



---

## **Effect of Zinc and Gypsum on Growth, Yield and Economics of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)**

**N. Aruna<sup>a\*</sup>, C. Umesha<sup>a≡</sup> and M. R. Meshram<sup>a0</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Sam Higgin Bottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.*

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i1531008

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86175>

**Received 07 February 2022**

**Accepted 17 April 2022**

**Published 21 April 2022**

**Original Research Article**

---

### **ABSTRACT**

The experiment entitled was "Effect of zinc and gypsum on growth and yield of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)" conducted during *kharif*, (2021) at crop research farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U. P) on sandy loam soil. the experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design it consist of 9 Treatments with 3 Replication comprising three levels of zinc (0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75%) and three levels of zinc (0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75%) and three levels gypsum (300 kg/ha, 400 kg/ha, 500 kg/ha) the significantly highest result showed in (Treatment 9) 0.75% zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum) growth and yield attributing character viz., plant height (58.33 cm), nodules/plant (106.88), dry weight (39.3 g), pod/plant (19.3), kernel/pod (2.6), seed index (41.00), seed yield (2917.00 kg/ha), haulm yield (4453.3 kg/ha). The gross returns (1,25,431.00 INR/ha) net returns (83355.60 INR/ha) and B:C ratio (1.98) are also recorded numerically highest with the (Treatment 9) application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum.

**Keywords:** *Growth; yield; gypsum; zinc.*

---

<sup>#</sup> M.Sc. Scholar;

<sup>≡</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>0</sup> Research Scholar;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: [arunanagaraj1707@gmail.com](mailto:arunanagaraj1707@gmail.com);

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is an important oilseed crop of India, popularly known as peanut, earthnut, monkey-nut and locally called as "Mongphali". It is world's largest source of edible oil, ranks 13<sup>th</sup> among the food crops as well as 4<sup>th</sup> most important oilseed crops of the world [1]. Groundnut seeds contain high quality edible oil (48%), easily digestible protein (26 %) and carbohydrate (20 %). Groundnut occupies premier position with regards to both area and production in India. It accounts about 22 percent (5.95 m/ha) and 24 percent of production (7.54 mt) with the productivity of 1268 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2001).

Zinc is one of the most important nutrient required for plant growth as it plays as an activator of several enzymes in plant and is directly involved in the biosynthesis of growth substance such as auxin which produces more plant cells and more dry matter. It was evident that application of zinc enhanced the seed and oil yield/ha and protein percentage in groundnut. Additionally, foliar spray enables plant to absorb the applied nutrients from the solution throughout their leaf surface and thus may result in the economic use of fertilizer. So, the proper micronutrient fertilizer management of groundnut crop with reference to amount, method and time of application has significant effect on yield and quality [2,3]. Zinc deficiency in soil is one of constraint in India and to improve quality of oil in groundnut zinc and proper amount of NPK is important [4]. Balanced nutrition is considered as one of the basic needs "to achieve the potential yield" [5]. The adequate availability of zinc to young and developing plants might be a certain promise for sufficient growth and development. The positive response of Zinc application to groundnut has been reported by Christopher et al., 2019. Zinc known to be the constituent of enzyme and also involved in synthesis of pyruvic decarboxylase and indole acetic acid. Zinc is required in various metabolic processes as catalyst. Zinc also increases the content of protein, calorific value, amino acid, and fat in oilseed crop. Zinc catalysis the process of oxidation in plant cells and is vital for transformation of carbohydrate, regulates the consumption of sugar, increases source of energy for the production of chlorophyll, aids in the formation of auxin and promotes absorption of water [6].

Gypsum is widely used as a source of Calcium and Sulphur for groundnut worldwide. The

dissolution of gypsum is fairly rapid and therefore readily adds Ca and S to the podding zone [7]. Survey data from the small holder farming sector has shown that the majority of the farmers apply gypsum to get good yield of groundnut [8]. Application of gypsum split doses facilitate the calcium and Sulphur requirement for better shell development and oil content in critical pod development period of plant growth [9]. The primary nutrients calcium and sulfur also plays an important role in enhancing production and productivity of groundnut. Calcium nutrition is also considered a yield limiting factor for groundnut production. Calcium absorbed by the roots is not translocated to the developing pod whereas calcium required for pod formation is absorbed directly from soil solution [10].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during *kharif* season (2021) at crop research farm SHUATS, department of agronomy, Naini Agriculture institute, Sam Higgin bottom university of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh. The crop research farm is situated at 25.75° N latitude, 87.19° E longitude and at altitude of 98 m above mean sea level. The area is situated on the right side of the Yamuna river. the soil of the experimental field consists of alluvial soil. the experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design consist of 3 levels of zinc (0.25%, 0.5%, 0.75%) and 3 level of gypsum (300 kg/ha, 400 kg/ha 500 kg/ha). The treatment combination is T<sub>1</sub> (0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum), T<sub>2</sub> (0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum), T<sub>3</sub> (0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum) T<sub>4</sub> (0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha gypsum) T<sub>5</sub> (0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum) T<sub>6</sub> (0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum) T<sub>7</sub> (0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha gypsum), T<sub>8</sub> (0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum), T<sub>9</sub> (0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum). the experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design there are 9 treatments and replicated thrice to fulfill the nutrient sources nutrients used in this experiment are urea, DAP (Diammonium phosphate) and MOP (muriate of potash) recommended dosage of fertilizer (RDF) 20 kg N, 40 kg P, 60 kg K. the growth and yield parameter and economics were recorded in equal interval of crop duration like plant height (cm), number of root nodules (No.), plant dry weight (g), crop growth rate (g/g/day), number of pods/plant, number of kernel/pod, seed index (g), seed yield (kg), haulm yield (kg/ha), harvest index (%) and economics. The

