

Seed Germination, Vegetative Growth and Flowering Performance of Cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.) in Response to Different Potting Media

Waleed Ahmed^{a,b}, Barkat Ali^a, Sujon Kumar^{c*}, Noor-Un-Nisa Memon^b, Niaz Ahmed Wahocho^b, Tanveer Fatima Miano^b and Mehr-Un-Nisa Memon^d

^a College of Horticulture, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu-611130, Sichuan, China.

^b Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Crop Production, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam-70060, Pakistan.

^c Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu-611130, Sichuan, China.

^d Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Crop Production, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam-70060, Pakistan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAHR/2022/v9i230138

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79032>

Original Research Article

Received 12 February 2022

Accepted 26 April 2022

Published 29 April 2022

ABSTRACT

The experiment was carried out at the Horticulture Garden, Department of Horticulture, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan, during the cropping season March 2017 to May 2017. To estimate germination percentage, vegetative growth and flowering performance of Cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.) in response to different potting media. Aim of the research was to evaluate the best potting media and select the best segregating genotype of Cockscomb for superior performance on flowering traits. The trial was laid out in randomized complete block (RCBD) with three replications. The experiment consisted with two genotypes G1 (Amigo Red) and G2 (Prestige scarlet) were planted in different potting media T₁ (100% Canal sediment), T₂ (75% Canal sediment + 25% FYM), T₃ (50% Canal sediment + 50% Coco peat), T₄ (25% Canal sediment + 50% bagasse + 25% Coco peat) and T₅ (25% Canal sediment + 70% bagasse + 5% Coco peat) in the earthen pots. Our present findings of genotype (G2) in potting media (T₄) indicated highest seed germination percentage (87.50%), germination index (1.77), plant height (36.55 cm), seedling vigor

*Corresponding author: Email: info.sujonkumar@gmail.com;

index (3394.2), fresh weight of shoot (56.40 g), fresh weight of root (13.35 g), fresh weight of a single flower (16.97 g), days taken to initiate flowering (74.88 days), number of flowers per plant (5.05) and chlorophyll content of leaf (36.08%). To compare mean of the G2 obtained better results in comparison to genotype (G1). The interaction of the genotypes and potting media was highly significant in G2 from the plants grown in (T₄) potting media. This study showed that based on the potting media performance of the Cockscomb genotypes found maximum performance in (T₄) potting media at Tandojam location. Others potting media along with genotypes and geographical locations might be explored for future research activities.

Keywords: *Amarantaceae; Cockscomb; potting media; germination; growth; flowering behavior.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.) is mostly grown for use in landscape, regardless there are some hybrid land racers commercially used as cut flowers too. The flower resemblance to rooster head for which it is termed as Cockscomb [1]. It is one of the most versatile annual herbaceous plants with different colours, ranging from shades of red, purple, gold, orange and yellow including multicolored flower heads and it is a decorative flowering annual grown in warm countries mainly in the tropical and subtropical region [2]. In Pakistan, Cockscomb is locally termed as Kalgha and is sown during March-April and September to January as a beautiful ornamental plant and cut flowers. Due to versatile flower colors *C. cristata* has a great economic value as a cut flower throughout the world. Its demand as a cut flower has been on inclined because of attractive shapes and better vase life. Variant environmental conditions of Sindh in Pakistan have made the Cockscomb cultivation challenging [1].

Cockscomb is grown as an annual ornamental plant and ornamental plants needs growing media plus adequate water retention and aeration [3] plus fertilizer formulation that assures a continuous nutrient [4]. Suitable growing media are essential for quality flower production as these affect development and maintenance of plant rooting system [5,6]. Garden topsoil is commonly used by most of the growers for raising most of the flower crops, however, it is a nonrenewable resource and sustainable flower production cannot rely on non-renewable natural resources [7]. Moreover, phenomenal and infrastructure development immediately reduces affective availability the quality of subsoil which necessitates use of alternate soilless substrates for flower production. Besides soil is the main cause of the pathogens. The production of ornamental plants have depended most entirely on quality soil-fewer medium derived from both

organic and inorganic component [8]. Soilless media have shown trendy with the majority of producers' causes of consistency, accomplished aeration, reproducibility, and low bulk density that reduce shipping and handling costs of the moderate itself and of the finished plants [9]. A significant relationship exists between different growing media combinations and flower yield and quality parameters [10].

