



Exhaust Emission Assessment of Haulage Trucks at Onne Port, Nigeria

**Dosumu, Tajudeen Tijani ^a, Ejikeme Ugwoha ^{a,b*}
and Blessing Omowunmi ^a**

^a Centre for Occupational Health, Safety & Environment, University of Port Harcourt, Choba, Rivers State, Nigeria.

^b Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, University of Port Harcourt, Choba, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JERR/2023/v25i121056

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110302>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/10/2023

Accepted: 19/12/2023

Published: 28/12/2023

ABSTRACT

Haulage trucks are among the primary causes of air pollution in urban areas. The effect of air pollution caused by transportation, especially freight transportation, on public health is a major concern globally. In this study, emissions of haulage trucks operating at Onne Port, Rivers State in Nigeria were assessed to understand their contribution to local air pollution and environmental impact. Real-time measurements of air pollutants were taken using a portable Testo 350 Analyzer and a portable IGRESS Intelligent Detector from seventy (70) haulage trucks at Onne Port grouped according to production years, region of origin, and truck length, and the data obtained were compared with the World Health Organization (WHO) air quality standards. The results revealed that the trucks' emissions exceeded WHO limits for six criteria pollutants, including NO₂, SO₂, CO, PM₁, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀, which are associated with adverse health effects such as respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. Other notable findings included higher concentrations of NO₂ at 35.48 ppm and PM_{2.5} at 300.73 µg/m³ from trucks produced between 2011 and 2021, while older trucks

*Corresponding author: Email: ugwohaej@gmail.com;

exhibited increased emissions of SO₂ at 31.16 ppm, CO at 775.87 ppm, PM₁ at 216.75 µg/m³, and PM₁₀ at 341.75 µg/m³. There were no significant variations in pollutant emission concentrations observed within the four categories across different production years. Trucks manufactured in Asia consistently emitted lower pollutant concentrations compared with those from other regions, suggesting the influence of varying emission standards. Interestingly, truck length did not significantly impact emission levels. These findings underscore the urgency of addressing the air quality issues associated with haulage trucks in the region, highlighting the need for stringent emissions control measures.

Keywords: Truck emissions; onne port; air pollution; criteria pollutant; freight transport; emission assessment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Transportation-induced air pollution has become a significant public health concern, with numerous studies illustrating the detrimental effects of outdoor air pollution on human well-being [1]. Freight transportation is made up of various sub-modes that operate collaboratively, instead of competing with each other. According to Rodrigue [2], the market potential of a mode of transportation is determined by a combination of its technical, operational, and commercial features. Technical features refer to factors like speed, ability to carry passengers or goods, and the type of technology it uses for movement. On the other hand, operational features include elements such as safety regulations, speed limits, and the hours during which the mode of transportation can operate. Also, the demand for transportation and the ownership of different transportation mode plays a significant role in shaping the market. These commercial characteristics are crucial in determining the market potential of a particular mode of transportation. The technical, operational, and commercial features of trucks directly affect their emissions and overall environmental impact, as factors such as fuel efficiency, engine technology, load capacity, and operational practices influence the level of pollutants released into the environment, making it essential to consider these aspects when assessing the environmental effect of trucks and their market potential.

According to the World Health Organization [1], road transportation is a considerable originator of poisonous air pollutants, emitting various harmful substances into the air. These pollutants come from different parts of vehicles, including the exhaust of internal combustion engines, brake pads, clutch discs, tires, and fuel tanks, among others. The combined emissions from these sources create a complex mixture of air pollution that has been shown to negatively impact human

health [3]. While transportation is often considered as a whole in discussions about energy use and greenhouse gas emissions, there is a lack of distinction between freight and passenger transport. This can result in the freight transport sector being overlooked, despite its faster growth rate compared to passenger transportation. Freight transport is predicted to persist in expanding at a rapid pace in the future [4]. According to Okedere et al. [5], the usage of diesel-powered haulage trucks in Nigeria has become essential for transporting large products throughout the country due to the deterioration of the rail system over the years. To meet domestic demand, a significant number of haulage trucks have been imported from international suppliers, with an estimated 70% of these being rebuilt or refurbished. Okedere et al. [5] also noted that the issue of atmospheric pollution generated by air emissions is increasingly worrying various entities, including governments, NGOs, and scholars worldwide. The main reason for this concern is the harmful impacts of air pollution on air quality and the Earth's climate, which affects humans, animals, and the overall environment.

