



Fixed Point Theorems for Mappings Satisfying Implicit Relation in Partial Metric Spaces

Preeti Bhardwaj^a and Manoj Kumar^{a*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak, Haryana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2022/v18i11600

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92349>

Received 27 July 2022

Accepted 01 October 2022

Published 13 October 2022

Original Research Article

Abstract

In this manuscript, we shall introduce the implicit relation on \mathbb{R}_+^4 . Using this implicit relation, we shall prove fixed point and common fixed point theorems on partial metric spaces.

Keywords: Partial metric space; implicit relation; common fixed point.

1 Introduction

In fixed point theorem, the widely known Banach contraction principle is the most popular result. This principle states that a contraction map on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point. Firstly Kannan [1] gave a new contractive condition in 1969. The generalization of the Banach contraction condition is done by Chatterjaj [2] in 1972.

The following is a description of the well-known Banach contraction principle.

Theorem 1.1 [3] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the contractive condition:

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq ad(x, y)$$

*Corresponding author: Email: manojantil18@gmail.com;

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha \in [0,1)$ is a constant. Then T has a unique fixed point in X and that point can be obtained as a limit of repeated iteration of the mapping at any point of X ."

Matthews ([4, 5]) introduced a new space called partial metric space. The analogous of Banach contraction principle was proved by Matthews [5], which made the partial metric applicable in fixed point theory. By generalization of partial metric function named as weak partial metric function Heckmann [6] entrenched some outcomes.

Some generalizations are given by many authors of the outcomes of Matthews ([7-11, 12-15]).

The introduction of implicit relations in common fixed point theorems was established by V. Popa [16] in 1999. Further many authors extended common fixed point theorems using implicit relations ([17, 18, 19, 20, 21]).

Definition 1.2 [4] Let X be a nonempty set and let $p: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying:

$$(pm1) x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y),$$

$$(pm2) p(x, x) \leq p(x, y),$$

$$(pm3) p(x, y) = p(y, x),$$

$$(pm4) p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then p is called partial metric on X and the pair (X, p) is called partial metric space.

It is clear that if $p(x, y) = 0$, then from (pm1) and (pm2) we obtain $x = y$. But if $x = y$, $p(x, y)$ may not be zero.

Example 1.3 [22] Let $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $p: \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then (\mathbb{R}^+, p) is a partial metric space.

Example 1.4 [22] Let $\tilde{X} = \{[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]: \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}, \tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}\}$. Then $p([\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}], [\tilde{c}, \tilde{d}]) = \max\{\tilde{b}, \tilde{d}\} - \min\{\tilde{a}, \tilde{c}\}$ defines a partial metric p on \tilde{X} .

Lemma 1.5 ([4, 5]) Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then:

(c1) a sequence x_n in (X, p) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (X, d_p) , (c2) (X, p) is complete if and only if the metric space (X, d_p) is complete, (c3) a subset E of a partial metric space (X, p) is closed if a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E such that $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $x \in X$, then $x \in E$.

Lemma 1.6 [8] Assume that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in a partial metric space (X, p) such that $p(z, z) = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, y) = p(z, y)$ for every $y \in X$.

2 Main Results

In this part, we will use implicit relation to show fixed point theorems. Definition 2.1 (Implicit Relation) Let Ψ be the family of all real valued continuous functions $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ non decreasing in the first argument for three variables. For some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, we consider the following conditions.

(Imr1) For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, if $y \leq \psi(x, x, y, \frac{x+y}{2})$, then $y \leq \alpha x$,

(Imr2) For $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, if $y \leq \psi(y, 0, 0, y)$, then $y = 0$ since $\alpha \in [0, 1)$,

(Imr3) For $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, if $y \leq \psi(0, 0, y, \frac{y}{2})$, then $y = 0$.