Nowadays it is very common to grow container plants in various commercial growing media instead of plantation in the soil and this can be possible solution against soil related problems [11]. Coco peat is an agricultural outgrowth access subsequently the eradication of fiber from the coconut husk. As a growing media, coco peat could be used for production a numeral of crop species among the tolerable condition at the tropics. Coco peat is treated as a best growing medium composing among adequate pH, EC including other chemical aspect. Coco peat has been accepted to have more water holdings capacity that causes low air-water analogy, dominant to poor aeration within the media, thusly stirring the O₂ diffusion to the roots. Natural components of coco peat are more dependent on it processing technique and handling and the air space and water withholding of the material could be vary from 11-53 and from 50-81% respectively [5]. Bagasse exists of almost 50% cellulose and 25% each of hemicelluloses and lignin. Chemically, bagasse consists about 50%-cellulose, 30% pentose and 2.4% ash. Cause of its poor ash contented, bagasse attempt numerous advantages for usage in bioconversion processes using microbial cultures. Further, in similarity to other agricultural residues, bagasse could be conceded as a rich solar energy reservoir due to its great yields including annual regeneration capacity [12]. So there is a need to optimize protocol for growing media by using cheap source of materials of local premises that are

easily available in large scale for raising of healthy and quality plants of Cockscomb.

To enhance consumer's attraction and better income, flower size plays a significant contribution. Its production under unfavorable climate has been neglected for that no research in the past has been initiated to explore this natural gift. Therefore, few researches has been initiated to find out the most appropriate potting media on Cockscomb to commercialize and its vegetative quality and flower production under the subtropical environment of Sindh, Pakistan. Our present experiment has been planned to investigate the proper potting media of Cockscomb for good growth, best flower quality and maximum production under environmental conditions of Tandojam to promote this beautiful ornamental cut flower.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Horticulture Garden, Department of Horticulture, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, during the cropping season March 2017 to May 2017. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block (RCBD) with three replications. Two genotypes of Cockscomb G1 (Amigo Red) and G2 (Prestige scarlet) were planted in different potting media T₁ (100% Canal sediment), T₂ (75% Canal sediment + 25% FYM), T₃ (50% Canal sediment + 50% Coco peat), T₄ (25%

Canal sediment + 50% bagasse + 25% Coco peat) and T₅ (25% Canal sediment + 70% bagasse + 5% Coco peat) in the earthen pots. Observations recorded on Seed germination percentage (SGP), Days to germination time (DGT), Germination index (GI), Plant height (PH), Seedling vigor index (SVI), Fresh weight of shoot (FWS), Fresh weight of root (FWR), Fresh weight of a single flower (FWSF), Days taken to initiate flowering (DTIF), Number of flowers per plant (NFPP), Electrolyte leakage of leaf (ELL) and Chlorophyll content of leaf (CCL).

Seed germination percentage (SGP) was calculated as the cumulative number of germinated seeds with normal radicles by following formula Larsen and Andreasen [13]:

$$GP = \sum n \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation} \quad (1)$$

where *n* is number of seeds that had germinated at each counting.

Mean germination time (MGT) in days was calculated according to Ellis and Roberts [14]:

$$MGT = \frac{\sum(Dn)}{\sum n} \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation} \quad (2)$$

where *n* is number of seeds germinated on day *D*, and *D* is number of days counted from the beginning of the germination test.