According to Rodrigue et al. [6], seaports function as a transportation centre that facilitates different types of transportation modes, with road transport being the most commonly used means of transportation for inland areas in the majority of international ports. As a result, there is a high volume of truck movement in port cities. In light of the detrimental effects of air pollution on both the well-being of individuals and the ecosystem, there is growing pressure on Governments globally to address this issue. Sornn-Friese et al. [7] observed that ports are under growing pressure from various groups such as port users, regulatory bodies, and local communities to lower the air pollution caused by their operations. Moreover, the general public is greatly concerned about air quality, and ports are commonly linked to significant air emissions and expenses that affect the environment outside of

the port. Liu et al. [8] highlighted that ports play a crucial role in connecting land and sea transportation, and Gobbi et al. [9] pointed out that since ports are built to last for a long time, their impact on the environment can be long-lasting, and that estimating the emissions should also take a long-term approach. Wiegman and Louw [10] and Pluciński [11] observed that ports often act as city-forming entities and are located in the middle of urban areas, near city centres or housing developments.

The Onne port, like many other ports around the world, is essential to the economic value of the region and the country as a whole, by facilitating the movement of various cargo products. However, due to the nature of land transportation in Nigeria, road transport has been predominantly utilized to serve the hinterland of many ports, despite efforts made by the Federal Government and Port Authorities of Nigeria to encourage modal transportation. Therefore, it is essential to conduct a more comprehensive assessment of truck emissions from ports to assist port authorities in knowing and controlling current emission levels and implementing measures to minimize truck emission discharge.

In comparison to prior studies, the assessment of exhaust emissions from haulage trucks at Onne Port in Nigeria presents an investigation into the specific pollutant concentrations emitted by these

vehicles within this geographical context. While existing research has explored exhaust emissions from trucks in diverse locations and scenarios, this study uniquely focuses on Onne Port, shedding light on the emission profiles of haulage trucks operating within this critical port environment.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The Onne Port is located in the southern part of Nigeria's Niger Delta area, specifically in Eleme Local Government Area of Rivers State (Fig. 1). The Port is located on the Bonny River Estuary which opens up into the Atlantic Ocean. It is bordered by the Alode, Ebubu, Ngololo Creek and a tributary of the Bonny River. The area is known for its flowing rivers, streams, and marshes, and it is home to a diverse array of plant and animal species. It is located at latitude 4.6981° N and longitude 7.1730° E. The Onne Port is also close to several industrial facilities which have had a considerable influence on the local economy and ecology. One such facility is the Onne Port Free Trade Zone, which is a major part of the Port and is a large, industrial area with warehouses, factories, and other commercial and logistics facilities. The Free Trade Zone also has several residential and recreational areas for workers, including housing, schools, and parks.




Fig. 1. Map of the study area

2.2 Truck Emissions Measurements

A portable Testo 350 Analyzer (Plate 1) which adheres to ASTM D3249-95 (2000) standards and equipped with specific sensors, was utilized to measure exhaust emissions of the trucks, including Carbon Monoxide (CO), Nitrogen Oxides (NO₂), Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂). The Analyzer probe was inserted into the exhaust outlet of each truck, ensuring a secure and airtight connection within the exhaust pipe, and clamped in place for a continuous monitoring of gas emission in real time while the trucks were in operation. The readings were promptly displayed on an LCD screen, enabling immediate data capturing and analysis of the emission levels. Also, a portable IGRESS Intelligent Detector, which adhered to the ASTM D4096-91(1997) standard (Plate 2) was used to detect Particulate Matters (PM) of different sizes (PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀). By employing infrared spectroscopy technology, the IGRESS detector analysed the composition and concentration of particulate matter by passing an infrared beam through the

air sample and measuring the particles' light absorption. The detector was positioned closer to the exhaust pipe of the trucks during their operations, enabling it to continuously sample the air and provide real-time measurements of particulate matter (PM₁, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) concentrations. These readings were displayed on a digital screen, facilitating immediate assessment of the levels of particulate matter present in the truck emissions in micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³). The data collection phase encompassed the examination of emissions from 70 trucks grouped according to production years, region of origin, and truck length, with the purpose of evaluating them in relation to the standards set by the World Health Organization (WHO). Qualitative data was obtained through confidential oral interviews with truck drivers to determine the type of fuel used. Truck model names and manufacturing years were identified using various methods, including locating them on different parts of the trucks and utilizing the Vehicle Identification Number (VIN).



