Asian Research Journal of Mathematics

18(8): 13-21, 2022; Article no.ARJOM.88638

ISSN: 2456-477X

Calculus of Orthogonal Projectors

Alwanyi Kevin Shilaviga [a*], Achiles Nyongesa Simiyu [a] and Olege Fanuel [a]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology P O BOX 190-50100, Kakamega, Kenya.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2022/v18i830394

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88638

Received: 15 April 2022

Accepted: 20 June 2022

Original Research Article

Published: 21 June 2022

Abstract

It is possible to express all geometric notions connected with closed linear subspaces in terms of algebraic properties of the orthoprojectors onto these linear spaces. In this paper, sufficient conditions for the calculus of a family of orthoprojectors in $B(H)$ have been given with meaningful consideration of the sum, the product and difference of orthoprojectors to be a projector. This has been done by giving the algebraic formulations of orthogonality for the sum, product and difference. From the paper, it is observed that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the set of all closed linear subspaces of a Hilbert space H and the set of all orthoprojectors on H. This paper will help in the study of vector space with many diverse applications such as orthogonal polynomials, QR decomposition of projectors and Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization.

Keywords: Sum of orthoprojector; difference of orthoprojector; product of orthoprojector.

**Corresponding author: E-mail: anyongesa@mmust.ac.ke*

1 Introduction

There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the set of all closed linear subspaces of a Hilbert space H and the set of all Orthoprojectors on H [1]. In view of this, it is possible to express all geometric notions connected with closed linear subspaces in terms of algebraic properties of the orthoprojectors onto these linear spaces [2]. This paper considers the algebraic formulation of orthogonality of the sum, the product and differences for a $T \in B(H)$. The definitions in this paper are standard and can be found in [3],[4], [5] [6],[7],[8],[9],[10].

Proposition 1. *Let H be a Hilbert space and P, Q be orthogonal projectors on H onto the closed linear subspace M, N respectively. The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $M \perp N$

(ii) $PQ = 0$

(iii) $QP = 0$

(iv) $Q(M) = \{\overline{0}\}$

(v) $P(N) = \{\overline{0}\}$ *(note* $Q \longleftrightarrow P$*)*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow (ii)$. Let $x \in H$. Then $Qx \in N$. Now $M \perp N \Rightarrow N \subseteq M^{\perp}$ (for, $y \in N, \langle y, z\rangle = 0 \quad \forall z \in M)$. $\Rightarrow y \in M^{\perp}$ that is $N \subseteq M^{\perp}$. Thus $Qx \in M^{\perp} = \eta_P$. So $P(Qx) = \overline{0}$ and this holds for all $x \in y$ therefore $PQ = 0$
$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ Take adjoints of both sides of $PQ = 0$, $(PQ)^* = 0^* = 0$ that is $Q^*P^* = 0$ But $Q^* = 0, P^* = 0$ hence $QP = 0$
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Obviously since $PQ = QP = 0$, $P \longleftrightarrow Q$
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $x \in N$. Then $Qx = x$ (for $\mathfrak{R}_P = N$). Now $PQ = 0 \Rightarrow PQx$=0 that is $P(Qx) = 0$, $P(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \eta_p = M^{\perp}$ therefore $N \subseteq M^{\perp}$ in other words $M \perp N$ which is (i). So (i) $\longleftrightarrow$ (ii) $\longleftrightarrow$ (iii)
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $M \perp N \Rightarrow M \subset N^{\perp} = \eta_Q$ therefore $Q(M) = \{\overline{0}\}$.
Conversely $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ For $Q(M)$=$\{\overline{0}\} \Rightarrow M \subseteq \eta_Q = N^{\perp} \Rightarrow M \perp N$.
Similarly $(i) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (i)$

□

Definition 1. Let H be a Hilbert space and P, Q be orthogonal projectors on H. We say that P is orthogonal to Q. In symbols $P \perp Q$ if $M \perp N$, where M, N and ranges of P, Q respectively. This is equivalent to saying that $PQ = 0$.