Germination index (GI) was estimated by the following equation by Khaleghi [15].

$$GI = \frac{\text{Germinated seed number at the first day}}{\text{Days to the first counting}} + \frac{\text{Germinated seed number at the last day}}{\text{Days to the last counting}} \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation} \quad (3)$$

The following equation was used to estimate seed vigor index (SVI) by Agrawal [16].

$$SVI = \frac{\text{Mean plumule length (in mm)} \times \text{Germination percentage}}{100} \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation} \quad (4)$$

Electrolyte leakage of leaf (%) was measured by taking 1 cm² leaf disc and weighing 0.5 g from random samples of leaf. The leaf disc was rinsed well with deionized water prior to incubation in 25 ml of deionized water for 3 hours at room temperature. After incubation, the conductivity values (value A) of the bathing solution were measured with the conductivity meter. The petal a disc was boiled with bathing solution for 15 minutes to lyses all cells. After cooling at room temperature, the conductivity (value B) of the bathing solution was again measured. The electrolyte leakage was expressed as percent value according to the formula given below:

$$\text{Electrolyte Leakage of leaf (\%)} = \frac{\text{Value A}}{\text{Value B}} \times 100 \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation} \quad (5)$$

Chlorophyll content was taken with a portable chlorophyll meter using SPAD Chlorophyll Meter. The collected data were statistically analyzed by SAS Software Package, and the means were compared by the LSD test at the 1% and 5% probability levels.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Germination Characters

3.1.1 Seed germination percentage (SGP)

The analysis showed significant ($P < 0.05$) influence of potting media on the seed germination of Cockscomb. The results regarding the seed germination percentage of Cockscomb genotypes as influenced by different potting media has been demonstrated in Table 1 & Fig.1. The data means of seed germination percentage (SGP) ranges (50.00 to 82.50%), the highest T_4 (90.00%) in G2 and lowest observed in T_1 (G1). The means of seed germination percentage was significantly influenced in the different potting media interaction. On the others hand, previous experiment [17,18] showed that similar effect on the different potting media, respectively.

3.1.2 Days to germination time (DGT)

The results regarding the days to germination time of Cockscomb genotypes showed that mean of the potting media ranges (2.66 to 4.50 days) in Table 1 & Fig.2. It has been found from the results that the Cockscomb plant found minimum days to seed germination time (3.41 days) in T_4 (G1) and maximum observed in T_2 (G2). However, statistically Cockscomb seeds found similar time for seed germination in T_1 and T_5 potting media. These results are better than G2 (4.91 days) observed in T_2 potting medium. To compare Cockscomb genotype (G2) found more times (3.85 days) for germination as compared to genotype G1 (3.10 days). Present study is in accordance with Akinbode et al. [19] who reported that the germination was prompt in seeds of *A. cruentus* (3.22 days) and *C. olitorus* (4.44 days) under late sowing 25th March while the seeds of *D. regia* germinated more on 10th April. Whereas, germination of *C. argentea* and *A. esculentus* at early sowing 15th February had no main effect.

3.1.3 Germination index (GI)

Germination index varied highly significant were different potting media as presented in Table 1 & Fig.3. All the treatments had mean values statistically similar found in the germination index except (T_4) potting media. The highest germination index observed in potting media T_4 (1.95) in (G2) genotype and lowest was observed T_1 (0.78) in (G1) genotype. Based on genotypes

non-significant differences were observed in potting media T_4 (G1 and G2). Dubey et al. [20] concluded that soil + sewage sludge as growing media for petunia have high positive effect and significantly improved most germination index included number of leaves and branches per plant. The desirable impact of different potting media on germination index has been reported by many studies [17,21,22].

3.1.4 Seedling vigor index (SVI)

The seedling vigor index is calculated on the basis of shoot length percentage of seed germination. The analysis of variance demonstrated that seedling vigour index of Cockscomb was significantly affected by different potting media (Table 2 & Fig. 4). That seedling vigour index was relatively higher mean of Cockscomb in T_4 (3394.2) as compared to the (T_1) genotype G1 (1146.7). The different potting media showed that the seedling vigour index of Cockscomb was highest G2 (5143.3) in potting media (T_4), while the seedling vigour index was relatively lower G1 (765.0) in (T_1), respectively. Our present experiment strongly supported by [23,24].