Plate 1. Testo 350 Analyzer



Plate 2. A portable IGRESS Intelligent Detector

Table 1: Assessment of truck fuel types and recommended actions

Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Weighted Mean	Decision
Minimal maintenance of the truck	20	40	10	0	3.14	Maintain regularly
Use of illegal diesel (KPO)	70	0	0	0	4	Investigate and address
Awareness of negative environmental impact	40	20	10	0	3.43	Raise awareness
Awareness of the negative health impact	36	10	10	14	2.97	Provide education
Health problems due to KPO fire	38	17	10	5	3.26	Improve safety measures

2.3 Qualitative Assessment

To gather information on the type of fuel used by haulage truck drivers, confidential oral interviews were conducted, assuring drivers of anonymity. The drivers willingly shared details about their fuel usage without reservation. Truck model names were identified from various sources, including badges, emblems, and vehicle panels. The manufacture year of trucks was sourced from reliable Vehicle Identification Numbers (VINs). These steps ensured robust data collection and formed the basis for the presented decision analysis table, allowing for informed evaluations of factors such as minimal maintenance, use of illegal diesel (KPO), awareness of environmental and health impacts, and health problems due to KPO fires.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The outcomes of the conducted one-way ANOVA analyses on emissions (NO₂, SO₂, CO, PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀) from truck exhaust, grouped by production year, region of origin, and truck type, reveal p-values of 0.869, 0.620, 0.405 for NO₂; 0.46, 0.188, 0.223 for SO₂; 0.851, 0.176, 0.768 for CO; 0.886, 0.973, 0.203 for PM₁; 0.627, 0.823, 0.152 for PM_{2.5}; and 0.974, 0.662, 0.110 for PM₁₀. All these p-values surpass the predetermined significance threshold of 0.05, implying an absence of statistically significant discrepancies in emissions across the different categories for each pollutant type, production year, region of origin, and truck type.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Concentrations of air Pollutants from Trucks of Different Production Years

Fig. 2 indicates that trucks produced between 2011 and 2021 exhibited the highest average concentrations of NO₂ (35.48 ppm). Conversely,

trucks manufactured between 1991 and 2000 demonstrated the lowest average NO₂ concentration of 32.05 ppm. Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) emissions were found to be highest from trucks produced between 1991 and 2000, with an average concentration of 31.16 ppm, while trucks manufactured between 2011 and 2021 exhibited the lowest average SO₂ concentrations of 23.93 ppm. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions peaked in trucks manufactured between 2001 and 2010 with an average concentration of 775.87 ppm. Conversely, trucks produced between 1980 and 1990 had the lowest average CO concentration of 699.00 ppm. Trucks manufactured between 1980 and 1990 displayed the highest average concentrations of PM₁ (216.75 µg/m³), while trucks produced between 2001 and 2010 had the lowest average PM₁ concentration of 188.30 µg/m³. For PM_{2.5}, trucks manufactured between 2011 and 2021 exhibited the highest average concentrations of 300.73 µg/m³, whereas trucks produced between 2001 and 2010 showed the lowest average PM_{2.5} concentration of 250.87 µg/m³. Regarding PM₁₀, trucks manufactured between 1980 and 1990 had the highest average concentrations of 341.75 µg/m³, whereas trucks produced between 2001 and 2010 displayed the lowest average PM₁₀ concentration of 322.23 µg/m³. The findings related to the production year suggest that newer trucks tend to have higher emissions of NO₂ and PM_{2.5}, while older trucks tend to have higher emissions of SO₂, CO, PM₁₀ and PM₁. One possible reason for this could be that newer trucks are equipped with advanced emission control technologies such as diesel particulate filters and selective catalytic reduction, which reduce emissions of particulate matter but may increase NO₂ emissions due to the way they work. On the other hand, older trucks may not have these technologies, resulting in higher emissions of SO₂, CO, and PM₁.




Fig. 2. Truck emission according to production year

Statistical analyses were performed utilizing one-way ANOVA to explore the potential connection between the production years of the trucks. However, when examining the concentrations of NO₂, SO₂, CO, PM₁, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀, both individually and as a whole, the resulting p-values (0.869, 0.46, 0.851, 0.886, 0.627, 0.974 respectively) exceeded the threshold of 0.05, indicating that there was no statistically significant difference in pollutant concentrations among trucks of different production year categories. Although these findings suggest that the production year of trucks has no impact on emissions, however newer trucks, tend to generally emit higher levels of NO₂ and PM_{2.5} than their older version. This observation can be attributed to the presence of advanced emission control mechanisms, such as diesel particulate filters and selective catalytic reduction (SCR) systems, in modern trucks. On the other hand, older trucks lacking these advanced technologies tend to have higher emissions of SO₂, CO, PM₁, and PM₁₀. This disparity highlights the importance of implementing emission control measures in older vehicles to mitigate their environmental impact and improve air quality.