2 Product of Orthoprojectors

Proposition 2. *Let H be a Hilbert space and P, Q be orthoprojectors on H. Then PQ is an orthoprojector if and only if $P \longleftrightarrow Q$. In this case $\mathfrak{R}_{PQ} = M \cap N$, where M, N are the closed linear subspaces of H onto which P, Q project.*

Proof. Suppose $P \longleftrightarrow Q$ that is $PQ = QP$. Now $(PQ)^* = Q^*P^* = PQ$ since P, Q are self-adjoint. $= PQ$ since $P \longleftrightarrow Q \Rightarrow$ P Q is self-adjoint. Note that $PQ \in B(H)$

$$(PQ)^2 = (PQ)(PQ) = P(QP)Q = P(PQ)Q = (PP)(QQ) = P^2Q^2 = PQ.$$

Since P, Q being orthogonal projectors are idempotent. Thus PQ is idempotent. PQ is self adjoint and idempotent implies PQ is an orthogonal projector. Conversely, let PQ be an orthoprojector. We must show that $P \longleftrightarrow Q$ therefore PQ must be self-adjoint $(PQ)^* = PQ$. But $(PQ)^* = Q^*P^* = QP$ therefore, $PQ = QP$ that is $P \longleftrightarrow Q$. To show that $\mathfrak{R}_{PQ} = M \cap N$. Let $x \in M \cap N$.

Then $x \in M$ and $x \in N$, $x \in M \Rightarrow Px = x$ (for $\Re_P = M$) $QPx = Qx = x$ for $x \in N$ that is $PQx = Qx = x$ that is $PQx = x$ that is $x \in \Re_{PQ}$. Thus$M \cap N \subseteq \Re_{PQ}$. Conversely let $x \in \Re_{PQ}$. So $PQ(x) = x, P(Qx) = x$ implies $x \in \Re_P = M$. Similarly, $PQ = QP \Rightarrow QPx = x$ that is $Q(Px) = x$, $QPx = x$ that is $x \in \Re_P = N$, $x \in M$ and $x \in N \Rightarrow x \in M \cap N$.Therefore,

$$\Re_{PQ} \subseteq M \cap N$$

Thus,

$$\Re_{PQ} = M \cap N$$

□

Proposition 3. *Let H be a Hilbert space and P, Q be orthoprojectors and H onto the closed linear subspace M, N respectively.The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $P \leqslant Q$

(ii) $\|Px\| \leqslant \|Qx\|$ *for all* $x \in H$

(iii) $PQ = P$

(iv) $QP = P$

(v) $M \subseteq N$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

$$P \leqslant Q \Rightarrow \langle Px, x\rangle \leq \langle Qx, x\rangle \forall x \in H$$

But $\langle Px, x\rangle = \|Px\|^2$ for $\langle Px, x\rangle = \langle Px^2, x\rangle$ (P is idempotent)

$$= \langle PPx, x\rangle = \langle Px, Px^*\rangle = \langle Px, Px\rangle \left(P \text{ is self-adjoint} \right)$$
$$= \|Px\|^2$$

Similarly,

$$\langle Qx, x\rangle = \|Qx\|^2$$

Hence $(i) \Rightarrow \|Px\|^2 \leqslant \|Qx\|^2$ that is $\|Px\| \leqslant \| Qx\|$ for all $x \in H$ which gives (ii)
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (v) Let $x \in \eta_Q = N^{\perp}$. So $Qx = \overline{0}$ that is $\|0x\| = 0$ But (ii) $\|Pz\| \leqslant \|Qz\|$ for all $z \in H$ therefore $\|Px\| = 0$ that is $Px = \overline{0}$ that is $x \in \eta_P = M^{\perp}, N^{\perp} \subseteq M^{\perp}$.