3.2 Plant Height (PH)

The results regarding the plant height of Cockscomb as inferences by different potting media have been presented in Table 1 & Fig.5. The analysis submitted significant ($P < 0.05$) effect of sowing dates on the plant height; It has been cleared from the data means was found in T_4 (36.55 cm), followed by T_5 (30.71) and statistically similar results observed in the T_1 (23.63 cm), T_2 (24.96 cm) and T_3 (26.03 cm) potting media, respectively. Maximum plant height was observed G2 (51.43 cm) in T_4 and lowest found in T_1 compared to G1 (15.30 cm) potting media. These results are supported by Zeb et al. [25] observed plant length of 47cm in gladiolus when planted on 15 September as mid planting time. Obe et al. [26] revealed that the sowing dates is one of the methods employed to improve the growth and flower traits of major ornamentals.

3.3 Fresh Weight of Shoot (FWS)

This study showed average maximum fresh weight of shoot of Cockscomb was the highest found in T_4 (56.40g), followed by the results obtained in T_5 (36.34g). The highest fresh weight of shoot T_4 (60.15 g) was observed when the

Cockscomb seeds were grown in (T₄) potting medium where only canal silt (100%) was used. In case of genotypes, G2 (36.37 g) and G1 (31.05 g) produced statistically similar fresh weight of shoot presented in Table 2 & Fig. 6. However, interactive effect of the genotypes and potting media was also non-significant and ranges from 14.21 to 60.15 g of fresh weight of shoot. These findings are comparable to the results of Yarnia et al. [27] in Amaranth; Kazaz et al. [28] in Carnation and Emami et al. [29] in *Lilium longiflorum*.

3.4 Fresh Weight of Root (FWR)

The data (Table 2 & Fig. 7) showed that maximum fresh weight of root of Cockscomb was found in T₄ (13.35 g), followed by (10.15 g) obtained in T₅ potting medium. The minimum fresh weight of root (6.25 g) was observed when the Cockscomb plants were grown in (T₁) potting medium where only canal sediments (100%) was used. Maximum fresh weight of root G2 (14.31 g) was observed in (T₄) and lowest found G1 (8.15 g) in the T₁ potting medium. The results are comparable to the findings of Kishan et al. [30] and Verma et al. [31].

3.5 Fresh Weight of a Single Flower (FWSF)

The results for the single flower weight of Cockscomb under the different potting media have been demonstrated in Table 2 & Fig. 8. On the basis of interaction, the mean fresh weight of a single flower ranges from T₃ (9.27g) to T₄ (20.14g). To compare genotypes (G2) in different potting media had maximum fresh weight of a single flower (16.31 g) in comparison to G1 (10.89 g). Zeb et al. [25] reported that 44.29 g of flower weight was obtained when sown on late April. Ismail et al. [32] observed that date of planting highly influenced the vegetative and flowering parameters of *Tagetes*. Baloch et al. [33] found that when Cockscomb sown early (Sept-December) flower weight (5.67 g) reduced drastically under low temperature. Blanchard and Runkle [34] found that plants sown above optimum temperature might have produced reduced flowers.

3.6 Days Taken to Initiate Flowering (DTIF)

Present findings of average maximum days taken to initiate flowering of Cockscomb were (52.94) observed in (T₁) potting media and

minimum mean days to flowering were observed in T₅ (48.88 days) potting media presented in Table 3 & Fig. 9. To compare genotypes (G2) found maximum means of days to flowering (52.66) in comparison to G1 (47.30 days) and highest results (47.88 days) obtained in (T₄) potting media. The interactive effect of the genotypes and potting media had highly significant differences for days to initiate flowering showed minimum G1 (47.21) days in (T₃) potting media. These findings are in consistence with scientists [35,34] and as confirmed by Baloch et al. [33].