data was analyzed statistically by using ANOVA and it is applicable for Randomized Block Design.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Effect on Growth Attributes

It is noticed from Table 1. The plant height was increased with the crop duration stage in different treatment combination. At harvest significantly higher plant height (58.33cm) was observed with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum as compared to the other treatment and statistically at par (57.86cm) with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum. The plant height was significantly influenced by the application of Sulphur along with recommended dosage of fertilizer at all stages of crop Srivastava et al. (2003).

#### 3.2 Root Nodules

It is observed from Table 1. The number of nodule per plant was increased at harvest the

data shown significantly higher root nodules per plant (46.53) was obtained with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum as compare to the other treatment and statistically at par (45.1) with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum. The Sulphur availability result in better formation of nodule and nitrogenase enzyme Niraj and Prakash [11].

#### 3.3 Plant Dry Weight

The data on plant dry weight obtained from Table 1. At harvest the higher plant dry weight (39.3g) was observed with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum as compare to the other treatment, which was statistically at par (38.1g) with the 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum. The accumulation of dry matter at the successive growth stages further lead to increase the crop growth rate and relative growth rate in all stages of plants were the findings by Sarkar and banik [12].

**Table 1. Effect of zinc and gypsum on growth attributes**

Treatment combinations	Plant height (cm)	Nodules/plant	Dry weight/plant	At harvest	
				CGR (g/m <sup>2</sup> /day)	RGR (g/g/day)
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	53.46	39.9	34.2	16.10	0.011
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	56.46	41.1	35.0	16.10	0.010
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	56.93	42.9	36.7	17.98	0.011
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	56.8	41.96	35.4	15.95	0.010
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	57.33	43.76	38.1	19.06	0.011
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	57.46	44.23	37.3	18.12	0.011
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	57.40	43.13	37.3	17.93	0.011
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	57.86	45.1	38.1	17.93	0.011
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	58.33	46.53	39.3	18.96	0.011
F – test	S	S	S	NS	NS
SEm±	0.97	0.52	0.62	0.77	0.0004
CD (P=0.05)	2.97	1.56	1.89	2.30	0.001

### 3.4 Effect on Yield and Yield Attributes

**Table 2. Effect of zinc and gypsum on yield attributes**

Treatment combinations	No. of pods/plant	No. of kernels/pod	Seed index (g)	Shelling (%)	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Haulm yield (kg/ha)	Harvest index (%)
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	16.2	1.7	31.50	65.6	2380.70	4153.30	36.3
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	16.7	1.9	32.23	66.2	2427.00	3633.30	39.6
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	17.5	2.1	34.97	69.1	2614.70	3743.30	40.6
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	17.2	2.0	33.43	69.4	2508.70	3753.30	39.6
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	18.2	2.2	37.10	69.4	2702.70	3746.70	42.3
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	18.4	2.3	38.67	72.2	2749.00	4330.00	38.1
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	17.9	2.1	36.30	67.1	2653.70	3576.70	42.2
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	18.9	2.4	39.42	69.6	2846.70	4380.00	39.4
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	19.3	2.6	41.10	70.8	2917.00	4453.30	39.8
F – test	S	S	S	NS	S	S	S

#### 3.5 Number of Pods per Plant

The data presented in Table 2. The Number of pods per plant (19.30) was recorded significantly superior with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum which was statistically at par with (18.93) the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum. The increased in seed per pod might due to more availability of zinc nutrient to plant at all the growth stages in finding of Debroy et al, [13].

#### 3.6 Number of Kernel Per Pod

The data observed from Table 2. The Number of kernel per pod (2.60) was recorded significantly higher with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum which was statistically at par with (2.40) the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum. This might be due to activation of enzyme by application of Sulphur [14].

#### 3.7 Seed Index (g)

The higher seed index (41.10) was recorded with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum and statistically at par with (39.42) the 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum.

#### 3.8 Shelling Percentage

The maximum shelling percentage (72.22) was recorded significantly higher in the application of 0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum and there is no statistically at par value.

#### 3.9 Seed Yield

The higher seed yield (2917 kg/ha) was recorded significantly superior with application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum and at statistically at par (2846.70 kg/ha) with the 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum.