Taking orthogonal complements of both sides $(N^{\perp})^{\perp} \supseteq \left(M^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$ that is $N \supseteq M$ that is $M \subseteq N$
Next we show that $(v) \Rightarrow$ (iv)

Let $x \in H$. Then $Px \in M \subseteq N$ (by (v))

$$QPx = Q(Px) = Px \quad (Px \in N = \Re_{\mathfrak{Q}})$$

$$QP = P$$

(iv)$\Rightarrow$(iii) Take adjoints of $QP = P$ we get

$$(QP)^* = P^*$$
$$P^*Q^* = P^*$$

that is $PQ = P$ which is (iii). Also (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) obviously.

We finally show that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). For any $x \in H$

$$\langle Px, x\rangle = \|Px\|^2 = \| PQx\|^2 (PQ = P)$$

Now,

$$\|PQx\| = \|P(Qx)\| \leqslant \|P\|\|Qx\| \leqslant \|Qx\| \ (\|P\| \leqslant 1)$$

Therefore,

$$\|PQx\|^2 \leqslant \|Qx\|^2$$

Thus $\langle Px, x\rangle \leqslant \| Qx\|^2 = \langle Qx, x\rangle$ for all $x \in H$. Which shows that $P \leqslant Q$ and completes the proof.

□

Remark 1. *$P \leq Q \Rightarrow P \longleftrightarrow Q$ for $\quad QP = P$ and $PQ = P$*

3 Differences of Orthoprojectors

Proposition 4. *Let H be a Hilbert space and P, Q be orthoprojectors on H. Then $P - Q$ is an orthoprojector if $P \geq Q$ that is $Q \leq P$. In this case the range of $P - Q$ is $\mathrm{M} \cap \mathrm{N}^{\perp}$, where $M = \Re_P$ and $N = \Re_Q$.*

Proof. Suppose $Q \leqslant P$, we already know that $\quad P \longleftrightarrow Q$ (for $QP = Q, PQ = Q$). To show that, $P - Q$ is an orthoprojector $(P-Q)^* = P^* - Q^* = P - Q$
Since P, Q are self-adjoint. $P - Q$ is self-adjoint element of $B(H)$

$$(P-Q)^2 = (P-Q)(P-Q) = P^2 - PQ - QP + P^2$$
$$= P - Q - Q + Q \ (P, Q \text{ are idempotent } QP = Q \text{ and } PQ = Q)$$
$$= P - Q$$

Thus $P - Q$ is self -adjoint and idempotent. Hence $P - Q$ is an orthoprojector. To find the range of $P - Q$,

$$P - Q = P - PQ = P(I - Q)$$

where I is the identity operator. Since Q is an orthoprojector, so is $I - Q$

$$(I-Q)^* = I^* - Q^* = I - Q \text{ (self-adjoint)}$$
$$(I-Q)^2 = I - Q - Q + Q^2 = I - Q - Q + Q = I - P \text{ (idempotent).}$$

$\Re_{I-Q} = N^{\perp}$ where $N = \Re_P$ and $\Re_{I-Q} = N^{\perp}$ Since $\quad P \longleftrightarrow Q, P \longleftrightarrow I - Q$. So $P, I - Q$ are orthoprojectors with ranges $M, N^{\perp}$ and $P \longleftrightarrow I - Q$. So $P(I - Q)$ is an orthoprojector and its range is $M \cap N^{\perp}$. □

Definition 2. Let X be a normed linear space and $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge.\}$ be a family of bounded linear transformation on X into X. We say that $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ is summable to $T \in B(x)$, if for each $x \in X$ the family $\{T_\alpha X : \alpha \in \wedge]$ is summable to Tx, In this case we write $\sum_{\alpha \in \wedge} T_\alpha = T$.