Theorem 2.2 Let (\tilde{X}, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $\tilde{T}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a mapping, such that

$$p(\tilde{T}\tilde{u}, \tilde{T}\tilde{v}) \leq \psi\{p(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), p(\tilde{u}, \tilde{T}\tilde{u}), p(\tilde{v}, \tilde{T}\tilde{v}), \frac{p(\tilde{u}, \tilde{T}\tilde{v}) + p(\tilde{v}, \tilde{T}\tilde{u})}{2}\} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \tilde{X}$ and some $\tilde{\psi} \in \Psi$. If Ψ satisfies the condition (Imr1), (Imr2) and (Imr3), then \tilde{T} has a unique fixed point in \tilde{X} .

Proof. For each $u_0 \in \tilde{X}$ and $n \in N$, put $u_{n+1} = \tilde{T}u_n$. It follows from equation (2.1) and (pm4) that

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_n, u_{n+1}) &= p(\tilde{T}u_{n-1}, \tilde{T}u_n) \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, \tilde{T}u_{n-1}), p(u_n, \tilde{T}u_n), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, \tilde{T}u_n) + p(u_n, \tilde{T}u_{n-1})}{2}\} \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}) + p(u_n, u_n)}{2}\} \\ &\leq \psi\left\{\frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), p(u_{n-1}, u_n) + p(u_n, u_{n+1}) - p(u_n, u_n) + p(u_n, u_n)}{2}\right\} \\ &= \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_n) + p(u_n, u_{n+1})}{2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr1), there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$, such that

$$p(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \alpha p(u_{n-1}, u_n) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n p(u_0, u_1). \tag{2.3}$$

$$\text{Set } S_n = p(u_n, u_{n+1}) \text{ and } S_{n-1} = p(u_{n-1}, u_n).$$

Then from equation (2.3), we obtain

$$S_n \leq \alpha S_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n S_0.$$

Now we show that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \tilde{X} . Let $m, n > 0$ with $m > n$, then by using (pm4) and equation (2.3), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_n, u_m) &\leq p(u_n, u_{n+1}) + p(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \dots + p(u_{n+m-1}, u_m) \\ &\quad - p(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) - p(u_{n+2}, u_{n+2}) - \dots - p(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha^n p(u_0, u_1) + \alpha^{n+1} p(u_0, u_1) + \dots + \alpha^{n+m-1} p(u_0, u_1) \\ &= \alpha^n [p(u_0, u_1) + \alpha p(u_0, u_1) + \dots + \alpha^{m-1} p(u_0, u_1)] \\ &= \alpha^n [1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}] S_0 \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1 - \alpha^{m-1}}{1 - \alpha}\right) S_0. \end{aligned}$$

In above inequality, taking the limits as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$p(u_n, u_m) \rightarrow 0 \text{ since } 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

hence, $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \tilde{X} and this sequence is also Cauchy in (\tilde{X}, d_p) .

As (\tilde{X}, p) is complete, therefore (\tilde{X}, d_p) is also complete.

Thus by Lemma 1.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n &\rightarrow w \\ p(w, w) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(w, u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_n, u_m) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

implies,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_p(w, u_n) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Now, we show that w is a fixed point of \tilde{T} .

From equation (2.4), we have

$$p(w, w) = 0.$$

By using inequality (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_{n+1}, \tilde{T}w) &= p(\tilde{T}u_n, \tilde{T}w) \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_n, w), p(u_n, \tilde{T}u_n), p(w, \tilde{T}w), \frac{p(u_n, \tilde{T}w) + p(w, \tilde{T}u_n)}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(u_n, w), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), p(w, \tilde{T}w), \frac{p(u_n, \tilde{T}w) + p(w, u_{n+1})}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\psi \in \Psi$, then taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using equation (2.4), we get

$$p(u_{n+1}, \tilde{T}w) \leq \psi\{0, 0, p(w, \tilde{T}w), \frac{p(w, \tilde{T}w)}{2}\}.$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr3), then

$$p(w, \tilde{T}w) = 0.$$

This implies $w = \tilde{T}w$.

Therefore, w is a fixed point of \tilde{T} .