**Table 3. Effect of zinc and gypsum on economics of groundnut**

Treatment combinations	Cost of cultivation (INR/ha)	Gross returns (INR/ha)	Net Return (INR/ha)	B:C ratio
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	41,300.40	1,02,370.10	61,069.70	1.47
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	41,500.40	1,04,361.00	62,860.60	1.51
0.25% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	41,700.40	1,12,432.10	70,731.70	1.69
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	41,425.40	1,07,874.10	66,448.70	1.60
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	41,625.40	1,16,216.10	74,590.70	1.79
0.5% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	41,825.40	1,18,207.00	76,381.60	1.82
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha Gypsum	41,675.40	1,14,109.10	72,433.70	1.73
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 400 kg/ha Gypsum	41,875.40	1,22,408.10	80,532.70	1.92
0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha Gypsum	42,075.40	1,25,431.00	83355.60	1.98

### 3.10 Haulm Yield

The maximum haulm yield (4453.30 kg/ha) was recorded significantly with the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum which was statistically at par (4380.00 kg/ha) with the 0.75% + 400 kg/ha gypsum. The magnitude of pod and haulm yield due to treatment gypsum. The better performance of this treatment might due to higher solubility of nutrient and nutrient uptake similar findings were reported earlier [15].

### 3.11 Harvest Index

The highest harvesting (39.77%) was recorded with the application of 0.5% foliar spray + 400 kg/ha gypsum which was statistically on par (39.42%) with 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 300 kg/ha gypsum.

### 3.12 Effect on Economics

The data on economics of different treatment obtained from the Table 3. The cultivation of groundnut crop recorded numerically highest in gross returns (1,25,431.00 INR/ha) net returns (83355.60 INR/ha) and B:C ratio (1.98) With the application of 0.75% Zinc foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum among all the treatments.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion based on the observation undertaken in the study application of 0.75% zinc

foliar spray + 500 kg/ha gypsum were found to more productive and economically viable.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my gratitude to my advisor Dr. Umesh C. for constant support and guidance. I am indebted to prof. (Dr.) Joy Dawson, Dr. Rajesh Singh, Dr. Biswarup Mehera, Dr. Victor Debbarma. And all the faculty members of SHUATS for inspiration.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Ramnathan T. Genetic improvement of groundnut. Associated publishing company, New Delhi. 2001;11-15.
2. Nagesh Yadav, Yadav SS, Neelam Yadav. Growth and productivity of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under varying level and sources of Sulphur in semi-arid conditions of Rajasthan. Legume Research. 2018;41.
3. Ramjet Yadav, Jat LK, Shobh Nath Yadav, Singh RP, Yadav PK. effect of gypsum on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) environmental & ecology. 2015;33 (2):676-679.

4. Shrivastav GK, Lakpale R, Verma AK, Choubey NK, Singh AP, Joshi BS. Effect of farmyard manure, phosphorus and zinc on black gram (*Phaseolus mungo*) wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping sequence under vertisols of Chhattisgarh plains. Indian j. agric. Sci. 72:72-74.
5. Yadav MR, Kumar R, Parihar CM, Yadav RK, Jat SL, Ram H, Meena RK, Singh M, Birbal, Verma AP, Kumar U, Ghosh A, Jat ML. Strategies for improving nitrogen use efficiency: A review agricultural reviews. 2017;38(1):29-41.
6. Radhika K, Meena S. Effect of zinc on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and quality of groundnut. The Pharma innovation. 2021;10(2):541-546.
7. Muhammad Arshad Ullah, Syed Ishtiaq Hyder, Rizwan Ahmed. International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry. 2019;6.
8. Sreelatha N, Seshaiyah BV, Sankara Rao V. Effect of phosphorus and Sulphur nutrition on nutrient composition oil content and yield of groundnut. The Andhra Agricultural Journal. 2004;15(3&4):380-383.
9. Shital Yadav, Rajhans Verma, Kiran Yadav. effect of Sulphur and iron on chlorophyll content, Leghaemoglobin content, soil properties and optimum dose of Sulphur for groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8.
10. Vidhya Sagar DRMS, Joy Dawson, Ramasahayam Uday Kumar Reddy. Effect of phosphorus and gypsum on growth and economics of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*). International Journal of Current Microbial. App. Sci. 2020;9(10):1635-1638.
11. Niraj VPS, Prakash V. Influence of phosphorus and Sulphur on yield and quality of black gram. Journal of Agri Research. 2015;2(4):269-2772.
12. Sarkar YP, Banik P. Effect of plant geometry, direction of planting and Sulphur application on growth and productivity of sesame (*Sesame indicium* L.). Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2002;72(2):70-73.
13. Debroy P, Narwa RS, Saha BN, Kumar S. Impact of zinc application methods on green gram (*Vigna radiata*) productivity and grain zinc fortification. Journal of environmental biology. 2013;35:851-854.
14. Mitra AK, Banerjee K, Pal AK. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and Sulphur on yield attributes, seed yield, protein content of seed and economics of summer green gram. res. crop. 2006;(72):404-405.
15. Ruskar Banu, Jagruthu, Shroff, Shah SN. Effect of source and level of Sulphur and bio-fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of summer groundnut. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017;13.

© 2022 Aruna et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86175>