Proposition 5. *Let $T, S \in B(X)$ and $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ be a summable family of elements of $B(X)$ such that $\sum\limits_{\alpha \in \wedge} T_\alpha = T$. Then $ST_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge, T_\alpha S : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ are summable to ST and TS respectively.*

Proof. Since $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ is sumable to T. So for each $x \in X$,$\{T_\alpha X : \alpha \in \wedge]$ is summable to Tx. Hence for each real $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite subset π_ε of $\wedge$ such that for each finite subset π of $\wedge$ satisfies $\pi \supseteq \pi_\epsilon$, we have $\left\| \sum\limits_{\alpha \in \pi} T_\alpha x - Tx \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|s\|}$. Where $S \neq 0$ (If $S = 0$, then the results are obvious). Now,

$$\|S(\sum_{\alpha\in\pi} T_\alpha x) - S(Tx)\| = \|\sum_{\alpha\in\pi} ST_\alpha x - STx\|$$
$$\text{therefore } \|\sum_{\alpha\in\pi} ST_\alpha x - STx\| = \|S(\sum_{\alpha\in\pi} T_\alpha x - Tx)\| \leq \|S\|\|\sum_{\alpha\in\pi} T_\alpha x - Tx\| < \varepsilon$$

Which shows that $\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} ST_\alpha = ST$ that is $(ST_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\wedge}$ is summable to ST. Likewise $\{T_\alpha S : \alpha\in\wedge\}$.

□

4 Sum of Orthoprojectors

For a meaningful consideration of the sum of a family of orthoprojectors, we need first to introduce the notion of a sum of not necessarily finite family of operators in $B(H)$.

Proposition 6. *Let $P \in B(H)$ and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ be a family of orthogonal projectors on H which is summable to P, that is $P = \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} P_\alpha$. Then P is an orthogonal projector if and only if $\{P_\alpha : \alpha\in\wedge\}$ is an orthogonal family that is $P_\alpha \perp P_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \neq \beta(\alpha, \beta \in \wedge)$ in this case the range of P (that is of $\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} P_\alpha$) is $\vee_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha$ where $M_\alpha =$ range of P_α for each $\alpha \in \wedge$.*

Proof. Let $P_\alpha \perp P_\beta$ whenever $\alpha, \beta \in \wedge$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $P = \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} P_\alpha$. We shall show that P is an orthogonal projector on H. We know that

$$P_\alpha \perp P_\beta \implies M_\alpha \perp M_\beta \implies P_\alpha P_\beta = P_\beta P_\alpha = 0 \text{ (Zero operator)}.$$

Now $\quad P^2 = PP = (\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} P_\alpha)(\sum_{\beta\in\Lambda} P_\beta) = \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge}\sum_{\beta\in\wedge}(P_\alpha P_\beta)$

(Why?) For If $S \in B(H)$ and $\{T_\alpha : \alpha\in\wedge\}$ is a summable family of elements of $B(H)$ with sum T. Then

$$ST = S\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} T_\alpha\right) = \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} ST_\alpha$$

Since $\sum_{\beta\in\wedge} P_\beta = P$ therefore $P_\alpha\left(\sum_{\beta\in\wedge} P_\beta\right) = \sum_{\beta\in\wedge} P_\alpha P_\beta$ for any $\alpha \in \wedge$. Each $P_\alpha P_\beta \in B(H)$ and the family $\left\{\sum_{\beta} P_\alpha P_\beta : \alpha\in\wedge\right\}$ is a family of bounded linear operators.

$$\sum_{\alpha}(\sum_{\beta} P_\alpha P_\beta) = \sum_{\alpha}\sum_{\beta} P_\alpha P_\beta$$
$$(\sum_{\alpha} P_\alpha)(\Sigma P_\beta) = \sum_{\alpha}\sum_{\beta} P_\alpha P_\beta$$

But $P_\alpha P_\beta = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ therefore $P^2 = \sum_{\alpha} P_\alpha^2 = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha = P$ since each P_α is idempotent. Thus P is idempotent.We show that P is self-adjoint. Let $x, y \in H$. Then,

$$\langle Px, y\rangle = \left\langle\left(\sum_\alpha P_\alpha\right)x, y\right\rangle = \sum_\alpha \langle P_\alpha x, y\rangle = \sum_\alpha \langle x, P_\alpha y\rangle \text{ (since } P_\alpha \text{ is self-adjoint)}$$

$$= \left\langle x, \left(\sum_{\alpha} P_\alpha\right) y\right\rangle = \langle x, Py\rangle\, \forall x, y \in H \text{ therefore } P \text{ is self-adjoint.}$$

Thus $P \in B(H)$ is self-adjoint and idempotent. Hence P is an orthogonal projector.
Conversely, let P be an orthogonal projector , we must show that the family $\{P_\alpha : \alpha\in\wedge\}$ is orthogonal.