3.7 Number of Flowers Per Plant (NFPP)

Our findings present that maximum number of flowers found G2 (5.05) in (T₄) with the minimum results (2.11) obtained in (T₁) potting medium. Genotypes (G2) had means results (3.79) and G1 (2.97) showed number of flowers presented in Table 3 & Fig. 10. On the basis of interaction, maximum number of flowers G2 (6.66) produced in (T₄) potting media. Production of maximum number of flowers per plant was directly correlated with plant height which was mostly favored by temperature during development. These findings are in consistence with [25,35].

3.8 Electrolyte Leakage of Leaf (ELL)

The analysis of variance (Table 3 & Fig. 11) revealed that the electrolyte leakage of leaf in Cockscomb vines was significantly influenced by different potting media levels and genotypes while the variation in electrolyte leakage of leaf was not significant ($P>0.05$) for interaction of genotypes \times different potting media. Statistical analysis indicates that the maximum means of the potting media ranges from 65.22 to 76.62%. The genotypes had also no differences. Genotypes (G2 and G1) had electrolyte leakage of leaf 73.51 and 70.66%. The interaction of the genotypes and potting media ranges from 63.78 to 78.20%, respectively. These findings are in consistence with [36,37].

3.9 Chlorophyll Content of Leaf (CCL)

The chlorophyll content of leaf was observed the highest mean in genotypes G2 (33.52) than the G1 (29.80) presented in Table 3 & Fig. 12. Our result had more chlorophyll content found in (T₄) plants of potting media than the rest of treatments. The potting media (T₄) showed the highest 39.58 and 28.75 chlorophyll content of leaf, respectively. The interactive effect of the

Table 1. Effect of Cockscomb genotypes in response to different potting media

| Tret. | SGP | | | DGT | | | GI | | | PH (cm) | | |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|-------|---------|--------|--------|
| | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean |
| T ₁ | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00c | 2.91 | 3.66 | 3.29bc | 0.78 | 1.02 | 0.90b | 15.30e | 31.96c | 23.63c |
| T ₂ | 58.33 | 66.67 | 62.50bc | 4.08 | 4.91 | 4.50a | 0.86 | 1.23 | 1.04b | 16.16de | 33.76c | 24.96c |
| T ₃ | 75.00 | 75.00 | 75.00ab | 3.50 | 4.16 | 3.83ab | 1.15 | 1.19 | 1.17b | 17.06de | 35.00c | 26.03c |
| T ₄ | 75.00 | 100.00 | 87.50a | 2.33 | 3.00 | 2.66c | 1.6 | 1.95 | 1.77a | 31.66d | 51.43a | 36.55c |
| T ₅ | 66.67 | 50.00 | 58.33bc | 2.66 | 3.50 | 3.08bc | 0.93 | 1.19 | 1.06b | 18.23de | 43.20b | 30.71 |
| Mean | 65.0 | 68.33 | | 3.10b | 3.85a | | 1.06 | 1.31 | | 17.68b | 39.07a | |

G1, Amigo Red; G2, Prestige scarlet; SGP, Seed germination percentage (%); DGT, Days to germination time (days); GI, Germination index; PH, Plant height (cm)

