

Microbiology Research Journal International

28(1): 1-5, 2019; Article no.MRJI.48952

ISSN: 2456-7043

(Past name: British Microbiology Research Journal, Past ISSN: 2231-0886, NLM ID: 101608140)

Microbiological Analysis of Beef Meat Collected at different Hours of the Day in Ekpoma Town Market

P. I. Okoh^{1*}

¹Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ambrose Alli University Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2019/v28i130122

Editor(s)

(1) Dr. Lidija Kozacinski, Professor, Department of Hygiene, Technology and Food Safety, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Zagreb, Croatia.

(2) Dr. Juliano de dea Lindner, Professor, Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), Brazil.

Reviewers:

(1) Anna Szosland-Fałtyn, Poland Institute of Agricultural and Food Biotechnology, Poland.
(2) Wafaa Abd El-Ghany Abd El-Ghany, Cairo University, Egypt.
Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48952

Original Research Article

Received 25 March 2019 Accepted 10 June 2019 Published 21 June 2019

ABSTRACT

A study on the microbiological analysis of beef meat collected at different hours of the day in Ekpoma market was carried out. 1 Kg of meat sample was purchased from the market at the different times (8 am, 12 noon and 5 pm) respectively. A part was cut into ten samples of ten grams each, which served as replicates. They were put in a clean polythene bag, labeled accordingly, and taken to the laboratory for microbiological analysis. Ten grams of the meat samples were weighed and homogenized into 90 mls of sterile distiller de-ionized water, using a sterile warring blender and ten folds dilution of the homogenates was made using sterile pipettes. Mean counts of total proteolytic bacteria count (TPC), total viable count (TVC), Coliforms, Salmonella spp, Pseudomonas organisms and Bacillus spp, were all determined in the collected samples. Results from the study showed that total proteolytic bacteria count (TPC) was significantly (P<0.05) higher in samples collected at 5pm having 7.867, compared with those collected at 12 noon and 8 am having 6.050 and 5.267 log₁₀ CFU/g respectively. Total viable count (TVC) were significantly (P<0.05) lesser at 8am having 4.517, compared with 12 noon and 5 pm which recorded 5.520 and 7.723 log₁₀ CFU/g respectively. Also, coliforms counts were significantly (P<0.05) higher at 5 pm when compared at 12 noon and 8 am, while Pseudomonas count recorded significantly (P<0.05) lesser value at 8 am having 1.193, compared with 12 noon and 5 pm which had 2.500 and 3.557 \log_{10} CFU/g respectively. Total *Bacillus* counts also recorded a significantly (P<0.05) lesser values of 1.100 at 8am compared with 1.823 at 12noon and 3.030 at 5 pm, while those of *Salmonella* spp. recorded significantly (P<0.05) higher value of 3.030 at 5 pm compared with 3.283 and 2.937 \log_{10} CFU/g recorded at 12 noon and 8 am respectively. This shows that microbiological analysis of beef meat were higher as the time (hours) of the day progressed. In conclusion, meat should be bought from the market in the early hours (8 am) of the day.

Keywords: Beef; microbial analysis; time (hours); market.

1. INTRODUCTION

Meat has long been known for its nutritive composition, which could explain why it is being consumed by many people worldwide. In many developing countries especially Nigeria, meat is widely consumed as source of protein. It is either eaten cooked or processed into other forms to avoid associated spoilage [1].

However, animal proteins such as meat and meat products as well as fish and fishery products are generally regarded as a high risk commodity to infection and toxication [2]. The food borne infection and consequent illnesses are some of the major international challenges that lead to high mortality and economic loss [3].

A great diversity of microbes inhabit fresh meat generally but different types may become dominant depending on the pH, composition, texture, storage temperature and transportation means of the raw meat [4] and [5]. Basically, two types of microbial contaminants can be expected in meat, i.e. spoilage bacteria, which are those microorganisms that spoil the product and render it unfit for human consumption, and pathogenic bacteria, which are the microorganisms that produce diseases.