Take any $x \in M_\alpha$. Then $x \in H$. Since P is an orthogonal projector $\|P\| \leqslant 1$ and hence $\|x\| \geq \|Px\|$. Since $P = \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} P_\alpha$, we have $\|x\|^2 \geq \|Px\|^2 = \langle Px, Px\rangle = \langle P^2x, x\rangle = \langle Px, x\rangle = \left\langle \sum_\beta P_\beta x, x \right\rangle = \sum_\beta \langle P_\beta x, x\rangle$. We know that an orthogonal projector is a positive operator $\langle Px, x\rangle = \|Px\|^2 \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ each $\langle Px, x\rangle$ is real and non-negative $\geq \langle P_\alpha x, x\rangle = \|P_\alpha x\|^2 = \|x\|^2$ (since $x \in M_\alpha = \mathfrak{R}_{P_\alpha}$). So $P_\alpha = x$

$$\|P_\alpha x\| = \|x\|$$

Since we have $\|x\|^2$ at both ends of the above chain of inequalities it shows that equality must hold throughout. So if $x \in M_\alpha$ (α fixed arbitrary) then $\|Px\| = \|x\|$ and $\langle P_\beta x, x\rangle = 0 \quad \forall\beta \neq \alpha \langle P_\beta x, x\rangle = 0$ for all $x \in M_\alpha$ and $\beta \neq \alpha$ implies

$$\|P_\alpha x\|^2 = 0 \quad \forall x \in M_\alpha$$

So $P_\beta(M_\alpha) = \{\overline{0}\} \quad \forall\beta \neq \alpha$. This implies $P_\beta \perp P_\alpha$ for all $\beta \neq \alpha$. Since this is true for any $\alpha \in \wedge$, we get $P_\alpha \perp P_\beta$ $\forall\beta \neq \alpha$ Since $\|Px\| = \|x\| \implies x \in \mathfrak{R}_P = M$

□

Lemma 1. *If H is a Hilbert space and P is an orthogonal projector then $\|Px\| = \|x\|$ if and only if $x \in M = \mathfrak{R}_P$.*

Proof. For if $x \in M = R_P$, then $Px = x$ and hence $\|Px\| = \|x\|$. Conversely let $\|Px\| = \|x\|$ for an $x \in H$. Then $\|Px - x\|^2 = \langle Px - x, Px - x\rangle = \langle Px, x\rangle - \langle Px, Px\rangle - \langle x, Px\rangle + \langle x, x\rangle = \|Px\|^2 - \|Px\|^2 - \|Px\|^2 + \|x\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|Px\|^2 = \|x\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \quad (\|Px\| = \|x\|) = 0$. Thus $Px - x = \overline{0}$ therefore $Px = x$ that is $x \in \mathfrak{R}_P = M$. Thus we shown that $x \in M_\alpha$ then $x \in \mathfrak{R}_P = M \quad \forall\alpha \in \wedge$ therefore $M \supseteq M_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \wedge$ therefore $M \supseteq \left[\bigcup_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha\right]$ therefore $M \supseteq [\overline{\bigcup_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha}] = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha$. It remains to show $M \subseteq \bigvee_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha$. Since $P = \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} P_\alpha$ for any $x \in H$

$$Px = (\sum_\alpha P_\alpha)x = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha x \qquad (\text{But } P_\alpha x \in M_\alpha)$$

$$Px \in \sum_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\Lambda} M_\alpha \text{ therefore } \quad \mathfrak{R}_P = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha$$

□

Proposition 7. *If P, Q are orthogonal projectors on M, N respectively and $P \longleftrightarrow Q$ then PQ is an orthogonal projector with range $M \cap N$ and $P + Q - PQ$ is an orthogonal projection with range $M \vee N$. Thus*

