.
- 15. Mohapatra LN, Sahu BB. Record of *Mesochorus* sp .(Hymenoptera:

Ichneumonidae), a larval parasitoid of cotton leaf roller *Sylepta derogata* Fabr. *J. Plant Prot. Environ.*, 2005;2(2):116-117.

- Sarfraz M, Keddie AB, Dosdall LM. Biological control of the diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella: a review. Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 2005;15(8):763-789.
- Wold-Burkness SJ, Hutchison WD, Lee JC, Hines RL, Bolin PC, Heimpel GE, A long-term survey of parasitoid species composition and parasitism of *Trichoplusia ni* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), and *Pieris rapae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) in Minnesota cabbage. J. Entomol. Sci. 2005;40(2):211-221.
- Daniel JA, Ramaraj K. Diversity of chalcidids (Chalcididae: Hymenoptera) among three rice growing zones of Tamil Nadu, India. J. Ent. Zool. Stud. 2017;5(3):541-546.
- Amala U, Shivalingaswamy TM. Effect of intercrops and border crops on the diversity of parasitoids and predators in agroecosystem. Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control. 2018;28(1):11.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114785