Table 2. Effect of Cockscomb genotypes in response to different potting media









| Tret. | SVI | | | FWS | | | FWR | | | FWSF | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean |
| T ₁ | 765.0e | 1528.3cde | 1146.7c | 14.21 | 18.60 | 16.40c | 3.55 | 8.96 | 6.25c | 10.12 | 13.95 | 12.03 |
| T ₂ | 949.2e | 2250.0bc | 1599.6bc | 24.25 | 30.30 | 27.28bc | 6.68 | 10.87 | 8.78bc | 10.12 | 15.17 | 12.64 |
| T ₃ | 1280.0de | 2590.0b | 1935.0b | 29.23 | 35.05 | 32.14b | 8.33 | 11.61 | 9.97b | 9.27 | 14.23 | 11.75 |
| T ₄ | 1645.0cde | 5143.3a | 3394.2a | 52.65 | 60.15 | 56.40a | 12.39 | 14.31 | 13.35a | 13.80 | 20.14 | 16.97 |
| T ₅ | 1233.3de | 2144.2bcd | 1688.8bc | 34.92 | 37.76 | 36.34b | 9.80 | 10.51 | 10.15b | 11.15 | 18.06 | 14.60 |
| Mean | 1174.5b | 2731.2a | | 31.05 | 36.37 | | 8.15b | 11.25a | | 10.89b | 16.31b | |

G1, Amigo Red; G2, Prestige scarlet; SVI, Seedling vigor index; FWS, Fresh weight of shoot (g); FWR, Fresh weight of root (g); FWSF, Fresh weight of a single flower (g)

Table 3. Effect of Cockscomb genotypes in response to different potting media

| Tret. | DTIF | | | NFPP | | | ELL | | | CCL | | |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|--------|
| | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean | G1 | G2 | Mean |
| T ₁ | 49.11d | 56.77a | 52.94a | 2.33cd | 2.29cd | 2.11b | 70.62 | 72.36 | 71.49 | 24.70e | 32.46bc | 28.58c |
| T ₂ | 47.33e | 53.33b | 50.33b | 2.66cd | 1.66d | 2.16b | 74.17 | 77.22 | 75.69 | 29.40cd | 28.10de | 28.75c |
| T ₃ | 47.21e | 52.55b | 49.88bc | 2.66cd | 2.99cd | 2.83b | 69.70 | 73.10 | 71.40 | 31.36bcd | 34.26b | 32.81b |
| T ₄ | 45.88cd | 49.88cd | 47.88cd | 3.44c | 6.66a | 5.05a | 75.05 | 78.20 | 76.62 | 32.63bc | 39.53a | 36.08a |
| T ₅ | 46.99e | 50.77c | 48.88cd | 3.77bc | 5.33a | 4.55a | 63.78 | 66.66 | 65.22 | 30.90bcd | 33.26b | 32.08b |
| Mean | 47.30b | 52.66a | | 2.97b | 3.79a | | 70.66 | 73.51 | | 29.80b | 33.52a | |

G1, Amigo Red; G2, Prestige scarlet; DTIF, Days taken to initiate flowering (days); NFPP, Number of flowers per plant (no.); ELL, Electrolyte leakage of leaf (%); CCL, Chlorophyll content of leaf (%).






Fig. 1-12. Histogram showing germination characterization

genotypes and potting media, T₄ medium grown plants had more chlorophyll content of leaf (39.53) in genotype (G2). These findings are in accordance with the findings of Akinfasoye et al. [38] in *Celosia*; Akinfasoye et al. [29] similar results were obtained in Kumar et al. [39] found in the F₅ populations of *Brassica species*.

4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the germination percentage, vegetative and flower characteristics of the Cockscomb genotype G2 (Prestige scarlet) showed maximum performance in T₄ (25% Canal sediment + 50% bagasse + 25% Coco peat) the potting media. The Cockscomb genotype G2 (Prestige scarlet) may be choice for achieving superior performance on flowering traits in Tandojam location. Other potting media along with genotypes and geographical locations might be explored for future research activities.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Wilkinson CB. Year of the Celosia, Chili Pepper. National Garden Bureau. 2006; 37.
2. Okusanya OL. Germination and growth of *Celosia cristata* L. under various temperature regimes. Amer. J. Bot. 1980; 67(6):854-858.
3. Erstad JLF, Gislerød HR. Water uptake of cuttings and stem pieces as affected by different anaerobic conditions in the rooting medium. Scientia Hort. 1994;58:151-160.
4. Macz O, Paparozzi ET, Stroup WW. Effect of nitrogen and sulfur applications on pot chrysanthemum production and postharvest performance, Leaf nitrogen and sulfur concentrations. J. Plant Nutri. 2001;24:111-129.
5. Awang Y, Shaharom AS, Mohamad RB, Selamat A. 2009. Chemical and physical characteristics of cocopeat based media mixtures and their effects on the growth and development of *Celosia cristata*. Amer. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 2009;4:63-71.
6. Kampf AN. The substrate commercial production of ornamental plants. Guaba: Agric. 2000;254.