Now we have to show that the fixed point of \tilde{T} is a unique .

let w_1, w_2 be fixed points of \tilde{T} with $w_1 \neq w_2$.

From equations (2.1) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} p(w_1, w_2) &= p(\tilde{T}w_1, \tilde{T}w_2) \\ &\leq \psi\{p(w_1, w_2), p(w_1, \tilde{T}w_1), p(w_2, \tilde{T}w_2), \frac{p(w_1, \tilde{T}w_2), p(w_2, \tilde{T}w_1)}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(w_1, w_2), p(w_1, w_1), p(w_2, w_2), \frac{p(w_1, w_2) + p(w_2, w_1)}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(w_1, w_2), 0, 0, p(w_1, w_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr2), we have

this implies, that $p(w_1, w_2) = 0$, since $0 < \alpha < 1$.

This implies that $w_1 = w_2$.

Thus the fixed point of \tilde{T} is unique.

Theorem 2.3 Let (\tilde{X}, p) be a complete partial metric space and \tilde{F}, \tilde{G} be two self maps on \tilde{X} satisfying the following:

$$p(\tilde{F}u, \tilde{G}v) \leq \psi\{p(u, v), p(u, \tilde{F}u), p(v, \tilde{G}v), \frac{p(u, \tilde{G}v) + p(v, \tilde{F}u)}{2}\} \tag{2.6}$$

for all $u, v \in \tilde{X}$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$. Then \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} have a unique common fixed point in \tilde{X} .

Proof. For each $u_0 \in \tilde{X}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Put $u_{n+1} = \tilde{F}u_n$ and

$$u_{n+2} = \tilde{G}u_{n+1} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows from equation (2.5), Lemma 1.5 and (pm4) that

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_n, u_{n+1}) &= p(\tilde{F}u_{n-1}, \tilde{G}u_n) \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, \tilde{F}u_{n-1}), p(u_n, \tilde{G}u_n), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, \tilde{G}u_n) + p(u_n, \tilde{F}u_{n-1})}{2}\} \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u - n + 1), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}) + p(u_n, u_n)}{2}\} \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_n)p(u_n, u_{n+1}) - p(u_n, u_n) + p(u_n, u_n)}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_n)p(u_n, u_{n+1})}{2}\} \\ p(u_n, u_{n+1}) &= \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_n)p(u_n, u_{n+1})}{2}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr1), therefore there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$p(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \alpha p(u_{n-1}, u_n) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n p(u_0, u_1) \tag{2.8}$$

Now we show that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence

Let $m, n > 0$ with $m > n$, then by using (pm4) and equation (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_n, u_m) &\leq p(u_n, u_{n+1}) + p(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \dots + p(u_{n+m-1}, u_m) \\ &\quad - p(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) - p(u_{n+2}, u_{n+2}) - \dots - p(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha^n p(u_0, u_1) + \alpha^{n+1} p(u_0, u_1) + \dots + \alpha^{n+m-1} p(u_0, u_1) \\ &= \alpha^n [p(u_0, u_1) + \alpha p(u_0, u_1) + \dots + \alpha^{m-1} p(u_0, u_1)] \\ &= \alpha^n [1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}] S_0 \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1 - \alpha^{m-1}}{1 - \alpha} \right) S_0. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \rightarrow r$

by Lemma 1.5,

$$p(r, r) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(r, u_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(u_n, u_m) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

This implies,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_p(r, u_n) = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Now we have to prove that r is a common fixed point of \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} .

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_{n+1}, \tilde{F}r) &= p(\tilde{F}u_n, \tilde{F}r) \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_n, r), p(u_n, \tilde{F}u_n), p(r, \tilde{F}r), \frac{p(u_n, \tilde{F}r) + p(r, \tilde{F}u_n)}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(u_n, r), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), p(r, \tilde{F}r), \frac{p(u_n, \tilde{F}r) + p(r, u_{n+1})}{2}\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\psi \in \Psi$, using (2.8), Lemma 1.6 and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$p(r, \tilde{F}r) \leq \psi\{0,0,p(r, \tilde{F}r),\frac{p(r, \tilde{F}r)}{2}\}$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr3), then

$$p(r, \tilde{F}r) = 0.$$

This shows that $r = \tilde{F}r$ for all $r \in \tilde{X}$.