3.2 Concentrations of air Pollutants from Trucks of Different Region of Origin

Extensive analysis of the region of origin's influence on truck emissions reveals intriguing patterns and variations in pollutant concentrations. The data (Fig. 3) indicate that trucks manufactured in Asia consistently exhibit

lower concentrations of pollutants compared to those made in other regions. Specifically, trucks originating from Asia display the lowest average NO₂ concentration at 29.80 ppm, while trucks manufactured in Europe show the highest average NO₂ concentration at 34.74 ppm. Similarly, trucks produced in Asia exhibit the lowest average SO₂ concentration at 21.43 ppm, whereas trucks made in North America demonstrate the highest average SO₂ concentration at 28.82 ppm. In terms of carbon monoxide (CO) emissions, trucks originating from Asia display the lowest average concentration at 574 ppm, while trucks manufactured in North America exhibit the highest average CO concentration at 783.37 ppm. Moreover, trucks manufactured in Asia have the lowest average concentrations of PM₁ at 190.86 µg/m³, while trucks made in Europe have the highest average PM₁ concentration at 197.69 µg/m³. Also, in the case of PM_{2.5} emissions, trucks manufactured in North America display the lowest average concentration at 255.64 µg/m³, while trucks originating from Asia show the highest average PM_{2.5} concentration at 282.00 µg/m³. Lastly, trucks manufactured in Asia exhibit the lowest average PM₁₀ concentration at 291.00 µg/m³, while trucks made in Europe have the highest average PM₁₀ concentration at 334.77 µg/m³.

Further analysis using one-way ANOVA tests was conducted to explore the statistical significance of the differences in pollutant concentrations based on the region of origin. The

results indicate that for all pollutants (NO₂, SO₂, CO, PM₁, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀) both individually and collectively, the obtained p-values (0.62, 0.188, 0.176, 0.973, 0.823 and 0.662 respectively) were greater than the preset significance level of 0.05. This suggests that there is no statistically significant difference in pollutant concentrations among the different regional categories. However, it is important to consider that emission standards and regulations may differ among regions, contributing to the variations observed. One possible reason for this could be the difference in emission standards set by different countries. For instance, Japan has some of the strictest emission standards for diesel engines in the world, which could explain why trucks manufactured in Asia have lower emissions [16].

3.3 Concentrations of air Pollutants from Trucks of Different Truck Length

Analysis of truck emissions based on their truck length shows the relationships between the pollutants and the truck type in length (Fig. 4). Specifically, the NO₂ concentration in the 20ft trucks was 7.6% higher, the SO₂ concentration was 11.5% higher, the CO concentration was 2.7% higher, the PM₁ concentration was 12.9% higher, the PM_{2.5} concentration was 16.8% higher, and the PM₁₀ concentration was 14.4% higher than those in the 40ft trucks. To further analyze the statistical significance of these differences, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted for each pollutant category. The obtained individual P-values for NO₂, SO₂, CO, PM₁, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ were 0.405, 0.223, 0.768, 0.203, 0.152, and 0.110, respectively. These P-values were compared to the preset alpha value of 0.05, which is commonly used to determine statistical

significance. Since all the obtained P-values were greater than 0.05, it suggests that there is no statistically significant difference in pollutant concentrations among the different truck categories. These findings indicate that the size of the haulage trucks, specifically 20ft and 40ft, does not have a significant impact on emissions from their exhaust. This aligns with a previous study conducted by Mansoori et al. in 2020 [12], which also found no correlation between truck size and fuel consumption or emissions during cargo transportation. Therefore, it can be inferred that both truck types emit similar levels of air pollutants.

The data (Table 2) also reveals that the concentration of all pollutants exceeded the permissible limit set by the World Health Organization (WHO). A study conducted by Onwuegbuchunam et al in 2021 [14] looked into the air quality at Onne Port and found that the levels of ozone, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, Sulphur dioxide, and particulate matter were alarmingly high and exceeded the safe limits set by the World Health Organization (WHO). The researchers identified that the trucks transporting goods in and out of the Port could be a significant contributor to these emissions. Another study by Omoko and his team in the same year evaluated the air quality in the surrounding areas of Onne Port, choosing ten measurement stations, four of which were located within the Port. They discovered that carbon monoxide was the most prevalent pollutant in the Port area and although the air quality was better in other areas outside the Port, with lower mean values of other pollutants, one of the stations within the Port still recorded readings that exceeded the WHO standards.