7. Marianthi T. Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) core and rice hulls as components of container media for growing *Pinushelipansis* M. seedlings. *Biores. Technol.* 2006;97:309-321.
8. Ahmed I, Ahmed T, Gulfam A, Saleem M. Growth and flowering of gerbera as influenced by various horticultural substrates. *Pak. J. Bot.* 2012;44:291-299.
9. Nazari F, Farahmand H, Khosh-Khui M, Salehi H. 2011. Effects of coir as a component of potting media on growth, flowering and physiological characteristics of hyacinth (*Hyacinthusorientalis* L. cv. Sonbol-e-Irani), *Int. J. Agric. Food Sci.* 2011;1(2):34-38.
10. Panj FG, Kumari S, Parmar PB. 2014. Effect of growing media properties and its correlation study in Gerbera production. *The Bioscan.* 2014; 9(1):79-83.
11. Khan MM, Khan MA, Abbas M, Jaskani MJ, Ali MA, Abbas H. 2006. Evaluation of potting media for the production of rough lemon nursery stock. *Pak. J. Bot.* 2006; 28(3):623-629.
12. Pandey A, Soccol CR, Nigam P, Soccol VT. 2000. Biotechnological potential of agro-industrial residues. I: sugarcane bagasse. *Biores. Technol.* 2000; 74:69–80.
13. Larsen SU, Andreassen C. 2004. Light and heavy turf-grass seeds differ in germination percentage and mean germination thermal time. *Crop Sci.* 2004; 44:1710-1720.
14. Ellis RH, Roberts EH. The quantification of ageing and survival in orthodox seeds. *Seed Sci. Technol.* 1981; 9:373-409.
15. Khaleghi A, Moallemi N. The Effect of Different Levels of Salinity and Temperature on Seed Germination of Cocks Comb. *Journal of Plant Production Research.* 2015;16:149-163.
16. Agrawal R. *Seed Technology.* Oxford Press, New Delhi, India. 2003; 829.
17. Sohra M, Moreiras JS, González L. Ecophysiological approach in allelopathy. *Criminal Reviews in Plant Science.* 2013;18(1):577-608.
18. Rahman M, Miyake SM, Young T. Salinity-induced ultrastructural alternations in leaf cells of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Plant Production Science.* 2000; 45(3):422-429.
19. Akinbode FO, Adekunle AA, Kehinde PA. Effect of light stress on germination and growth parameters of *Corchorus olitorius*, *Celosia argentea*, *Amaranthus cruentus*, *Abelmoschus esculentus* and *Delonixregia*. *Not Sci Biol.* 2013; 5(4): 468-475.
20. Dubey RK, Singh S, Kukal SS, Kalsi HS. Evaluation of different organic growing media for growth and flowering of *Petunia*. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis.* 2013; 44(12):1777 – 1785.
21. Farooq M, Basra SMA, Rehman H. Seed Priming Enhances Emergence, Yield, and Quality of Direct-Seeded Rice. *International Rice Research Notes.* 2006; 31, 42-46.
22. Kaya MD, Okcu G, Atak M, Cıkılı Y, Kolsarıcı O. Seed Treatments to Overcome Salt and Drought Stress during Germination in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *European Journal of Agronomy.* 2006; 24, 291-295.
23. Nabati J, Kafi M, Nezami A, Moghaddam PR, Masoumi A, Mehrjerdi MZ. Effect of salinity on biomass production and activities of some key enzymatic antioxidants in burning bush (*Kochia scoparia*). *Pakistan Journal of Botany.* 2011; 99(43):539-548.
24. Khan Z, Gola AQ, Abro JA, Badini MA, Aziz T, Mahesar MA, Kasi MU. Effect of sodium chloride (NaCl), concentration on seed germination and vegetative growth of cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies.* 2019; 7(1): 495-499.
25. Zeb N, Sajid M, Khattak AM, Hussain I. Effect of potassium and maleic hydrazide on growth and flower quality of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflorum*). *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture.* 2015; 31(4): 210-216.
26. Obe HA, Lakwannum GY, Joshua DB, Adekola OF, Olorunmaiye KS. Effect of hydropriming on the growth performance of Three Vegetables; *Corchorus olitorius*, *Celosia argentea* and *Abelmoschus esculentus*. *Jewel Journal of Scientific Research.* 2015; 3(1): 165-172.
27. Yarnia M, Benam MBK, Tabrizi EFM, Nobari N, Ahmadzadeh V. Effect of planting dates and density in drought stress condition on yield and yield components of *Amaranth* cv. *Koniz*. *Advances in Environmental Biology.* 2011; 5(6): 1139-1149.
28. Kazaz S, Tekintas FE, Askin MA. Effects of different planting systems and densities on yield and quality in standard Carnations. *Cell& Plant Sciences.* 2011; 2(1): 19-23.