Similarly, we can show $r = \tilde{G}r$.

Thus r is a common fixed point of \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} .

Now we will prove the uniqueness of fixed point of \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} .

Let r' be another common fixed point of \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} , that is, $\tilde{F}r' = \tilde{G}r' = r'$ with $r' \neq r$.

Thus we have to show to show that $r = r'$.

From equations (2.5) and (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} p(r, r') &= p(\tilde{F}r, \tilde{G}r') \\ &\leq \psi\{p(r, r'), p(r, \tilde{F}r), p(r', \tilde{G}r'), \frac{p(r, \tilde{G}r') + p(r', \tilde{F}r)}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(r, r'), p(r, r), p(r', r'), \frac{p(r, r') + p(r', r)}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(r, r'), 0, 0, p(r, r')\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr2), then we get

$$p(r, r') = 0, \text{ since } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Thus, we get $r = r'$.

This shows that r is the unique common fixed point of \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} .

Theorem 2.4 Let (\tilde{X}, p) be a complete partial metric space and \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2 be continuous mappings on \tilde{X} satisfying

$$p(\tilde{F}_1^m u, \tilde{F}_1^n v) \leq \psi\{p(u, v), p(u, \tilde{F}_1^m u), p(v, \tilde{F}_2^n v), \frac{p(u, \tilde{F}_2^n v) + p(v, \tilde{F}_1^m u)}{2}\} \tag{2.11}$$

for all $u, v \in \tilde{X}$, where m and n are some positive integers and some $\psi \in \Psi$. Then \tilde{F}_1 and \tilde{F}_2 have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Since \tilde{F}_1^m and \tilde{F}_2^n satisfy the condition of Theorem 2.2. So \tilde{F}_1^m and \tilde{F}_2^n have a unique common fixed point.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_1^m \tilde{z} &= \tilde{z} \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{F}_1^m \tilde{z}) &= \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z} \\ \tilde{F}_1^m(\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}) &= \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z} = \tilde{r}_0$, then $\tilde{F}_1^m \tilde{r}_0 = \tilde{r}_0$.

So, $\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}$ is a point of \tilde{F}_1^m .

Similarly, $\tilde{F}_2(\tilde{F}_2^n \tilde{z}) = \tilde{F}_2 \tilde{z}$.

Now using equation (2.11) and Lemma 1.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}) &= p(\tilde{F}_1^m \tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1^m(\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z})) \\ &\leq \{p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}), p(\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1^m(\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z})), p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1^m \tilde{z}), \frac{p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1^m(\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z})) + p(\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1^m \tilde{z})}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}), p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}), p(\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}), \frac{p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}) + p(\tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}, \tilde{z})}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}), 0, 0, p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z})\} \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr2), then we get

$$p(\tilde{z}, \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}), \text{ since } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Thus, we have $\tilde{z} = \tilde{F}_1 \tilde{z}$ for all $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{X}$.

Similarly, we can show that $\tilde{z} = \tilde{F}_2 \tilde{z}$.

This shows that \tilde{z} is a common fixed point of \tilde{F}_1 and \tilde{F}_2 .

For uniqueness of \tilde{z} , let $\tilde{z}' \neq \tilde{z}$ be another common fixed point of \tilde{F}_1 and \tilde{F}_2 . Then clearly \tilde{z}' is also a common fixed point of \tilde{F}_1^m and \tilde{F}_2^n which implies $\tilde{z}' = \tilde{z}$.