Major spoilage organisms in raw meat include *Pseudomonas* spp., others may include *Shewanella, Bronchothix,* and members of the *Enterobacteriaceae*. While, pathogenic microbes may include *Salmonella* spp., *Campylobacter jejuni, Bacillus cereus, Clostridium perfringes* and *C.botulinum, Yerisinia enterocolitica, Escherichia coli, Staphilococus aureus* and to some extent, *Listeria monocytogenes*.

There are also reports [6] on *Coliforms* spp identified in meat to be *C. freundii*, *E. coli*, *Enagglomeram* and less frequent strains are of the genera *Klebsiella*, *Shigella sonnie* and *Proteus*. While E. coli, and S. aureus are normal flora in humans and animals and their presence

in foods are indications of excessive human handling [7].

Doyle [8] reported that the microbial quality of ground meat analyzed was unsatisfactory and the product was an important cause of food poisoning. Similarly, [9] reported that irrespective of the site of collection of beef, the bacterial count was high in samples incubated at room temperature (20 - 25°C) as compared with those incubated at 33°C and at refrigeration temperature $(4 - 7^{\circ}C)$. They further reported that differences between mean values of viable bacterial count per gram of beef samples collected from slaughter house and the meat shops were quite significant, as the samples from meat shops showed a higher mean viable counts per gram than the meat samples from slaughter house examined under the same condition. In line with this report, [10], recorded various counts in aerobic plate count (APC), E. coli count, Staphylococcus aureus count and Salmonella detection. Mean APC's of beef, sheep and goat meat from abattoirs (5.35, 5.42 and 4.84 log¹⁰ CFU/cm² respectively) were significantly (P<0.05) lower as compared to APC values of retail outlets (7.15, 6.92 and 6.62 log¹⁰ CFU/cm² respectively). Mean E.coli counts for beef, sheep and goat meat from abattoirs and retail outlet were 2.81, 2.94, 2.64 and 2.78, 2.86, 1.94 log¹⁰ CFU/cm² respectively, while mean S. aureus counts were 2.76, 2.91, 2.90 and 2.96, 2.80, 3.07 log¹⁰ CFU/cm² respectively.

It is generally recognized that the most significant food borne hazards from fresh meat are bacteria which can cause disease in humans. Bacteria cannot be seen by the naked eyes, they cannot be detected at post-mortem inspection. The production of visually clean meat, monitored by visual inspection, is an important starting point for meat safety, but visual inspection can detect only gross faecal and other contamination. Although this gives a useful indication of the microbiological status of fresh meat, it is only by undertaking further testing that the presence

and/or number of bacteria present on the surface of carcass meat or in processed meat can be assessed objectively [11]. Consequent upon this, this study sorts to provide research information on the microbiological analysis of beef meat collected at different hours of day in Ekpoma town market.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1Study Area

This study was conducted in Ekpoma town. Ekpoma lies on Longitude 6.07°E and Latitude 6.75°N. It has a prevailing tropical climate with annual rainfall of about 1500 – 2000mm. The vegetation in this region represents an interface between the tropical rainforest and derived savannah [12].

2.2 Samples Collections

Meat sample of 1 Kg was purchased from the open market at the different times (8 am, 12 noon and 5 pm) respectively. A part was cut into ten samples of 10 grams each, which served as replicates. They were collected in a clean polythene bag, labeled accordingly and then taken to Animal Science Laboratory for Microbiological analysis.

2.3 Samples Preparation

Ten grams of meat samples were weighed and homogenized into 90mls of sterile distiller deionized water using a sterile warring blender. Ten folds dilution of the homogenates were made, using sterile pipettes, as described by the methods of [13].

2.4 Microbiological Analysis:

Mean counts of total viable proteolytic bacteria count (TPC), total viable count (TVC), Coliforms, Salmonella spp, Pseudomonas organisms and Bacillus spp, were all determined in the collected samples.

- Total proteolytic bacteria count (TPC) was enumerated on Nutrient Agar (NA) incubated at 35°C for 48hours.
- Mean counts of total viable organisms (TVC) was determined by the method described by [14].
- Coliforms count was performed on MacConkey Agar containing bile salt incubated at 37°C for 48 hours.