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} P \wedge Q = PQ \\ P \vee Q = P + Q - PQ \end{array}\right\} \text{ where } P \longleftrightarrow Q$$

Proof. We have already seen that PQ is an orthogonal projector if and only if $P \longleftrightarrow Q$ and then $\mathfrak{R}_{PQ} = M \cap N$. Let $\{M_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ be a family of closed linear subspace of H $\bigvee_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha$, $\bigwedge_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha\right)$ are both closed linear subspace of H. If P_α represents the orthogonal projector on H onto M_α (for each $\alpha \in \wedge$) then we represent the orthogonal projectors onto $\bigvee_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha$ and $\bigwedge_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha$ by the symbol $\bigvee_{\alpha\in\wedge} P_\alpha$ and $\bigwedge_{\alpha\in\wedge} P_\alpha$. By definition $P \wedge Q$ is the projector on H onto $M \cap N (= M \wedge N)$ therefore $P \wedge Q = PQ$ when $P \longleftrightarrow Q$. Since $M = \mathfrak{R}_P, N = \mathfrak{R}_Q$ so PVQ is the orthogonal projector corresponding to $M \vee N$. Specifically when $P \longleftrightarrow Q$,

$$P \vee Q = P + Q - PQ.$$

We show this,

$$P + Q - PQ = P + (Q - PQ) = P + (I - P)Q$$

Since $P \longleftrightarrow Q$, so $I - P \longleftrightarrow Q, P$ is an orthogonal projector $\longleftrightarrow I - P$ is an orthogonal projector. Thus $(I - P), Q$ are orthogonal projectors and $(I - P) \longleftrightarrow Q$. Hence $(I - P)\ Q$ is an orthogonal projector with range $= \Re_{\text{I-P}}\ \cap R_Q = M^{\perp} \cap N$. For any $x \in H$, $Px \perp (I - P)Qx$. Indeed

$$\langle Px, (I - P)Qx\rangle = \langle (I - P)^* Px, Qx\rangle = \langle (I - P)Px, Qx\rangle \text{ for } (I - P)^* = I - P = \left\langle Px - Px^2, Qx\right\rangle$$
$$\text{but } P^2 = P = \langle Px - Px, Qx\rangle = \langle \bar{0}, Qx\rangle = 0$$

Thus,

$$P \perp (I - P)Q$$

Using the result $M \perp N \Rightarrow P_M + P_N$. is a projection with range $M \vee N$. Finite version of the theorem proved. We observe that $P+(I-P)Q$ is an orthogonal projector with range $MV(M^{\perp} \cap N)$. Now writing $P + Q - PQ$ as $Q + P(I - Q)$ (Note $Q \longleftrightarrow P$) and observing that $I - Q \longleftrightarrow P$, we note that the range of the projection $(I - Q)P$ is $N^{\perp} \cap M$. Since $Q \perp (I - Q)P$: we see that the range of the projection $P + Q - PQ$ is also $N \vee \left(N^{\perp} \cap M\right)$
Thus,

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \Re_{P+Q-PQ} &= M \vee \left(M^{\perp} \cap N\right) \\ &= N \vee \left(N^{\perp} \cap M\right) \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (1)$$

Certainly $\Re_{P+Q-PQ} \supseteq M, N$ and therefore $\supseteq \overline{[M \cup N]} = M \vee N$. Since $\Re_{P+Q-PQ}$ is closed therefore $\Re_{P+Q-PQ} \supseteq M \vee N$. On the other hand (4.1) also reveals $\Re_{P+P-PQ} \subseteq M \vee N$ for $M \vee \left(N \cap M^{\perp}\right) \subseteq M \vee N, N \vee \left(M \cap N^{\perp}\right) \subseteq M \vee N$. Thus $\Re_{P+Q-PQ} = M \vee N$. Let $M \perp N$ and P, Q be orthogonal projectors onto M, N respectively

$$(P + Q)^* = P + Q$$
$$(P + Q)^2 = P^2 + PQ + QP + Q^2 \text{ But } PQ = QP = 0$$

$$P^2 + Q^2 = P + Q$$

So $P + Q$ is an orthogonal projector for any $x \in H$

$$(P + Q)x = Px + Qx \in M + N$$
$$\text{therefore } \Re_{P+Q} \subseteq M + N = \overline{[M \cup N]} = M \vee N$$