Fig. 3. Truck emission according to Region of Origin

Table 2. Quantitative comparison of criteria Pollutants with truck production year, the region of origin, and the truck types

Pollutant	Highest Concentrations (Production Year)	Lowest Concentrations (Production Year)	Lowest Concentrations (Region)	Highest Concentrations (Region)	20ft vs 40ft	WHO Permissible Limit Exceeded?
NO ₂	Trucks: 2011-2021 (35.48 ppm)	Trucks: 1991-2000 (32.05 ppm)	Asia (29.80 ppm)	Europe (34.74 ppm)	20ft > 40ft 7.6%	Yes (0.012ppm)
SO ₂	Trucks: 1991-2000 (31.16 ppm)	Trucks: 2011-2021 (23.93 ppm)	Asia (21.43ppm)	North America 28.82 ppm)	20ft > 40ft 11.5%	Yes (0.014ppm)
CO	Trucks: 2001-2010 (775.87 ppm)	Trucks: 1980-1990 (699.00 ppm)	Asia (574 ppm)	North America (783.37 ppm)	40ft > 20ft 2.7%	Yes (0.003ppm)
PM ₁	Trucks: 1980-1990 (216.75 µg/m ³)	Trucks: 2001-2010 (188.30 µg/m ³)	Asia (190.86 µg/m ³)	Europe (197.69 µg/m ³)	20ft > 40ft 12.9%	Yes (25 µg/m ³)
PM _{2.5}	Trucks: 2011-2021 (300 µg/m ³)	Trucks: 2001-2010 (250.87 µg/m ³)	North America (255.64 µg/m ³)	Asia (282.00 µg/m ³)	20ft > 40ft 16.8%	Yes (25 µg/m ³)
PM ₁₀	Trucks: 1980-1990 (341.75 µg/m ³)	Trucks: 2001-2010 (322.23 µg/m ³)	Asia (291.00 µg/m ³)	Europe (334.77 µg/m ³)	20ft > 40ft 14.4%	Yes (50 µg/m ³)
Truck Brand	Man Diesel Commander, Volvo, Mack, Howo, Man Sema, DAF, Iveco, Astra, Man Diesel GGR, Mercedes, Sinotruck, Scania, Shacman, Man Commander, Renault, and Man TGS.					




Fig. 4. Average concentrations of air pollutants emitted from different truck type exhausts

3.4 Qualitative Analysis

Following interviews with 70 haulage truck drivers, a qualitative analysis was conducted to identify recurring themes and patterns in their responses. The analysis revealed two primary themes: the prevalent use of adulterated locally refined diesel known as KPO fire and the drivers' awareness of its harmful effects. Despite its illegality, all drivers reported using KPO fire due to reasons such as cost and availability. Most drivers were conscious of the negative impact of KPO fire on their health and the environment, with some experiencing health issues and others expressing concerns about long-term environmental damage. The analysis emphasized the widespread usage of KPO fire among Onne haulage truck drivers and their awareness of its potential harm.

Qualitative data indicates that the utilization of adulterated diesel fuel, also known locally as KPO fire fuel, by all drivers, may contribute to increased emissions of pollutants. The use of low-quality fuel can result in incomplete combustion and higher emissions of pollutants such as NO₂, SO₂, CO, PM₁, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀. This finding aligns with a study by Tan et al. [13] that discusses the impact of fuel characteristics on diesel engine emissions. The study emphasizes that low-quality fuel with higher sulfur and aromatic content leads to higher emissions of pollutants. Another study by Okedere et al. [5] identifies petroleum production and the use of fossil fuels in thermal plants as significant sources of air pollution in Nigeria. They suggest implementing cleaner energy policies to reduce pollutant emissions. Therefore, although the one-way ANOVA analysis did not

find significant differences in the various categories, the quality of fuel used by trucks appears to be a crucial factor in determining emission levels, which is supported by the studies conducted by Onwuegbuchunam et al. [14], Omoko et al. [15], Tan et al. [13], and Okedere et al. [5].