Hence \tilde{F}_1 and \tilde{F}_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 2.5 Let \tilde{u}_γ be a family of continuous mappings on a complete partial metric space (\tilde{X}, p) satisfying

$$p(\tilde{u}_\gamma u, \tilde{u}_\beta v) \leq \psi\{p(u, v), p(u, \tilde{u}_\gamma u), p(v, \tilde{u}_\beta v), \frac{p(u, \tilde{u}_\beta v) + p(v, \tilde{u}_\gamma u)}{2}\} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $\gamma, \beta \in \Psi$ with $\gamma \neq \beta$ and $u, v \in \tilde{X}$. Then there exists a unique $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{X}$ satisfying $\tilde{u}_\gamma \tilde{z} = \tilde{z}$ for all $\gamma \in \Psi$.

Proof. For $u_0 \in \tilde{X}$, we define a sequence as follows:-

$$u_{n+1} = \tilde{u}_\gamma u_n, \quad u_{n+2} = \tilde{u}_\beta u_{n+1}, \quad n=0,1,2,\dots$$

It follows from (2.12), (pm4) and Lemma 1.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_n, u_{n+1}) &= p(\tilde{u}_\gamma u_{n-1}, \tilde{u}_\beta u_n) \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, \tilde{u}_\gamma u_{n-1}), p(u_n, \tilde{u}_\beta u_n), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, \tilde{u}_\beta u_n) + p(u_n, \tilde{u}_\gamma u_{n-1})}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_{n+1}) + p(u_n, u_n)}{2}\} \\ &\leq \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_n) + p(u_n, u_{n+1}) - p(u_n, u_n) + p(u_n, u_n)}{2}\} \\ &= \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_n) + p(u_n, u_{n+1})}{2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

$$p(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \psi\{p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_{n-1}, u_n), p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \frac{p(u_{n-1}, u_n) + p(u_n, u_{n+1})}{2}\}.$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr1), there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that

$$p(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \alpha p(u_{n-1}, u_n) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n p(x_0, x_1) \tag{2.14}$$

Now we show that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \tilde{X} . Let $m, n > 0$ with $m > n$, then by using (pm4) and equation (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_n, u_m) &\leq p(u_n, u_{n+1}) + p(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \dots + p(u_{n+m-1}, u_m) \\ &\quad - p(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) - p(u_{n+2}, u_{n+2}) - \dots - p(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha^n p(u_0, u_1) + \alpha^{n+1} p(u_0, u_1) + \dots + \alpha^{n+m-1} p(u_0, u_1) \\ &= \alpha^n [p(u_0, u_1) + \alpha p(u_0, u_1) + \dots + \alpha^{m-1} p(u_0, u_1)] \\ &= \alpha^n [1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}] S_0 \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\frac{1 - \alpha^{m-1}}{1 - \alpha} \right) S_0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty}$ in the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(u_n, u_m) &\rightarrow 0, \text{ since } 0 < \alpha < 1. \\ u_n &\rightarrow \tilde{r} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover by Lemma 1.5,

$$p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(\tilde{r}, u_{2n}) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{u}_m) = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

implies,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_p(\tilde{r}, u_n) = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

By the continuity of \tilde{u}_α and \tilde{u}_β , it is clear that

$$\tilde{u}_\gamma \tilde{r} = \tilde{u}_\beta \tilde{r} = \tilde{r}.$$

Therefore \tilde{r} is a common fixed point of \tilde{u}_γ for all $\gamma \in \Psi$.

To prove the uniqueness, let us consider the another common fixed point \tilde{r}' of \tilde{u}_γ and \tilde{u}_β where

$$\tilde{r} \neq \tilde{r}'$$

from equations (2.12) and (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}') &= p(\tilde{u}_\gamma \tilde{r}, \tilde{u}_\beta \tilde{r}') \\ &\leq \psi \left\{ p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}'), p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{u}_\gamma \tilde{r}), p(\tilde{r}', \tilde{u}_\beta \tilde{r}'), \frac{p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{u}_\beta \tilde{r}') + p(\tilde{r}', \tilde{u}_\gamma \tilde{r})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \psi \left\{ p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}'), p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}), p(\tilde{r}', \tilde{r}'), \frac{p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}') + p(\tilde{r}', \tilde{r})}{2} \right\} \\ &= \psi \{ p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}'), 0, 0, p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}') \} \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ satisfies the condition (Imr2), then we get

$$p(\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}') = 0, \text{ since } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Thus, we get $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}'$ for all $\tilde{r} \in \tilde{X}$.