- Pseudomas organisms was detected by the aid of centrimide agar for each sample.
- Bacillus spp. was enumerated using diluted solution of 10⁻¹ and 10⁻² and heated to 80°C for 10 mins.
- Salmonella presence was detected by preenrichment of meat samples in lactose broth and tetra-thionate broth, while final detection on Bismuth Sulphide agar recommended by WHO procedures.

2.5 Identification of Isolates

Pure colonies were obtained by repeating streaking in the media and were characterized based on biochemical tests. The biochemically characterized isolates were identified according to Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology [15].

2.6 Experimental Design

The design for the experiment was a completely randomized design (CRD), one way analysis of variance.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the XL Statistical Programme for windows [16].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Results on the microbiological analysis of beef meat collected at different hours are presented in Table 1.

Results from Table 1 showed that the total proteolytic bacteria count (TPC) analysed from beef meat were significantly (P<0.05) higher in samples collected in the evening (5 pm) having 7.867 log₁₀ CFU/g, compared with those collected in the afternoon (12noon) and morning (8 am) having 6.050 and 5.267 log₁₀ CFU/g counts respectively. This shows that the longer meat stays in the market, the higher its microbial load. This result followed similar findings of [17], where higher values of microbial load of meat were observed as time progresses. Also, results of TPC recorded in this study were almost similar to values reported by (10), for mean APCs of beef, sheep and goat meat from abattoirs having 5.35, 5.42 and 4.84 log₁₀ CFU/cm² respectively. which were significantly (P<0.05) lower as compared to APCs values of meat from retail outlets having 7.15, 6.92 and 6.62 log₁₀ CFU/cm² respectively.

Table 1. Means of microbial quality of beef meat collected at different time (hours)

Microbial Analysis (log ₁₀ CFU/g)	Time (Hours)			
	8am	12noon	5pm	SEM
Total proteolytic bacteria count (TPC)	5.267 ^b	6.050 ^b	7.867 ^a	0.494
Total Viable count (TVC)	4.517 ^c	5.520 ^b	7.723 ^a	0.219
Coliforms count	2.410 ^b	2.750 ^b	4.750 ^a	0.441
Pseudomonas count	1.193 ^c	2.500 ^b	3.557 ^a	0.270
Bacillus count	1.100 ^c	1.823 ^b	3.030 ^a	0.149
Salmonella spp. count	2.937 ^b	3.283 ^b	3.030 ^a	0.172

abc: Means with similar superscripts along rows are not significantly (P>0.05) different. SEM: Standard errors of means

The total viable count (TVC) analysed from meat samples were significantly (P<0.05) lowest at 8am having 4.517 \log_{10} CFU/g, compared with 12noon and 5pm which recorded 5.520 and 7.723 \log_{10} CFU/g counts respectively. This result was in agreement with the report of Bradeaba and Sivakumaar [17], where beef showed high general viable count as against mutton and pork which showed comparatively low general population count.

Results of coliforms count in this study were significantly (P<0.05) higher at 5pm having 4.750 \log_{10} CFU/g count, compared with samples analysed at 12 noon and 8 am, which recorded 2.750 and 2.410 \log_{10} CFU/g counts respectively. While those of *Pseudomonas* count recorded significantly (P<0.05) lower value at 8am having 1.193 \log_{10} CFU/g, compared with 12noon and 5pm which had 2.500 and 3.557 \log_{10} CFU/g counts respectively.