4. CONCLUSION

The findings from this study indicate that newer trucks tend to have higher emissions of NO₂ and PM_{2.5}, potentially due to the presence of advanced emission control technologies. On the other hand, older trucks without these technologies exhibit higher emissions of SO₂, CO, PM₁, and PM₁₀. Also trucks manufactured in Asia tend to have lower emissions of all pollutants, while trucks made in North America tend to have higher emissions of CO, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀. The variation in emission standards and regulations across regions may contribute to the observed disparities in pollutant levels. Moreover, the analysis revealed that the size of haulage trucks, whether 20ft or 40ft, does not significantly impact emissions. Additionally, qualitative data highlighted the prevalent use of adulterated diesel fuel and the drivers' awareness of its harmful effects, suggesting that the quality of fuel used in trucks plays a crucial role in emission levels. This study underscores the pressing need for emissions control and regulation in the context of haulage trucks at Onne Port. It emphasizes that emissions are influenced by a complex interplay of factors, including truck age, origin, and type of fuel used. Therefore, it is recommended to implement emission control measures in older vehicles, promote the use of cleaner fuel alternatives, and

continue monitoring and enforcing emission standards to reduce the environmental impact of truck emissions and improve air quality.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. World health organization. The effects on health of transport-related air pollution; 2005. Retrieved on October 10, 2022 from:<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241>.
2. Rodrigue JP. The Geography of Transport Systems (5th ed.). Routledge; 2020. DOI:10.4324/9780429346323
3. Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Goldbohm S, Fischer P, van den Brandt PA. Association between mortality and indicators of traffic-related air pollution in the Netherlands: a cohort study. *Lancet* (London, England). 2002;360(9341):1203-1209. DOI:10.1016/s0140-6736(02)11280-3.
4. Eom J, Schipper L, Thompson L. We keep on truckin': Trends in freight energy use and carbon emissions in 11 IEA countries. *Energy Policy*. 2012;45:327-341. DOI:10.1016/j.enpol.2012.02.040
5. Okedere OO, Elehinafe FB, Oyelami S, Ayeni AO. Drivers of anthropogenic air emissions in Nigeria - A review. *Heliyon*. 2021;7(3):e06398. DOI:10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06398.
6. Rodrigue JP, Slack B, Comtois C. The geography of transport systems. routledge, Abingdon, Oxon, England; New York; 2006.
7. Sornn-Friese H, Taudal Poulsen R, Nowinska AU, Langen P de. What drives ports around the world to adopt air emissions abatement measures? *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*. 2021;90:102644. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102644>.
8. Liu T, Wang Q, Su B. A review of carbon labelling: Standards, implementation, and impact. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016;53:68-79. DOI:10.1016/j.rser.2015.08.050
9. Gobbi GP, Di Liberto L, Barnaba F. Impact of port emissions on EU-regulated and non-regulated air quality indicators: The case of Civitavecchia (Italy). *Science of the Total Environment*. 2020;719:134984. DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134984.
10. Wiegmans BW, Louw E. Changing port-city relations at Amsterdam: A new phase at the interface? *Journal of Transport Geography*. 2011;19(4):575-583.
11. Pluciński M. Poland's maritime policy - the past, a new approach. *Ekonomiczne Problemy Usług*. 2017;128:7-19. DOI:10.18276/epu.2017.128-01.
12. Mansoori A, Nabi Bid hendi Gh, Amiri MJ, Hoveidi H. Evaluating the effect of truck size on the amount of air pollutants emission due to consumption fuel. *AUT Journal of Civil Engineering*. 2020;4(2):165-174. Available:<https://doi.org/10.22060/ajce.2019.15779.5551>
13. Tan Pq, Zhao Jy, Hu Zy, Lou Dm, Du Am, Du Dm. Effects of fuel properties on exhaust emissions from diesel engines. *Journal of Fuel Chemistry and Technology*. 2013;41:347-355. Available:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-5813\(13\)60021-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-5813(13)60021-3)
14. Onwuegbuchunam DE, Egelu F, Aponjolosun MO, Okeke KO. Measuring traffic induced air pollution in Onne Port's environment. *Open Journal of Air Pollution*. 2021;10:63-75. Available:<https://doi.org/10.4236/ojap.2021.104005>
15. Omoko EN, Onyekuru SO, Opara AI, Usen OS, Ukpong AJ, Adikwu SO. Air quality assessment in parts of Onne, Rivers State Southeastern Nigeria. *Physical Reports*. 2021;6(10):e12923. DOI:10.18685/e3j-ms06100123.
16. Transportpolicy.net Japan: Heavy-Duty: Emissions; 2018. Available:<https://www.transportpolicy.net/standard/japan-heavy-duty-emissions>.

© 2023 Tijani et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110302>