This shows that \tilde{r} is a unique common fixed point of \tilde{u}_γ for all $\gamma \in \Psi$.

3 Conclusion

We have introduced the implicit relation on \mathbb{R}_+ ⁴. We have established fixed point and common fixed point theorems on partial metric spaces using this implicit link.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Kannan R. Some results on fixed point theorems, Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society. 1969;60:71-78.
- [2] Chatterjæe SK. Fixed point theorems compactes, Comptes rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences. 1972;25:727-730.
- [3] Banach S. Sur les operation dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equa-tion integrals, Fundamenta Mathematicae. 1922;133-181.
- [4] Matthews SG. Partial metric topology, Research report 2012, Department of Com-puter Science, University of Warwick; 1992.
- [5] Matthews SG. Partial metric topology, Proceedings of the 8th summer conference on topology and its applications, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1994;728:183-197.
- [6] Heckmann R. Approximation of metric spaces by partial metric spaces, Applied Cat-egorical Structures. 1999;7 (1):71-83.
- [7] Abbas M, Nazir T, Romaguera S. Fixed point results for generalized cyclic contractive mappings in partial metric spaces, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales, Serie A – Matemáticas (RACSAM). 2012;106(1):287-297.
- [8] Abdeljawad T, Karapinar E, Tas K. Existence and uniqueness of common fixed point partial metric spaces, Applied Mathematics Letters. 2011;24(11):1900-1904.
- [9] Acar O, Berinde V, Altun I. Fixed point theorems for Ciric-type strong almost contractions on partial metric spaces, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applica-tions. 2012;12:247-259.
- [10] Altun I, Sola F, Simsek H. Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology and its Applications. 2010;157:2778-2785.
- [11] Altun I, Erduran A. Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2011;ArticleID 508730:10.
- [12] Karapinar E. Generalization of Caristi-Kirk's theorem on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2011;2011(4).
- [13] Karapinar E. Weak ϕ -contraction on partial metric spaces, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications. 2012;14(2):206-210.

- [14] Karapinar E, Shatanawi W, Tas K. Fixed point theorems on partial met-ric spaces involving rational expressions, Miskolc Mathematical Notes. 2013;14:135–142.
- [15] Karapinar E, Inci M. Erhan, Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metricspaces, Applied Mathematics Letters. 2011;24:1894–1899.
- [16] Popa V. Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Demonstratio Mathematica. 1999;32(1):157–164.
- [17] Babu GVR, Sailaja PD. Coupled fixed point theorems with new implicit relations and an application, Journal of Operators. 2014;2014ArticleID 389646:16.
- [18] Berinde V, Vetro F. Common fixed points of mappings satisfying implicit con-tractive conditions, Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2012;2012:ArticleID2012:105:12 pages.
- [19] Beloul S, Tomar A. A coincidence and common fixed point theorem for subse-quentially continuous hybrid pairs of maps satisfying an implicit relation, Mathemat-ica Moravica. 2017;21(2):15–25.
- [20] Manro S. A common fixed point theorem in Fuzzy metric space using implicit relation,International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. 2015;16(2):35–42.
- [21] Nashine HK. Common fixed point theorems under implicit relations on ordered partial metric spaces and application to integral equation, Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences. 2013;3:18–204.
- [22] Aydi H, Abbas M, Vetro C. Partial Hausdorff metric and Nadler’s fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces, Topology and its Applications. 2012;159(14):3234–3242.

© 2022 Bhardwaj and Kumar; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92349>