29. Emami H, Saeidnia M, Olfati JA, Hasani M. Study on lily longevity treated with growth regulator (GA3 and BA) by path analysis. *American–Eurasian J. Agric. and Environ. Sci.* 2011; 10(5): 814-820.
30. Kishan, S, Singh KP, Raju DVS. Vegetative growth, flowering and seed characters of african marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn.) as influenced by different growth substances during mild off seasons. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture.* 2007; 10(4): 268-270.
31. Verma VK, Sehgal OP, Shiman SR. Effect of nitrogen and GA3 on Carnation. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture (New series).* 2000; 3(1): 64.
32. Ismail RF, Kandeel AM, Ibrahim AK, Omer EA. Effect of planting date and plant spacing on growth, yield and essential oil of Mexican marigold (*Tagetes lucida* L.) cultivated in Egypt. *J Appl Sci Res.* 2013; 9: 330-340.
33. Baloch JD, Khan MQ, Zubair M, Munir M. Effect of different sowing dates on flowering time of important ornamental annuals. *Gomal Univ J Res.* 2009; 25: 10-19.
34. Blanchard MG, Runkle ES. Quantifying the thermal flowering rates of eighteen species of annual bedding plants. *Scientia Horticulturae.* 2011; 128: 30-37.
35. Porat R, Shlomo E, Halevy AH. Horticultural techniques to improve *Celosia plumosa* growth for cut flowers. *Scientia Horticulturae.* 2000; 63: 209-214.
36. Soleimani A, Ahmad T. Performance of some soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) cultivars under salinity stress to germination characters. *Italian Journal of Agronomy Agriculture Research.* 2012; 6(3):48-56
37. Larsen S, Andreasen C. Light and heavy turf-grass seeds differ in germination percentage and mean germination thermal time. *Journal of Crucial Science.* 2004; 44(33):1710-1720
38. Akinfasoye JA, Ogunniyan DJ, Akanbi WB, Olufolaji AO. Effects of organic fertilizer and spacing on growth and yield of Lagos spinach (*Celosia Argentea* L.). *Journal of Agriculture and Social Research (JASR).* 2008; 8(1): 70-77.
39. Kumar S, Ali B, Khaldun ABM, Islam SS, Uddin MS, Akanda MAL and Miah MS. Genetic Diversity, Correlations and Path Coefficient Analysis among the F5 Populations of Brassica Species. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research.* 2021; 16(2):20-31.

© 2022 Ahmed et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79032>