On the other hand, if $x \in M$, then $(P + Q)x = Px + Qx = x + \bar{0} = x$ that is $x \in \Re_{Q+P}$ therefore $M \subseteq \Re_{P+Q}$
Similarly,

$$N \subseteq \Re_{P+Q}$$
$$M \cup N \subseteq \Re_{P+Q}$$

therefore $\overline{[M \cup N]} \subseteq \Re_{P+Q}$ since R_{P+Q} is closed $M \vee N \subseteq R_{P+Q}$ therefore

$$\Re_{P+Q} = M \vee N$$

□

Remark 2. *In the infinite version as given ;* $x \in M_\alpha \Rightarrow x \in M = \Re_P\ \forall \alpha \in \wedge$ *therefore* $M_\alpha \subseteq \Re_P$

$$\overline{[U_\alpha M_\alpha]} \subseteq \Re_P \,(\Re_P \text{ is closed })$$

$$V_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha \subseteq \Re_P \tag{2}$$

On the other hand for each $x \in H$

$$Px = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha x \in \sum_\alpha M_\alpha = M = \overline{M}$$

(Since $M_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge$ *is an orthogonal family of subspace.)*

$$\Re_P \subseteq M = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha \tag{3}$$

(4.2) and (4.3) imply $\Re_P = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\wedge} M_\alpha$

5 Conclusion

This paper has provided sufficient conditions for calculus of orthogonal projectors under addition, difference and product. A generalized familiar idea of orthogonal projection under calculus in a vector space Rn upon a linear subspace of Rn has been given with conditions of the sum, difference and product of orthogonal projectors in Hilbert space. For the product its clear that if P and Q are projectors then $P \leq Q$ implies $P \longleftrightarrow Q$ for $QP = P$ and $PQ = P$, on the sum of orthogonal projectors if H is a Hilbert space and $x \in H$ and M a closed linear subspace in H then $Px = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha x \in \sum_\alpha M_\alpha = M = \overline{M}$. For the difference of orthogonal projectors, if P, Q, then $P - Q$ is an orthoprojector if $P \geq Q$ that is $Q \leq P$. The sum, difference and product has shown there is a natural one to one correspondence between the set of all closed linear subspaces of Hilbert space H and the set of all orthoprojectors on H.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

[1] Baksalary J, et al. A property of orthogonal projectors. Linear algebra and its applications. 2002;354(1-3):35-39.

[2] Berberian, S. Introduction to Hilbert space. American Mathematical Soc. 1999;287.

[3] Davies E. Brian, Linear operators and their spectra. Cambridge University Press. 2007;106.

[4] Friedrichs KO. Spectral theory of operators in Hilbert space. Applied Mathematical Sciences 9. 2012;9(47):50-75.

[5] Gürlebeck K, Habetha K, Sprößig W. Operator calculus. In Application of Holomorphic Functions in Two and Higher Dimensions. Birkhäuser, Basel.9. 2016;3(4):95-150.

[6] Kubrusly Carlos S. Spectral theory of operators on Hilbert spaces. Springer Science & Business Media;2012.

[7] Sengupta A. Orthogonal Projections. Journal of Math on Functional Analysis.2002;7330(2):25-70.

[8] Simon Barry. Operator theory. American Mathematical Soc;2015.

[9] Yanai H, et al. Projection Matrices, Generalized Inverse Matrices, and Singular Value Decomposition. Statistics for Social and Behavioral Sciences. 1999; 1007(978):32.

[10] Weidmann, Joachim. Linear operators in Hilbert spaces. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88638