Total Bacillus counts also recorded significantly (P<0.05) lower values of 1.100 log₁₀ CFU/g at 8am compared with 1.823 log₁₀ CFU/g at 12noon and 3.030 log₁₀ CFU/g at 5pm; an indication that meat sold at the early hours of the day (8am) tends to have least Bacillus spp., while results of Salmonella spp. analysed in meat samples recorded significantly (P<0.05) higher value of 3.030 at 5pm compared with 3.283 and 2.937 log₁₀ CFU/g counts recorded at 12noon and 8am respectively. Beef meat is usually sold in the market on a platform that is exposed, which can be very unhygienic and promotes microbial infection. This is responsible for the level of (especially those microbes of bacteria) obtained in this study. The results of higher microbial analysis recorded in this study as time (hours) of the day progressed, were in line with the reports of [18] on the effect of cooking methods on the microbiological load of beef collected at different time (hours) of the day in Ekpoma market.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TION

From this study, microbiological analysis of beef meat increased as time (hours) of the day progressed, which implies that the longer meat stays when exposed on a platform in the market, the higher the microbial load. It is therefore recommended that meat should be bought from the market in the early hours (8am) of the day, just after arrival from the abattoir.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Olaoye OA, Nilude AA. Investigation on the potential use of biological agents in the extension of fresh beef in Nigeria. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2010;26:1445-1454.
- 2. Sulley MS. The hygienic standard of meat handling in the Tamale metropolis. Bachelor of Science dissertation, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana. 2006;23–29.
- CDS (Centre for Disease Control). Health information for international travel; 2008. Available:http://www.cdc.gov/travel/index.h tm
- Ercolini D, Russo F, Torrieri E, Masi P, Villani F. Changes in spoilage-related microbiota of beef during refrigerated storage under different packing conditions. Applied Environmental. Microbiology. 2006;72(7):4663-4671.
- Adu-Gyamfi AW, Torghy-Tetteh, Appiah V. Microbiological quality of chicken sold in Accra and Determination of DIO-value of E. coli. Food Nutri. Sci. 2012;3(5):693-698.

- 6. Turtura GC. Enterobacteria and other gram negative bacteria in slaughtered poultry. Microbiology Ailments and Nutrition. 1991;9(2):139-146.
- Clarence SY, Obinna CN, Shalom NC. Assessment of bacteriological quality of ready to eat food (meat pie) in Benin City metropolis, Nigeria. Africa Journal of Microbial Research. 2009;3(6):390-395.
- Doyle ME. Microbial food spoilage- losses and control strategies. A Brief Review of the Literature FRI Briefings; 2007. Available:www.wiscedu/fril
- Hassan AN, Farooqui A, Khan A, Khan AY, Kazmi SU. Microbial contamination of raw meat and its environment in retail shops in Karachi, Pakistan. Jour. of Infect Dev. Cties. 2010;4(6):382-388.
- Ahmad MUD, Sarwar A, Najeeb MI, Nawaz M. Anjum, Anjum AA, Ali MA, Mansur N. Assessment of microbial load of raw meat at abattoirs and retail outlets. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 2013;23(3):745–748.
- 11. Nouichi S, Hamdi TM. Superficial bacterial contamination of ovine and bovine carcass at El-Harrach slaughter house, Algeria. European Journal of Scientific Research. 2009;38(3):474–485.

- 12. Fredrick OAD, Garuba J, Addanne I. Macmillan Nigeria social studies atlas. Pub. Macmillan Education. 2007;8-46.
- Fawole MO, Oso BA. Laboratory manual of microbiology. Revised edition spectrum books Ltd, Ibadan. 2001;127.
- APHA (American Public Health Association). Compendium of methods for microbiological Examination of foods. 2nd edn. Washington; 1984.
- Holt JG, Krieg NR, Smeath PHA, Staley JT, Williams ST. Bergeips manual of determinative bacteriology. Ninth ed. Williams and Williams Baltimore. 1994;566.
- SAS. Statistical analysis system. User's Guide Version 9.0 SAS Instsitute, Inc. Cary North Carolina USA; 2004.
- 17. Bradeaba K, Sivakumaar PK. Assessment of microbiological quality of beef, mutton and pork and its environment in retail shops in Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu. International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences. 2013;3(1).
- Okoh PI, Adelani AS, Salau TA. Effect of cooking methods on the microbial load of beef collected at different hours in Ekpoma town market. Asian Food Science Journal. 2019;7(1):1-5.

© 2019 Okoh; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48952